

#### Research Article

# Combined application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Purpureocillium lilacinum* liquid formulations to manage *Globodera* spp on potato

# Nagachandrabose Seenivasan\*

Department of Nematology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract: Potato cyst nematodes (PCN), Globodera rostochiensis and Globodera pallida are major limiting factors to potato cultivation globally. Effective use of nematode antagonistic bio-agents is a potentially important component of the eco-friendly agro-farming. Pseudomonas fluorescens and Purpureocillium lilacinum are known for their nematode antagonistic potential and plant growth promotion ability. The effect of seed treatment with liquid suspension of P. fluorescens at 1 l/ton seeds and soil drenching with suspension of P. lilacinum at 5 l/ha, singly and jointly, was studied to minimize the damage caused by PCN in potato plants under field conditions in two regions in India. Both applications showed significantly greater PCN suppression and better plant growth promotion in comparison to solo application. The both application showed the highest reduction of cyst population (75.7%) in soil, female population (79.9%) in root and egg numbers per soil of each location (84%). The potato plants from P. fluorescens-seed treatment and P. lilacinum-soil drenching both applied plots were 33.5% taller with 45.6% more number of tubers than untreated plants. The tuber yield was also significantly higher (35.9%) in both application than untreated control. There was no significant difference on the root colonization of *P. fluorescens* and P. lilacinum in solo and both treatments.

**Keywords:** Potato cyst nematode, biological control, liquid formulations, *Pseudomonas fluorescens, Purpureocillium lilacinum* 

#### Introduction

Potato *Solanum tuberosum* L. is an important tuber crop that is grown globally to meet food requirement of people in many countries. It is considered fourth important food crop after rice, wheat and maize. It is also used as animal feed and to make commercial starch products. In India, potato is cultivated in 28 states with

Handling Editor: Zahra Tanhamaafi

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: seeni\_nema@yahoo.com Received: 1 August 2017, Accepted: 7 November 2017 Published online: 30 December 2017 total area of 20.24 million ha, producing 46.4 million tonnes annually (Welfare, 2016). Among the various pest and diseases associated with this crop, potato cyst nematodes, *Globodera rostochiensis* (Woll) and *Globodera pallida* (Stone) remain a daunting challenge for potato production. PCN are sedentary root endo-parasites. The second-stage juveniles (J2) penetrate through growing tips of roots and form feeding sites or syncytia in vascular tissues which lead to stunted growth, early senescence, proliferation of lateral roots and partial or complete arrest of tuber formation (Devrajan *et al.*, 2004). In addition, root damage caused by PCN provides an avenue for

entry of fungal pathogen such as *Rhizactonia* solani resulting in crop loss due to the synergistic disease complex (Back et al., 2006). In Europe and North America, the yield loss due to PCN has been reported as 9-100% (Pineda et al., 1993). In India, up to 80% yield loss due to PCN was reported from Nilgiris and Kodaikanal hills, Tamil Nadu region (Devrajan et al., 2011), Karnataka, Kerala and Himachal Pradesh (Krishna Prasad and Singh, 1986; Ramana and Mohandas, 1988; Sudershan et al., 2010).

The chemical nematicide carbofuran 3G is frequently used to control nematodes, but its repeated use is required to maintain cyst populations below the damage threshold levels (Seenivasan, 2017). The drawbacks of chemical nematicides such as the potential residue, groundwater contamination, enhanced biodegradation and toxicity to applicators also necessitated to search for alternative method of control. Biological control with fungal or bacterial organism that effectively antagonise the nematodes is an ecologically sound approach that has tremendous prospective to control nematode population build up and thereby reduce the crop damage (Seenivasan and Sundarababu, 2007). The root colonizing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria like P. fluoresecens have shown better result for the management of various plant nematodes such as Hirschmanniella oryza on rice (Seenivasn and Lakshmanan, 2002), Globodera rostochiensis (Devrajan et al., 2004), Meloidogyne graminicola on rice (Seenivasan, 2011), Radopholus similis on banana (Seenivasan et al., 2013), Meloidogyne javanica on tomato (Siddiqui and Shaukat, 2004), Meloidogyne incognita on medicinal coleus (Seenivasan and Devrajan, 2008) and jasmine (Seenivasan and Poornima, 2010). The facultative parasitic fungus, egg Purpureocillium lilacinum (= Paecilomyces lilacinus) has been reported to be effective against Meloidogyne spp and many other plant parasitic nematodes in various crops (Rao, 2008; Rao et al., 2012; Crow, 2013; Mohd et al., 2009). Earlier reports by Devrajan et al.

(2004)and Seenivasan *et al.* demonstrated the biocontrol potential of P. fluoresecens and P. lilacinum against PCN in potato. In the field situation, the performance of bio-control agents is not efficient enough to provide sufficient nematode control as like that of chemical nematicides. Recently, the concept of combined use of different biocontrol agents was attempted on crops of tomato, pumpkin, sugar beet and chickpea and demonstrated successfully against various plant parasitic nematodes (Seenivasan et al., 2012). However, there are no reports on the combined use of these biocontrol agents for the management of PCN on potato. Hence this study aimed to find out the effect of combined use of liquid suspensions of P. fluoresecens and P. lilacinum to manage PCN in the field conditions.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Bio-formulations**

The liquid formulation of P. fluorescens strain Pf1 containing  $5 \times 10^9$  colony forming units (cfu)/ml was obtained from the Department of Plant Pathology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India. The liquid formulation of P. liliacinum strain Ooty1 containing  $4 \times 10^9$  cfu/ml was obtained from Horticultural Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Ooty, India.

#### **Field studies**

Two field trials were conducted in the farmer fields naturally infested with PCN populations at Shenbaganur village (Location I) and Bambarpuram village (Location Kodaikanal, Tamil Nadu, India. The mixed populations of G. rostochiensis and G. pallida existed in both fields. Both trials were laid at similar time period from February 2016 to April 2016. Seed tubers of the potato cv. Kufri Jothi were used for field trials. Both experiments consisted of the following 5 treatments: (1) Seed treatment (ST) with liquid suspension of P. fluorescens (5  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> colony forming units (cfu)/ml) at 1 l/ha seed; (2) Soil drenching (SD) with liquid suspension of P. lilacinum  $(5 \times 10^9)$ 

colony forming units (cfu)/ml) at 5 l/ha; (3) ST with liquid P. fluorescens at 1 l/ton seed + SD with liquid P. lilacinum at 5 l/ha; (4) carbofuran 3G (Furadan 3G) at 1 kg a.i/ha; and (5) Untreated control. The experiments were laid out in randomized block design with five replications. The individual plot size was  $3 \times 5$ m. For seed treatment, 1 1 of P. fluorescens suspension was mixed with 50 l water + 250ml Tween 20 (sticking agent) in 100 1 capacity plastic drums. The seeds were soaked in the respective suspensions for 15 min and immediately used for sowing. Seeds were sown leaving 30 cm space between each plants with 60cm space between rows. A total of 60 plants/plot was maintained. Each plot was separated by raised bunds leaving 0.5 m space between each bund. Soil drenching of liquid P. lilacinum was carried out immediately after sowing. The 5 l of P. lilacinum was diluted with 100 l water and applied in rows at 1 l/m in each plot. Standard agronomic practices for potato cultivation were followed for raising the crop.

#### **General observations**

The stem length was measured at 90 days after sowing (DAS) from randomly selected five plants per plot. Plants were harvested on 120 DAS and root tuber yield recorded from all plots. Yield was expressed in tonne (t) per ha. Number of tubers/plant was recorded from five randomly selected plants. The population density of cyst in soil from each plot was determined before treatment and at harvest. Each sample comprising of 10 random cores collected at a depth of 15-20cm and pooled together into a composite sample. A subsample of 200 cm<sup>3</sup> from each composite sample was processed by Fenwick's floatation method (Fenwick, 1940). The population of PCN cysts was counted under a stereoscope microscope. A subsample of 100 g soil was taken from each composite sample after thorough mixing and used for egg estimation. The cysts were extracted from the samples first by Cobb's sieving and decanting method (Cobb, 1918). The residue containing cysts collected from the 60 mesh (250µ) were crushed by mechanical cyst crusher to release eggs and the macerated suspension was poured through 625 mesh (20µm). Then the residue collected was processed by centrifugal floatation technique to separate eggs (Barker and Niblack, 1990). Eggs and juveniles were counted by viewing under a stereo zoom microscope (Kozo Zoom 645) at magnification of 40x. Since, the juvenile population was very low in each plot and location, juvenile count was added with egg count. Five plants from each plot just before harvest were collected and female population per 2.5 cm root length were recorded under a stereo zoom microscope.

# Re-isolation of introduced bio-agents

Root colonization of the introduced P. fluoresecens and P. lilacinum was assessed from 1 g root samples from each plot following the serial dilution plate technique as described by Seenivasan (2011). Kings B media and potato dextrose agar media were used for P. fluoresecens and P. lilacinum, respectively. Percentage of parasitized cysts by P. lilacinum was also assessed. Ten cysts were hand-picked, rinsed with sterile distilled water two times and plated on potato dextrose agar media in 90 mm Petri plates. The plates were incubated at  $28 \pm 3$  °C for 15 days and fungi parasitization were observed under stereo zoom microscope. The percentage parasitized eggs was calculated using the formula: (no of cysts infected with fungus/total number of cysts)  $\times$  100.

#### Statistical procedure

The data collected were analyzed for one-way analysis of variance using SPSS 16.0 for Windows software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The treatment means were compared by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) (Panse and Sukhatme, 1954).

#### Results

Results showed that PCN cyst density and egg numbers in soil, as well as adult female

population in roots were significantly reduced in P. fluoresecens and P. lilacinum treated plots in both fields (Tables 1 and 2). Combined application of seed treatment with P. fluoresecens and soil drench with P. lilacinum wasthe most effective in controlling PCN. This treatment reduced cyst population in soil by 75.5% in Location I and 75.8% in Location II, being significantly superior to their individual applications and also standard chemical carbofuran treatment. fluoresecens seed treatment and P. lilacinum soil drench individually resulted in the smallest reduction of cyst populations (48.3% and 51.4%, respectively) over the control. The number of females/2.5 cm root was also significantly less in P. fluoresecens ST + P. lilacinum SD as compared to the individual treatments, carbofuran and untreated plants. The combined treatment reduced the root penetration of PCN by 79.8% compared to when P. fluoresecens ST and P. lilacinum SD were applied individually.

The number of PCN eggs in soil was significantly higher in control plots (Tables 1 and 2). The egg population in soil from all other treatment plots were found to be less with than control. The plots treated combination of P. fluoresecens ST + P. lilacinum SD had significantly least egg population that was 84% less than control plots. However egg population reduction was only 57.3% in P. fluoresecens ST and 55.1% in P. lilacinum SD treatments.

Plants from untreated plots were smaller and had fewer number of tubers compared to treated plots in both trials (Tables 1 and 2). Seed treatment with Р. fluorescens accompanied with soil drench with P. lilacinum had significantly higher effect on plant growth improvement than all other treatments. The plants in this treatment were 33.5% taller with 45.6% more number of tubers than the untreated plants. The growth improvement was lesser in P. lilacinum SD treated plots than P. fluorescens ST alone and combination of P. fluorescens ST and P. lilacinum SD plots. The improved plant growth in carbofuran, P. fluorescens ST, P. lilacinum SD and combination of P. fluorescens ST and P. lilacinum SD plots resulted in significant increase in potato yield. The maximum tuber yield increase (35.9%) was noticed in the plots treated with combination of P. fluorescens ST and P. lilacinum SD followed by carbofuran (21.1%), P. fluorescens ST alone (16.1%) and P. lilacinum SD alone (15.5%) treated plots.

The introduced *P. fluorescens* and *P. lilacinum* singly or in combination survived in potato roots up to harvest. The colonization of roots by *P. fluorescens* was statistically uniform in plots applied individually or in combination with *P. lilacinum*. Similarly, root colonization and cyst parasitization by *P. lilacinum* were not significantly different compared with combination of *P. fluorescens* (Tables 1 and 2).

**Table 1** Effect of liquid bio-formulation treatments on potato cyst nematode infection, growth and yield of potato cv. Kufri Jothi. (Location I).

| Treatments          | Cyst population /200 cm <sup>3</sup> soil |            | Eggs/ 100 g soil |         | Number of females | Plant<br>height | Number of tubers/ | Tuber<br>yield | Root colonization (CFU x 10 <sup>5</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> root) |           | Cyst parasitisation by |
|---------------------|---|------------|------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|--|-----------|------------------------|
|                     | Before                                    | 120<br>DAT | Before           | 120     | /2.5 cm root      | (cm)            | plant             | (t/ha)         | P.   | P         | P. lilacinum<br>(%)    |
|                     | treatment                                 | DAT        | treatment        | DAI     |                   |                 |                   |                | fluorescens  | lilacinum | (70)                   |
| $T_1$               | 131 a                                     | 238 b      | 1902 a           | 5863 b  | 13.1 b            | 55.3 b          | 14.2 b            | 13.4 b         | 2065 a   | -         | -                      |
| $T_2$               | 128 a                                     | 224 b      | 1856 a           | 5623 b  | 12.4 b            | 54.1 b          | 14.9 b            | 13.9 b         | -  | 197 a     | 58 a                   |
| $T_3 (T_1 + T_{2)}$ | 127 a                                     | 112 c      | 1894 a           | 1989 c  | 4.3 c             | 58.3 a          | 18.7 a            | 18.1 a         | 2012 a   | 192 a     | 53 a                   |
| $T_4$               | 125 a                                     | 208 b      | 1924 a           | 5148 b  | 11.6 b            | 54.9 b          | 15.2 b            | 14.7 b         | -  | -         | -                      |
| UC                  | 130 a                                     | 459 a      | 1863 a           | 12617 a | 21.7 a            | 38.7 c          | 10.2 c            | 11.4 c         | -  | -         | -                      |

T1: Seed treatment with liquid *P. fluorescens* (1 L/ton seed), T2: Soil drenching with liquid *P. lilacinum* (5 L/ha), T4: Carbofuran 3G (1kg a.i/ha), UC: Untreated control. DAT: Days after treatment.

Means followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at P = 0.05 according to Duncan's multiple rang test; CFU: colony forming units.

Table 2. Effect of liquid bio-formulation treatments on potato cyst nematode infection, growth and yield of potato cv. Kufri Jothi. (Location II).

| Treatments | Cyst population /200 cm³ soil |       | Eggs/ 100 g<br>soil |         | Number of females | Plant<br>height | Number of tubers/ | Tuber<br>yield | Root colonization<br>(CFU x 10 <sup>5</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> root) |            | Cyst parasitisation |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|---|------------|---------------------|
|            | Before                        | 120   | Before              | 120 DAT | /2.5              | (cm)            | plant             | (t/ha)         | P.  | <i>P</i> . | by P.               |
|            | treatment                     | DAT   | treatment           |         | cm root           |                 |                   |                | fluorescens   | lilacinum  | lilacinum (%)       |
| T1         | 154 a                         | 273 b | 201 a               | 6318 b  | 15.2 b            | 56.0 b          | 13.7 b            | 12.8b          | 3153 a  | -          | -                   |
| T2         | 162 a                         | 257 b | 197 a               | 6072b   | 14.5 b            | 55.1 b          | 14.3 b            | 13.3 b         | -   | 258 a      | 64 a                |
| T3         | 152 a                         | 128 c | 192 a               | 2148 c  | 5.1 c             | 58.9 a          | 18.1 a            | 17.5 a         | 3117 a  | 243 a      | 60 a                |
| (T1 + T2)  | 161 a                         | 240 b | 206 a               | 5556 b  | 13.7 b            | 55.9 b          | 15.7 b            | 14.2 b         | -   | -          | -                   |
| T4         | 156 a                         | 531 a | 198 a               | 13260 a | 25.0 a            | 39.2 c          | 9.8 c             | 11.0 c         | -   | -          | -                   |

T1: Seed treatment with liquid P. fluorescens (1 L/ton seed), T2: Soil drenching with liquid P. lilacinum (5 L/ha), T4: Carbofuran 3G (1kg a.i/ha), UC: Untreated control, DAT: Days after treatment.

Means followed by the same letter in columns are not significantly different at P  $\square$  0.05 according to Duncan's multiple rang test; CFU: colony forming units.

#### **Discussion**

The individual lethal effect of P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum have been demonstrated against Meloidogyne spp. (Seenivasan and Devrajan, 2008; Seenivasan and Poornima, 2010). In this study, the efficacy of PCN control was significantly higher by the combined application of P. fluorescens seed treatment and P. lilacinum soil drench than when they were used alone. Increasing population of biocontrol agents with antagonistic activities against nematodes in the rhizosphere have been reported to improve soil suppressiveness (Shaukat and Siddiqui, 2001). Similarly, control of M. incognita on tomato and bell pepper has been improved by combining P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum (Rao et al., 2012; Hashem and Abo-Elyousr, 2011). Seenivasan (2010) also showed that integration of *P. fluorescens* and *P.* lilacinum effectively reduced M. incognita and Macrophomina phaseolina disease complex on medicinal coleus. In addition, the synergistic effect between P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum was reported to provide more efficient and consistent nematode control in gladiolus fields (Sowmya and Rao, 2013). This study confirms that combined application of P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum is beneficial in the management of PCN.

The mechanism of PCN protection by P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum is attributed to the following direct or indirect effects. The root colonization by P. fluorescens has been

reported to alter the root exudates that affect the nematode egg hatching, attraction towards root and root penetration potential (Seenivasan and Lakshmanan, 2002). In their study culture filtrates of P. fluorescens strain Pfl was reported to have nematotoxic principle (Seenivasan and Lakshmanan, 2001). The P. fluorescens strain Pfl also has the ability to induce systemic resistance against nematodes in plants by producing peroxidases, polyphenol oxidases, phenylalanine ammonia lyase and 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase enzymes (Seenivasan, Saravanakumar and Samiyappan, 2006). It is a well-established fact that P. lilacinum colonizes roots of diverse plants, parasitizes cysts, eggs, juveniles and adult females of Globodera spp. by direct hyphal penetration (Jatala, 1986). Apart from direct parasitism, the development of P. lilacinum early in the soil might prevent the initial infection resulting in lower level of root penetration. All strains of P. lilacinum are reported to produce acetic acid and some metabolites like paecilotoxins leucinostatins which are found to have detrimental effect on nematode juveniles (Singh et al., 2013). These metabolites may also probably be involved in reduction of PCN juveniles. Furthermore, being a parasite of mature females it would affect their egg production (Jatala, 1986) and P. lilacinum is capable of arresting syncytia formation induced by nematodes in plants (Cabanillas et al., 1988).

The results proved that the *P. fluorescens* and P. lilacinum enhanced the growth of plants in addition to PCN reduction. Apart from their effect on nematode, P. fluorescens and P. lilacinum are recognized to possess plant growth promoting effect in many crop plants like pigeon pea and tomato (Siddiqui et al., 1998; Khan and Akram, 2000). The *P*. fluorescens strain Pf1 is reported to induce plant growth by producing plant growth regulators like indole acetic acid, gibberellins and cytokinins (Seenivasan, 2011). P. lillacinus on the other hand improves plant growth by increasing the available phosphorus in the soil (Lima-Rivera et al., 2016). The results of this study showed that root colonization of P. fluorescens did not affect P. lilacinum and viceversa. Similar result was reported in bell pepper in which root colonization by P. fluorescens did not affect P. lilacinum (Rao et al., 2012).

It is concluded that seed treatment with *P. fluorescens* followed by *P. lilacinum* soil drenching can be recommended for the practical management of *G. rostochiensis* and *G. pallida* infection in potato fields rather than application of a single bio-agent.

# Funding

This work was supported by Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu, India through University sub-project No. CPPS/KDL/NEM/VEG/2014/001.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

#### References

- Back, M., Haydock, P. and Jenkinson, P. 2006. Interactions between the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* and diseases caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* AG3 in potatoes under field conditions. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 114 (2): 215-223.
- Barker, K. R. and Niblack, T. L. 1990. Soil sampling methods and procedures for field diagnosis: Plant nematology

- laboratory manual. The University of Massachusetts Agricultural Experiment Station, Amherst, MA.
- Cabanillas, E., Barker, K. R. and Daykin, M. E. 1988. Histology of the interactions of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* with *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato. Journal of Nematology, 20 (3), 362.
- Cobb, N. A. 1918. Estimating the Nematode Population of Soil. US Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Technology Circular number: 1.
- Crow, W. T. 2013. Effects of a commercial formulation of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* Strain 251 on over seeded Bermuda grass infested with *Belonolaimus longicaudatus*. Journal of Nematology, 45 (3): 223-227.
- Devrajan, K., Prabhu, S., Seenivasan, N., Sudha, A., Ramakrishnan, S. and Anita, B. 2011. Occurrence of native microbial antagonists against potato cyst nematodes in the Nilgiri Hills of Tamil Nadu. Potato Journal, 38 (1): 67-72.
- Devrajan, K., Seenivasan, N., Selvaraj, N. and Rajendran, G. 2004. An integrated approach for the management of potato cyst nematodes, *Globodera rostochiensis* and *G. pallida* in India. Nematologia Mediterranea, 32: 67-70.
- Fenwick, D. W. 1940. Methods for the recovery and counting of cysts of *Heterodera schachtii* from soil. Journal of Helminthology, 18 (4): 155-172.
- Hashem, M. and Abo-Elyousr, K. A. 2011. Management of the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato with combinations of different biocontrol organisms. Crop Protection, 30 (3): 285-292.
- Jatala, P. 1986. Biological control of plantparasitic nematodes. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 24 (1): 453-489.
- Khan, M. R. and Akram, M. 2000. Effects of certain antagonistic fungi and rhizobacteria on wilt disease complex of tomato caused by *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. Nematologia Mediterranea, 28 (2): 139-144.

- Krishna Prasad, K. S. and Singh, D. B. 1986. Note on the parasitic nematodes associated with potato in Karnataka State, India. International Nematology Network Newsletter, 3: 11-13.
- Lima-Rivera, D. L., Lopez-Lima, D.,
  Desgarennes, D., Velazquez-Rodriguez, A.
  S. and Carrion, G. 2016. Phosphate solubilization by fungi with nematicidal potential. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 16 (2): 507-524.
- Mohd, Y., Hissa, M. and Nazir, A. B. 2009. Histological interactions of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Meloidogyne incognita* on bitter gourd. Journal of American Science, 5: 8-12.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. 1954.
   Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. The Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.
- Pineda, O., Bonierbale, M. W., Plaisted, R. L., Brodie, B. B. and Tanksley, S. D. 1993. Identification of RFLP markers linked to the H1 gene conferring resistance to the potato cyst nematode Globodera rostochiensis. Genome, 36 (1): 152-156.
- Ramana, K. V. and Mohandas, C. 1988. Occurrence of potato cyst nematode *Globodera pallida* (Stone, 1973) in Kerala. Indian Journal of Nematology, 18 (1): 141.
- Rao, M. S. 2008. Management of *Meloidogyne javanica* on acid lime using *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Nematologia Mediterranea, 36: 45-50.
- Rao, M. S., Dwivedi, K., Kumar, R. M., Chaya, M. K., Grace, G. N., Rajinikanth, R. and Shivananda, T. N. 2012. Efficacy of *Paecilomyces lilacinus* (1% WP) against *Meloidogyne incognita* on tomato in different agro-climatic regions in India. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem. 18 (2): 199-203.
- Saravanakumar, D. and Samiyappan, R. 2006. ACC Deaminase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* mediated saline resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) plants. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 102: 1283-1292.

- Seenivasan, N. 2011. Efficacy of *Pseudomonas* fluorescens and *Paecilomyces lilacinus* against *Meloidogyne graminicola* infecting rice under system of rice intensification. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 44 (15): 1467-1482.
- Seenivasan, N. 2010. Bio-intensive management of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* disease complex in medicinal coleus. Indian Journal of Plant Protection, 38 (2): 186-192.
- Seenivasan, N. 2017. Management of *Radopholus similis* and *Helicotylenchus multicinctus* in ratoon banana grown under high-density planting systems. *International* Journal of Fruit Science, 17 (1): 41-62.
- Seenivasan, N. and Devrajan, K. 2008. Management of *Meloidogyne incognita* on medicinal coleus by commercial biocontrol formulations. Nematologia Mediterranea, 36: 61-67.
- Seenivasan, N. and Lakshmanan, P. L. 2002. Biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against rice root nematode, *Hirschmanniella gracilis* on rice. Current Nematology, 13: 35-38.
- Seenivasan, N. and Lakshmanan, P. L. 2001. Effect of culture filtrates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on rice root nematode, *Hirschmanniella gracilis*. Pestology, 25: 11-12
- Seenivasan, N. and Poornima, K. 2010. Biomanagement of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood in jasmine (*Jasminum sambac* L.). Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem, 16 (1): 34-40.
- Seenivasan, N. and Sundarababu, R. 2007. Management of *Rotylenchulus reniformis* with bio-control agents in cotton. Annals of Plant Protectection Science. 15 (2): 454-457.
- Seenivasan, David, P. N., M. Vivekanandan, P. and Samiyappan, R. 2012. **Biological** control of rice root-knot Meloidogyne graminicola nematode, mixture Pseudomonas through of fluorescens strains. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 22 (6): 611-632.

- Seenivasan, N., Devrajan, K. and Selvaraj, N. 2007. Management of potato cyst nematodes, *Globodera* spp. through biological control. Indian Journal of Nematology, 37 (1): 27-29.
- Seenivasan, N., Manoranjitham, S. K., Auxilia, J. and Soorianathasundaram, K. 2013. Management of nematodes in banana through bio-rationale approaches. *Pest* Management in Horticultural Ecosystem, 19 (1): 38-44.
- Seenivasn, N. and Lakshmanan, PL. 2002. Biocontrol potential of native isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against rice root nematode, *Hirschmanniella gracilis*. Journal of Ecobiology, 15 (2): 69-72.
- Shaukat, A. S. and Siddiqui, I. A. 2001. Lantana camara in the soil changes the fungal community structure and reduces impact of *Meloidogyne javanica* on mungbean. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 40 (3): 245-252.
- Siddiqui, I. A. and Shaukat, S. S. 2004. Systemic resistance in tomato induced by biocontrol bacteria against the root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne javanica* is independent of salicylic acid production. Journal of Phytopathology, 152 (1): 48-54.

- Siddiqui, Z. A., Mahmood, I. and Hayat, S. 1998. Biocontrol of *Heterodera cajani* and *Fusarium udum* on pigeonpea using *Glomus mosseae*, *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science, 31 (3): 310-321.
- Singh, S., Pandey, R. K. and Goswami, B. K. 2013. Bio-control activity of *Purpureocillium lilacinum* strains in managing root-knot disease of tomato caused by *Meloidogyne incognita*. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 23 (12): 1469-1489.
- Sowmya, D. S. and Rao, M. S. 2013. Combined effect of *Pseudomonas putida* and *Paecilomyces lilacinus* in the management of disease complex in *Gladiolus grandiflorus* L. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystem. 18 (2): 204-209.
- Sudershan, G., Singh, M. and Ganguly, A. K. 2010. Record of potato cyst nematode, *Globodera rostochiensis* and *G. pallida* in Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Indian* Journal of Nematology, 40 (1): 96-102.
- Welfare, F. 2016. Horticultural Statistics at a Glance 2015. Available from: http://www.indiaenvironmentportal.org.in/fil es/file/hortstat\_glance %202015.pdf (Accessed on 15<sup>th</sup> June 2016).

Downloaded from jcp.modares.ac.ir on 2025-06-07 ]

# کاربرد ترکیبی از فرمولاسیون مایع باکتری Pseudomonas fluorescens و قارچ Purpureocillium lilacinum در مدیریت نماتدهای سیستی سیبزمینی Purpureocillium lilacinum

# ناگاچاندرابوس سینیواسان

گروه نماتدشناسی، دانشگاه کشاورزی تامیل نادو، کویمباتور ۴۴۱۰۰۳، تامیل نادو، هندوستان. \* پست الكترونيكي نويسنده مسئول مكاتبه: seeni\_nema@yahoo.com دریافت: ۱۰ مرداد ۱۳۹۶؛ پذیرش: ۱۶ آبان ۱۳۹۶

چكيده: تماتدهاى سيستى سيبزمينى شامل Globodera rostochiensis وGlobodera pallida از عوامل اصلی محدودکننده تولید سیبزمینی در جهان محسوب میشوند. استفاده مؤثر از عوامل زیستی (بیوکنترل) بخش مهمی از کشاورزی دوستدار محیطزیست میباشد. خاصیت آنتاگونیستی باكترى Pseudomonas fluorescens و قارچ Pseudomonas fluorescens بر نماتدها و تواناييشان در بهبود رشد گیاهان شناخته شده است. در این پژوهش برای به حداقل رساندن خسارت ناشی از نماتد سیستی سیبزمینی، تیمار بذرها با سوسپانسیون P. fluorescens به میزان یک لیتر برای هزار کیلوگرم غده و خیساندن خاک با سوسپانسیون P. lilacinum به میزان ۵ لیتر در هکتار هر یک به تنهایی و هر دو با هم در دو منطقه در شرایط مزرعه در هندوستان مطالعه شد. نتایج نشان داد که در کاربرد ترکیبی از هر دو عامل بیوکنترل، سرکوب نماتد و افزایش رشد گیاه بهطرز چشمگیری بیشتر از استعمال هر تیمار به تنهایی بود. در هر کدام از دو منطقه مورد آزمایش بیشترین کاهش جمعیت سیست موجود در خاک (۷۵/۷ درصد)، جمعیت نماتد ماده در ریشه (۷۹/۹ درصد) و تعداد تخم در خاک (۸۴ درصد) در تیمار مرکب هر دو عامل بیوکنترل مشاهده شد. در هر دو قطعه زمین آزمایشی ارتفاع گیاهان و تعداد غدههای سيبزميني تيمار شده با هر دو عامل (بذر با P. fluorescens و خيساندن خاک با P. lilacinum) بهترتيب ۳۳/۵ درصد و ۴۵/۸ درصد بیشتر از گیاهان شاهد تیمار نشده بود. کلنیزاسیون ریشهها بهوسیله باکتری P. fluorescens و قارچ آنتاگونیست P. lilacinum در تیمارهای هر دو عامل و هر یک از عوامل بیوکنترل به تنهایی تفاوت معنی داری مشاهده نشد.

واژگان کلیدی: نماتد سیستی سیبزمینی، کنترل بیولوژیک، فرمولاسیون مایع، Pseudomonas Purpureocillium lilacinum 9 fluorescens