

J. Crop Prot. 2016, 5 (3): 331-342

doi: 10.18869/modares.jcp.5.3.331

Research Article

Short and long term effects of some bio-insecticides on *Tuta* absoluta Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) and its coexisting generalist predators in tomato fields

Leila Nazarpour¹, Fatemeh Yarahmadi^{1*}, Moosa Saber² and Ali Rajabpour¹

- 1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ramin Agriculture and Natural Resources University of Khuzestan, Mollasani, Ahwaz, Iran.
- 2. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.

Abstract: Tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), is one of the most destructive pests of tomato in many parts of the world including Iran. Field studies were conducted to determine the short and long term effects of Bacillus thuringiensis var Kurstaki (Bt), azadirachtin (AZ), a mix of AZ + Bt, and indoxacarb, as a current chemical insecticide, on T. absoluta larvae. Also, effects of the insecticides were studied on the coexisting generalist predators, Coccinella septempunctata L., Chrysoperla carnea Stephens and Syritta sp. Sampling of T. absoluta and its coexisting generalist predators were performed 1 day before treatment (DBT) and one, 5, 8, 14 and 19 days after treatment (DAT). The results indicated significant short term effect of indoxacarb on the pest larvae. Indoxacarb reduced T. absoluta density and damages. Bt, AZ and mixture of them significantly suppressed the larval density at 19 DAT and caused significant reduction in leaf, stem and fruit damage. The highest long term effect on the pest abundance and damage were observed in Az + Bt caused 100% reduction in fruit and foliage damage compared to the control. The highest and lowest adverse effects on C. carnea, C. septempunctat and Serrita sp. were observed in indoxacarb and Bt treatments, respectively. Findings of this study imply that the mixture of Az + Bt has the highest selective toxicity on the pest and the lowest effect on its coexisting generalist predators.

Key words: Tomato, leafminer, Bt, Azadirachtin, indoxacarb, toxicity

Introduction

Tomato Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. is an economically important and remunerative vegetable crop belonging to the Solanaceae and grown around the world for fresh market and processing (Salunkhe et al., 1987). The tomato leafminer, Tuta absoluta, is considered as a key pest of tomato both in the field and under

Handling Editor: Khalil Talebi Jahromi

*Corresponding author, e-mail: yarahmadi@ramin.ac.ir Received: 19 August 2015, Accepted: 20 May 2016 Published online: 25 May 2016 protected conditions (Yankova, 2012). This pest has spread from Americas to other regions & into Asia into Asia (Desneux *et al.*, 2011). Larvae preferentially feed on all above-ground parts of tomato, create mines on the leaves and penetrate into young stems and fruits. Both yield and fruit quality can be significantly reduced by the direct feeding of the pest and the secondary pathogens which may then enter through the wounds made by the pest (Cristina *et al.*, 2008). Severely attacked tomato fruits lose their commercial value. Sixty to 100% losses have been reported in tomato crops, and even where chemical control is implemented, losses can still

exceed 5% (Korycinska and Moran, 2009). Its presence inside the mines, the high reproductive potential, polyvoltine nature and poor spraying technology make the leaf miner difficult to control chemically (Lietti *et al.*, 2005, Valchev *et al.* 2013). So farmers apply insecticide 8 to 25 times in a season (Temerak, 2011). The indiscriminate use of synthetic chemical pesticides to control this pest resulted in the rapid development of resistance (Dittrich *et al.*, 1990) and harmful pesticide residues in fruits (Amos *et al.*, 1992, 1994), destruction of natural enemy populations (Campbell *et al.*, 1991).

Applying new types of insecticides, originated from natural agents or products that disrupt the physiological processes of the target pest, could be useful alternatives in the integrated management approach (Parsaeyan et al., 2013). Biopesticides based on azadirachtin and Bacillus thuringiensis Berliner (or Bt) represent important control options for integrated management (IPM) because of their low ecotoxicological effects and short persistence in the environment (Lacev and Siegel, 2000; Anonymous, 2011; Braham and Hajji, 2012). Indoxacarb, the active ingredient in DuPontTM Steward® EC insecticide, is a broad-spectrum Lepidoptera insecticide that also has activity on other pests such as bollworms, budworms, armyworms, cutworms, loopers and clover worms (Anonymous, 2006). The insecticide was broadly recommended for control of T. absoluta in tomato fields of Iran. Effect of some chemical and biorational insecticides on T. absoluta were previously investigated in field and laboratory conditions (Gonzales-Cabera et al., 2011; Mollá et al., 2011; Hanafy and El-Sayed, 2013; Larrain et al., 2014).

The existence of naturally occurring agents, including biocontrol ladybirds, lacewings, carabid beetles, spiders etc, as generalist predators, is one of main reasons why many plant feeding insects do not ordinarily become economic pests. The importance of such agents often becomes quite apparent when application of an insecticide to control one pest results in other pest outbreaks due to the chemical destruction of important natural enemies (El-Wakeil *et al.*, 2013). The compatibility of biological control agents with pesticides is a central concern in integrated pest management programs (Stark *et al.*, 2007). A few studies were done on the effects of applied insecticides on natural enemies of *T. absoluta* (Consoli *et al.*, 1998; Arno and Gabarra, 2011; Mollá *et al.*, 2011).

This study was carried out to evaluate the effect of biorational and chemical insecticides such as azadirachtin, indoxacarb, and *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt.) against *T. absoluta* and to investigate their effects on population of its coexisting general predators in tomato fields.

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted in tomato field in Masjed Soleiman (31° 56′ 11″ North, 49° 18′ 14″ East), Khuzestan province, Iran during the 2013/2014 growing season. The King Stone tomato cultivar was used in the trials. Growing, fertilizing, weeding and irrigation (every 7 days) of tomato were done according to the routine practice of Khuzestan Agricultural Organization (KAO). The field was divided into 5 plots (500 m²) and wide ridges (1 meter).

The information about insecticide treatments is presented in Table 1. Control was sprayed with water.

Treatments were applied using a backpack sprayer in a broadcast application using the hollow cone, solid spray tip type of nozzle (TXVK-10). The equipment was set to deliver 1000 L/ha, following growers' usual practice. Sprayings were done after the first flight activity of *T. absoluta* moths .The male flight activity was monitored using sex pheromone lures (Russel IPM, U. K.) placed inside Delta sticky traps. The number of males caught in traps was recorded weekly.

Sampling for estimation of *T. absoluta* densities was performed 1 day before treatment (DBT) and 1, 5, 8, 14 and 19 days after treatment (DAT). At each sampling time, 6 plants were randomly selected. From each chosen plant, 10 leaves and 10 fruits

were randomly selected from the upper part of the plant and numbers of live larvae of T. absoluta, larval mines and percentage of damaged fruits were separately recorded for each experimental treatment. Also, the numbers of the coexisting generalist predators such as Coccinella septempunctata L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae), Chrysopa carnea Stephens (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), Syrrita sp. (Diptera: Syrphidae) on the plant foliage were recorded at the same time. All of the predators coexisting with T. absoluta in tomato fields of Khuzestan province also fed on other important pests such as aphids, whiteflies and some other caterpillars.

Data analysis

Experiment was performed in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in four replications. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to detect significant difference between treatments. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS computer software (version 16).

Experiment was performed in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) in four replications. Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to detect significant difference between treatments. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS computer software (version 16).

Results

T. absoluta larvae density

Larval densities of *Tuta absoluta* before and after treatments are presented in Table 2. No significant difference was observed between treatments one day before insecticide application. At this time, the mean number of total live larvae per plant varied from 2.7-3.5.

The larval density of *T. absoluta* was significantly reduced by indoxacarb at 1 DAT. Azadirachtin and azadirachtin + Bt proved to be nearly as effective as indoxacarb. However, no significant difference was observed between Bt and control regarding the mean number of live larvae. At 5 DAT, all experimental treatments

significantly suppressed population of the pest larvae. The effects of azadirachtin, azadirachtin + Bt and Bt on *T. absoluta* larvae were significantly more than indoxacarb at 8 DAT. Nevertheless, indoxacarb significantly decreased larval density of the pest compared to control. The same trend of efficacy was observed for all treatments at 14 and 19 DAT.

The highest and lowest long term effects on the pest population were observed in azadirachtin + Bt and indoxacarb treatments, respectively. At 19 DAT, 100 and 38% reduction in larval density were observed in azadirachtin + Bt and indoxacarb, respectively. The pest density in the plants that were treated with azadirachtin, azadirachtin + Bt and Bt was always significantly lower than indoxacarb and control in all sampling dates. Generally, the tested bio-insecticides were more effective.

Leaf and stem

The mean number of leaf and stem damages are shown in Table 3. There was no significant difference in the observed recorded damage between insecticide treatments and control in 8 DAT. After two weeks, the number of leaf and stem mines were significantly reduced compared to control. At the end of sampling, minimum mines were recorded in azadirachtin and azadirachtin + Bt treatments (1.6 mines per plant) and maximum in control (38.3 mines per plant). Therefore, azadirachtin alone and combined with Bt caused 96% reduction in leaf or stem mines.

Fruit

Percentage of damaged fruits is presented in Table 4. No significant difference was observed between treatments at 1 DBT and 1 DAT. But all the insecticide treatments significantly reduced the damaged fruits compared to control 5 DAT. At 19 DAT, the effect of azadirachtin + Bt and azadirachtin were equal while Bt alone was less effective. However, indoxacarb was not effective compared to control. Damaged fruit in azadirachtin + Bt treatment was less than all other treatments. As the percent damaged fruits was nil in this treatment (Table 4).

Generalist predator densities

Chrysoperla carnea, C. septempunctat and Seritta sp. densities before and after treatments are presented in Tables 5-7. Population of the predators was significantly suppressed by indoxacarb until the end of sampling compared to control. The least

detrimental effects on population density of the natural enemies were recorded in Bt treatment. In all dates after Bt treatment, the predator densities were not significantly different to control. Azadirachtin alone and combined with Bt had moderate destructive effects on the generalist predators.

Table 1 Insecticide information in the treatments.

Treatment	Trade name	Formulation	Mode of action	Applied rate per hectare
Indoxacarb ¹	Avaunt ®	SC 15%	Sodium channel modulator	250 ml
Bacillus thuringiensis ssp. Kurstaki ² (Bt)	Belthirul [®]	32000 spore/g WP	Delta- endotoxin as digestive toxin	0.5 kg
Azadirachtin ³ (Az)	Neemarin 1500 ppm®	EC 1%	Insect growth regulator	1 L
Mix of Bt and Az	-	-	-	0.5 kg (Bt) + 1 L (AZ)

¹ Sumitomo chemical company, Japan.

Table 2 Mean number of *Tuta absoluta* total live larvae per plant before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹	Days after tea	atment ¹			
	1	1	5	8	14	19
Indoxacarb	2.8 ± 1.3	0.2 ± 0.17^{b}	0.7 ± 0.33^{b}	3.3 ± 0.49^{b}	7.2 ± 1.01^{b}	4.3 ± 1.11^{b}
Bt	2.0 ± 0.6	4.0 ± 1.30^a	2.0 ± 0.89^b	1.3 ± 0.61^c	3.2 ± 1.30^c	3.0 ± 0.52^{ab}
Azadirachtin + Bt	1.4 ± 0.6	2.8 ± 1.20^{ab}	1.7 ± 0.95^b	0.3 ± 0.21^c	0.2 ± 0.17^c	0^{c}
Azadirachtin	2.2 ± 0.9	2.3 ± 1.05^{ab}	1.3 ± 0.49^b	1.7 ± 0.56^{ab}	1.3 ± 0.49^c	0.7 ± 0.33^{c}
Control	3.1 ± 1.2	4.2 ± 1.40^a	8.8 ± 1.97^a	8.8 ± 1.97^a	11.0 ± 1.40^{a}	7.0 ± 0.97^a
F - value (df = 4, 19)	1.70	2.60	3.20	13.39	22.07	15.63
P - value	0.467	0.032	0.026	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Table 3 Mean ± numbers of leaf and stem mines caused by *Tuta absoluta* before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹	Days after teatment ¹					
	1	1	5	8	14	19	
Indoxacarb	8.3 ± 5.3	5.0 ± 2.2	3.3 ± 2.1	5.0 ± 2.2^{b}	10.0 ± 6.3^{b}	5.0 ± 2.2^{b}	
Bt	6.6 ± 3.3	11.6 ± 5.4	10.0 ± 6.3	5.0 ± 2.2^b	5.0 ± 2.2^b	5.0 ± 2.2^b	
Azadirachtin + Bt	6.6 ± 4.2	8.3 ± 6.5	6.6 ± 4.9	1.6 ± 1.6^{b}	0 _p	1.6 ± 1.6^{b}	
Azadirachtin	8.3 ± 6.5	10.0 ± 6.3	10.0 ± 4.4	1.6 ± 1.6^{b}	1.6 ± 1.6^{b}	1.6 ± 1.6^{b}	
Control	8.3 ± 4.7	13.3 ± 7.1	23.0 ± 10.5	40.0 ± 15.1^{a}	41.6 ± 9.1^a	38.3 ± 12.4^a	
F value ($df = 4, 19$)	0.24	0.3	1.4	7.1	11.3	7.21	
P - value	0.9	0.87	0.24	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

² Probelte SA, Spain.

³ Biotech International Ltd.

Table 4 Mean percentages of damaged fruits caused by *Tuta absoluta* before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹	Days after teatment ¹					
	1	1	5	8	14	19	
Indoxacarb	0.8 ± 0.30	1.0 ± 0.36	1.0 ± 0.36	0.7 ± 0.30^{b}	0.8 ± 0.30^{b}	2.6 ± 0.80^{ab}	
Bt	0.6 ± 0.30	0.8 ± 0.10	0.8 ± 0.16	0.3 ± 0.30^{b}	0.2 ± 0.16^{b}	1.0 ± 0.50^{bc}	
Azadirachtin + Bt	0.8 ± 0.30	1.0 ± 0.40	1.0 ± 0.44	0.2 ± 0.16^{b}	0.2 ± 0.16^{b}	0^{c}	
Azadirachtin	1.0 ± 0.25	1.0 ± 0.70	1.0 ± 0.70	0.3 ± 0.20^{b}	0.2 ± 0.16^{b}	0.5 ± 0.22^{c}	
Control	0.6 ± 0.30	1.1 ± 0.50	1.16 ± 0.50	3.5 ± 1.20^{a}	4.0 ± 0.70^{a}	3.6 ± 0.91^{a}	
F- value ($df = 4, 19$)	0.2	0.06	2.2	5.5	23.1	6.1	
P - value	0.93	0.99	0.99	0.003	< 0.0001	< 0.001	

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Table 5 Mean number of *Chrysoperla carnea* per plant before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹	Days after teatment ¹						
•	1	1	5	8	14	19		
Indoxacarb	0.37 ± 0.12	0^{c}	$0_{\rm p}$	O ^a	0.33 ± 0.21	0.50 ± 0.22		
Bt	0.37 ± 0.07	0.37 ± 0.14^{ab}	0.25 ± 0.10^{ab}	0.29 ± 0.10^{ab}	0.33 ± 0.21	0.66 ± 0.33		
Azadirachtin + Bt	0.41 ± 0.10	0.08 ± 0.04^{c}	0.08 ± 0.08^{ab}	0^{a}	0.66 ± 0.21	0.50 ± 0.22		
Azadirachtin	0.29 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.07^{bc}	0.08 ± 0.08^{ab}	0^{a}	0.50 ± 0.22	0.33 ± 0.21		
Control	0.29 ± 0.14	0.41 ± 0.10^{a}	0.29 ± 0.07^{a}	0.58 ± 0.27^{b}	0.33 ± 0.21	0.66 ± 0.33		
df	4, 19	4, 19	4, 19	4, 19	4, 29	4, 29		
F - value	0.26	4.22	2.4	3.07	0.48	0.26		
P - value	0.89	0.017	0.05	0.04	0.74	0.89		

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Table 6 Mean number of *Coccinella septempunctata* per plant before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹ Days after teatment ¹							
	1	1	5	8	14	19		
Indoxacarb	0.21 ± 0.10	$0_{\rm p}$	0	$0_{\rm p}$	$0_{\rm p}$	0.041 ± 0.04		
Bt	0.16 ± 0.06	0.08 ± 0.40^{b}	0.08 ± 0.05	0.25 ± 0.10^{a}	0.21 ± 0.08^a	0.041 ± 0.04		
Azadirachtin + Bt	0.12 ± 0.08	0.04 ± 0.04^{b}	0	$0_{\rm p}$	0 ^b	0		
Azadirachtin	0.21 ± 0.80	0.04 ± 0.04^{b}	0	$0_{\rm p}$	$0_{\rm p}$	0		
Control	0.12 ± 0.80	0.21 ± 0.04^a	0.13 ± 0.08	0.335 ± 0.12^{a}	0.25 ± 0.08^a	0.08 ± 0.05		
F - value (df = 4, 19)	0.25	4.26	3	5.18	6	1.05		
p - value	0.9	0.017	0.5	0.008	0.004	0.415		

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Table 7 Mean number of *Syritta* sp. per plant before and after treatment.

Treatments	Days before treatment ¹	Days after to	eatment ¹			
	1	1	5	8	14	19
Indoxacarb	0.08 ± 0.40	0	0	0	$0_{\rm p}$	0
Bt	0.12 ± 0.07	0	0	0.04 ± 0.04	0.25 ± 0.11^{ab}	0
Azadirachtin + Bt	0.04 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.004	0.04 ± 0.04	0.04 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.05^{ab}	0
Azadirachtin	0.04 ± 0.04	0	0	0.08 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.05^{ab}	0
Control	0.04 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.040	0.08 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.12^{a}	0
F - value (df = 4, 19)	0.59	3.34	1.71	0.75	2.41	
P - value	0.48	0.084	0.199	0.57	0.05	

¹ Means followed by different letters in each column indicate significant differences (Duncan's test, $P \le 0.05$).

Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to evaluate short and long term effects of indoxacarb (as a chemical insecticide) and Bt, azadirachtin and mixture of AZ + Bt (as biorational insecticides) against T. absoluta larvae and its coexisting generalist predators in the field. In Iran, on June 2011, the pest was detected in 24 different locations. Thus, based on experiences of other countries an IPM program was developed according to available facilities (Baniameri Cheraghian, 2012). Despite the high cost of Avant (indoxacarb), it is now the widely used bioinsecticide for management of this insect. Findings of this field study suggest the good performance of indoxacarb, Bt, Bt + AZ and azadirachtin. Our field data suggest good short term performance of indoxacarb. The short term efficacy of indoxacarb against T. absoluta is due to its rapid activity as a powerful voltage-dependent sodium channel blocker in nerve axons which inhibits propagation of nerve potential, which occurs rapidly in Lepidoptera (Derbalah et al., 2012). Our study showed that indoxacarb loses its efficacy after nearly one week. Also, Liu et al. (2003) showed that toxicity of fieldaged leaf residues of indoxacarb against Plutella xylostella (Lepidoptera: L. Gelechiidae) gradually decline 21 days after treatment in cabbage field. Takkar et al. (2011) stated that indoxacarb residues on cauliflower leaf dissipate after 7 days.

Moderate levels of resistance (up to 27.5-folds) were also reported for indoxacarb (Silva et al., 2011). Indoxacarb is a powerful insecticide in controlling many Lepidopteran pests. Wakil et al. (2009) showed that integrating weed control, larvae hand picking and indoxacarb sprays to control *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) reduce the larval population, pod infestation and maximize grain yield. Braham and Hajji (2012) conducted field and laboratory trials on tomato to control *T. absoluta* using spinosad,

indoxacarb and pyrethroid compounds. They demonstrated that the product indoxacarb tends to be a powerful control tool of *T. absoluta* larvae.

Biopesticides based on Bt are used as an alternative strategy to control pests. Bt is a rod-shaped, gram positive, endospore-forming bacterium, characterized by its ability to synthesize delta endotoxins as protein inclusion crystals (or Cry proteins) during sporulation. Bt, an entomopathogenic bacterium, has also been used in the control of tomato plant pests (Hofte and Whiteley, 1989).

In the UK, three insecticides have been registered for the control of *T. absoluta* in tomato, pepper and aubergine, viz; *B. thuringiensis var.* kurstaki, indoxacarb and spinosad (FERA, 2009).

Bt exhibited satisfactory efficacy against *T. absoluta* in this study and this is in agreement with the results of Derbalah *et al.* (2012) who reported that the metabolites in Bt have potential insecticidal activity against pests. They showed that insecticidal activity of Bt filtrate against *T. absoluta* may be due to the presence of known bioactive compounds Gonzales-Cabera *et al.* (2011) explained that the impact of *T. absoluta* can be greatly reduced by spraying only Bt-based formulations, with no need for further chemical insecticides. Same results were reported by other authors (Giustolin and Vendramim, 2001; Yousef and Hassan, 2013).

Suitable long term insecticidal activity of azadirachtin is proven in our research. Products with active ingredient azadirachtin, tetranortriterpenoid extracted from seeds and vegetative mass of the neem tree (Azadirachta indica a. Juss), and the fruit of chinaberry, Melia azaderach L. (Meliaceae) are limonoids and possess specific antifeedant and deterrent activity, suppress and stop insect feeding, reduce moulting and cause deformations in pupae and in the imago, and decrease fecundity of the females (Isman, 2006). The application of plant extracts is an important element of the strategy for integrated management of T.

absoluta (Braham and Hajji, 2012). Findings of this study support the results of Yankova et al. (2014) for effectiveness of phytopesticide Neem Azal T/S 0.3% against larvae of T. absoluta. According to Mudathir and Basedow (2003) neem formulations significantly reduced pest attack on tomato and increased yield. Farrokhi et al. (2011) suggested that selective pesticides (azadirachtin, spinosad indoxacarb) at recommended doses are effective against T. absoluta without adverse effects on natural enemies.

This study also showed the possibility of mixing Bt with botanical extracts which could help to delay the resistance development by the insect. It seems that azadirachtin and Bt have additive effect. Similarly, it is demonstrated that the combination of Bt and spinosad (a biorational insecticide) have good additive effect when compared to each treatment singly. In contrast to our finding, laboratory study of Amizadeh et al. (2015) on compatibility and interaction of Bt and some chemical and biorational insecticides abamectin, (eg. azadirachtin, indoxacarb, chlorantraniliprole, dichlorovos, and metaflumizone) showed an antagonistic effect for mix of Bt and azadirachtin. The authors stated that simultaneous use of the chemical insecticides tested and Bt was not recommended for T. absoluta control; and that a proper time lapse was needed. The different results may be related to different conditions between laboratory and field trials.

The greatest effect on C. carnea, C. septempunctat and Serrita sp. was observed in the indoxacarb treatment. Indoxacarb is a selective insecticide belonging to oxadiazine group and is active against lepidopteran pests (Wing et al., 1998). Indoxacarb was considered safe to natural enemies and other beneficial organism (Horowitz and Ishaaya, 2004). Several assays have been performed to evaluate the toxicity of indoxacarb to some generalist predators such as *Chrysopa* rufilabris Burneister, C. septempunctat (Olszak and Sekrecka, 2008), Coleomegilla maculata DeGeer, Harmonia axyridis Pallas and Orius

insidiosus Say (Musser and Shelton, 2003). In contrast to our findings, indoxacarb exhibited good selectivity to all of the natural enemies tested in these experiments. The different predator species and experimental conditions may have caused these differences. Our results were similar to those of Arno and Gabbara (2011) who showed that indoxacarb is highly toxic for nymphs and adults of Macrolophus pygmaeus Rambur and Nesidiocoris tenuis Reuter, two generalist predators of *T. absoluta*. They showed that seven days after application at the maximum recommended field rates, the mortality produced by indoxacarb on the predators was significantly higher than that produced by azadirachtin (Arno and Gabarra, 2011). Similar results were stated by Galvan et al. (2005) and Awasthi et al. (2013) for Harmonia axyridis Pallas and Cheilomenes sp., respectively.

Bt has the least effect on the generalist predator densities. Endotoxins from Bt are generally not toxic to predatory and parasitic arthropods. However, elimination of susceptible prev and hosts in Bt treated crops could reduce predator and parasitoid population and thereby disrupt the bio control by other herbivorous pests (Schoenly et al., 2003). Selectivity of B. thuringiensis var kurstaki on lepidopteran pests and its reduced effect on the predators that coexist with *T. absoluta* indicates that it is a good candidate for integration with other suitable strategies in IPM. Furlong et al. (2008) described that he Bt-natural enemy strategy significantly increased crop yields and the impact of both parasitoid and predator natural enemies on pest populations. Molla et al. (2011) demonstrated that Bt and N. tenuis are compatible in IPM program of T. absoluta. In this study, it was shown that application of Bt immediately after the initial detection of the pest on the host plant, doesn't interfere with N. tenuis establishment.

Azadirachtin alone and combined with Bt caused reduction in population of the coexisting predators in comparison with the control but the effect was almost less than indoxacarb. Side effect of azadirachtin enhanced gradually on the

predators by time. Deterrent effects of azadirachtin on the predators may be a reason for reduction in their population. Azadirachtin is repellent to Venturia canescens Gravenhorts (Tunca et al., 2012) and Coleomegilla maculata lengi Timb. (Roger et al., 2009). Our results are in agreement with Travares et al. (2010) who reported that toxicity of Azadiracchtin to Eriopis connnexa Germar (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) is lower than lufenuron. Toxic effects of azadirachtin on two chrysopids, Chrysoperla externa Hagen and Ceraeochrysa cubana Hagen, were demonstrated by Cordeiro et al. (2010). A similar conclusion about toxicity of azadirachtin was also reached by Spollen and Isman (1996) for Aphidoletes aphidimyza Rondani (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae).

Conclusion

Short and long term effects of Bt and azadirachtin alone or in combination contribute positively to control of *T. absoluta* in tomato fields. The relatively high mortality induced by azadirachtin on the generalist coexisting predators however, is suggestive that Bt is the better option for control of the pest. Use of selective insecticides may improve the conservation of natural enemies and therefore contribute to the success of integrated pest management (IPM) programs in tomato fields.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by grant from Ramin Agriculture and Natural Resources University of Khuzestan.

References

- Amizadeh, M., Hejazi, M. J., Niknam, G. R. and Arzanlou, M. 2015. Compatibility and interaction between *Bacillus thuringiensis* and certain insecticides: perspective in management of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Biocontrol Science and Technology, 25: 671-684.
- Anonymous. 2006. DuPont™ Steward® EC Insecticide. Available on: http://www2.

- dupont.com/Production_Agriculture/en_US/assets/downloads/pdfs/K-14332.pdf
- Arno, J. and Gabarra, R. 2011. Side effects of selected insecticides on the *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) predators *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Journal of Pest Science, 84: 513-520.
- Awasthi, N., Barkhade, U. P., Patiland, S. R. and Lande, G. L. 2013. Comparative toxicity of some commonly used insecticides to cotton aphid and their safety to predatory coccinellids. The Bioscan, 8: 1007-1010.
- Baniameri, V. and Cheraghian, A. 2012. The first report and control strategies of *Tuta absoluta* in Iran. Bulletin OEPP. 42: 322-324.
- Braham, M. and Hajji, L. 2012. Management of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae) with insecticides on tomatoes. In: Perveenm F. (Ed.), Insecticides–Pest Engineering, InTech, Available on: http://www.intechopen.com/books/insecticides-pest-engineering/management-of-tuta-absoluta-lepidoptera-gelechiidae-with-insecticides-on-tomatoes. DOI: 10.5772/27812.
- Compbell, C. D., Walgenbachand, J. F. and Kennedy, C. G. 1991. Effect of parasitoids on lepidopterous pests in insecticides-treated and untreated tomatoes in Western North Carolina. Journal of Economic Entomology, 84: 1662-1667.
- Consoli, F. L., Parra, J. R. P. and Hassan, S. R. 1998. Side-effects of insecticides used in tomato fields on the egg parasitoid *Trichogramma pretiosum* Riley (Hym., Trichogrammatidae), a natural enemy of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae). Journal of Applied Entomology, 122: 43-47.
- Cordeiro, E. M., Correa, A. S., Venzon, M. and Guedes, R. N. 2010. Insecticide survival and behavioral avoidance in the lacewings *Chrysoperla externa* and *Ceraeochrysa cubana*. Chemosphere, 81: 1352-1357.
- Cristina, A. F., Jorge, B. T., Adriano, M. V. F. and Angela, M. I. F. 2008. Parasitism of *Tuta absoluta* in tomato plants by *Trichogramma pretiosum* Riley in response

- to host density and plant structures. Ciencia Rural, 38, 1504-1509.
- Derbalah, A. S., Morsey, S. Z. and El-Samahy, M. 2012. Some recent approaches to control *Tuta absoluta* in tomato under greenhouse conditions. African Entomology, 20: 27–34
- Desneux N., Luna M. G., Guillemaud T. and Urbaneja A. 2011. The invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, continues to spread in Afro-Eurasia and beyond: the new heart to tomato world production. Journal of Pest Science, 84: 403-408.
- Dittrich, V., Ernst, G. H., Ruesch, O. and Uk, S. 1990. Resistance mechanisms in sweetpotato whitefly (Homoptera, Aleyrodidae) population from Sudan, Turkey, Guatemala and Nicaragua. Journal of Economic Entomology, 83: 1665-1670.
- El-Wakeil, N., Gaafar, N., Sallam, A. and Volkmar, C. 2013. Side effects of insecticides on natural enemies and possibility of their integration in plant protection strategies. In: Trdan, S. (Ed.), Insecticides- Developments of Safer and More Effective Technologies. Intech press, Croatia. pp: 3-56.
- Farrokhi, S., Zerehgar, K., Heidari, H. and Marzban, R. 2011. *Tuta absoluta* (Lep., Gelechiidae): A serious threat to tomato farming in Iran. EPPO/IOBC/FAO/NEPPO Joint International Symposium on Management of *Tuta absoluta* (Tomato Borer), Agadir, Morocco.
- FERA, 2009. The food and environment Research Agency. Plant Pest notice. South American tomato moth *Tuta absoluta*. N° 56: 1-4.
- Furlong, M. J., Ju, K. H., Su, P. W., Chol, J. W., Chang II, R. and Zalucki, M. P. 2008. Integration of endemic natural enemies and *Bacillus thuringiensis* to manage insect pests of *Brassica* crops in North Korea. Agricultural Ecosystem and Environment, 125: 223-238.
- Galvan, T. L., Koch, R. L. and Hutchison, W. D. 2005. Toxicity of commonly used insecticides in sweet corn and soybean to

- multicolored Asian lady beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 98 (3): 780-789.
- Giustolin, T. A. and Vendramim, J. D. 2001. Susceptibility of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lep., Gelechiidae) reared on two species of *Lycopersicon* to *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. kurstaki. Journal of Applied Entomology, 125: 551-556.
- Gonzales-Cabera, J., Mollá, O., Monton, H. and Urbaneja, A. 2011. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Berliner) in controlling the tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). BioControl, 56: 71-80.
- Hanafy, H. E. M. and El-Sayed, W. 2013. Efficacy of bio-and chemical insecticides in the control of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) infesting tomato plants. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 7 (2): 943-948.
- Hofte, H. and Whiteley, H. R. 1989. Insecticidal crystal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Microbiological Review, 53: 242-255.
- Horowitz, R. A. and Ishaaya, I. 2004.

 Biorational insecticides-mechanisms, selectivity and importance in pest management. In: Horowitz, R. A. and Ishaaya, I. (Eds.), Insect Pest Management: Field and Protected Crops. Springer-Velage, Berlin Heidelberg New Yourk, PP: 1-28.
- Isman, M. B. 2006. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated World. Annual Review of Entomology, 51: 45-66.
- Korycinska, A. and Moran, H. 2009. South American tomato moth *Tuta absoluta*. The Food and Environment Research Agency (Fera). Available on: www.defra.gov.uk/fera/plants/plantHealth.
- Lacey, L. A and Siegel, J. P. 2000. Safety and ecotoxicology of entomopathogenic bacteria. In: Charles, J. F., Delécluse, A. and Nielsen-Le Roux, C. (Eds.), Entomopathogenic Bacteria: from Laboratory to Field Application. Springer Publishers, Netherland, pp: 253-273.

- Larrain, P., Escudero, C., Morre, J. and Rodriguez, J. 2014. Insecticide effect of cyantraniliprole on tomato moth *Tuta absoluta* Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) larvae in field trials. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 74 (2): 178-183.
- Lietti, M. M. M., Botto, E. and Alzogaray, R. A. 2005. Insecticide Resistance in Argentine populations of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). Neotropial Entomology, 34: 113-199.
- Liu, T. X., Sparks, A. N. and Chen, W. 2003. Toxicity, persistence and efficacy of indoxacarb and two other insecticides on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) immatures in cabbage. International journal of Pest Management, 49: 235-241.
- Mollá, O., Gonzales-Cabera, J. and Urbaneja, A. 2011. The combined use of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* against the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*. BioControl, 56: 883-891.
- Mudathir, M. and Basedow, T. 2003. Field experiments on the effects of neem products on pests and yield of okra *Abelmoschus esculentus*, Tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* and onion, *Allium cepa*, in Sudan. Mitteilungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Allgemeine und Angewandte Entomologie, 14: 407-410.
- Musser, F. R. and Shelton, A. M. 2003. Bt Sweet Corn and Selective Insecticides: Impacts on Pests and Predators. Journal of Economic Entomology, 96: 71-80.
- Olszak, R.W. and Sekrecka, M. 2008. Influence of some insecticides and acaricides on beneficial mites and on *Coccinella septempunctata* (Coleoptrea: Coccinellidae) larvae. IOBC/WPRS Bulletin, 35: 101-108.
- Parsaeyan, E., Saber, M., Abedi, Z. and Bagheri Motlagh, S. 2013. Influence of methoxyfenozide and pyridalyl on life parameters of cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Second Global Conference on Entomology, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Roger, C., Cicent, C. and Coderre, D. 2009. Mortality and predation efficiency of

- Coleomegilla maculata lengi Timb. (Col., Coccinellidae) following application of Neem extracts (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss., Meliaceae). Journal of Applied Entomology, 119: 439-443.
- Salunkhe, D. K., Desai, B. B. and Bhat, N. R. 1987. Vegetable and Flower Seed Production. Agricole Publishing Academy. New Delhi, India.
- Schoenly, K. G., Cohen, M. B., Barrion, A. T., Zhang, W., Gaolach, B. and Viajante, V. D. 2003. Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* on nontarget herbivore and natural enemy assemblages in tropical irrigated rice. Environmental Biosafety Research, 2: 181-206.
- Silva, G. A., Picanço, M. C., Bacci, L., Crespo,
 A. L. B., Rosado, J. F. and Guedes, R. N. C.
 2011. Control failure likelihood and spatial dependence of insecticide resistance in the tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*. Pest Management Science, 67: 913-920.
- Spollen, K. M. and Isman, M. B. 1996. Acute and sublethal effects of a neem insecticide on the commercial biological control agents *Phytoseiulus persimilis* and *Amblyseius cucumeris* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and *Aphidoletes aphidimyza* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 89: 1379-1386.
- Stark, J. D., Vargas, R. and Banks, J. E. 2007. Incorporating Ecologically Relevant Measures of Pesticide Effect for Estimating the Compatibility of Pesticides and Biocontrol Agents. Journal of Economic Entomology, 100: 1027-1032.
- Takkar, R., Sahoo, S. K., Singh, G., Mandal, K., Battu, R. S. and Singh, B. 2011. Persistence of Indoxacarb on cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) and its risk assessment. American Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 2: 69-76.
- Temerak, S. A. 2011. The status of *Tuta absoluta* in Egypt. EPPO/IOPC/FAO/NEPP Joint, International Symposium on management of *Tuta absoluta* (tomato borer) Conference, Agadri, Morocco.
- Travares, W. S., Costa, M. A., Cruz, I., Silveira, R. D., Serrao, J. E. and Zanuncio, J. C. 2010.

- Selective effects of natural and synthetic insecticides on mortality of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its predator *Eriopis connexa* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Journal of Environmental Science and Health, 45: 557-561.
- Tunca, H., Kilincer, N. and Ozkan, C. 2012. Seide effects of some botanical insecticides and extracts on the parasitoid, *Venturia canescens* (Grev.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Turkish Entomology Derg, 36: 205-214.
- Valchev, N., Yankova, V. and Markova, D. 2013. Biological activity of plant protection products against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) in tomato grown in greenhouses. Agricultural Science and Technology, 5: 318-321.
- Wakil W., Ashfaq M. and Ghazanfar M. U. 2009. Trends in integrated pest management strategies for the control of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) caterpillars on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Entomological Research, 31 (4): 81-84.

- Wing, K. D., Schnee, M. E., Sacher, M. and Connair, M. 1998. A novel oxidiazine insecticide is bioactivated in Lepidoptera larvae. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 37: 91-103.
- Yankova, V. 2012. Damage caused by tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick) in tomato varieties grown in greenhouse. Plant Science, 49: 92-97.
- Yankova, V., Valchev, N. and Markova, D. 2014. Effectiveness of phytopesticide Neem Azal T/S against tomato leaf miner (*Tuta absoluta* Meyrick) in greenhouse tomato. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 20: 1116-1118.
- Yousef, N. and Hassan, G. M. 2013. Bioensecticide activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolates on tomato borer, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) and their molecular identification. African Journal of Biotechnology, 12: 3699-3709.

اثرات کوتاه و درازمدت برخی سموم زیستی روی مینوز برگ گوجهفرنگی Tuta absoluta و شکارگران همجا با آن در مزارع گوجهفرنگی Meyrick (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae)

لیلا نظرپور '، فاطمه یاراحمدی این موسی صابر و علی رجب پور ا

۱-گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی رامین خوزستان، ملاثانی، اهواز، ایران.

۲- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه تبریز، تبریز، ایران.

* پست الكترونيكي نويسنده مسئول مكاتبه: yarahmadi@ramin.ac.ir

دریافت: ۲۸ مرداد ۱۳۹۴؛ پذیرش: ۳۱ اردیبهشت ۱۳۹۵

چکیده: مینوز برگ گوجهفرنگی (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) یکی از خطرناکترین آفات گوجهفرنگی در بسیاری از نقاط دنیا از جمله ایران میباشد. مطالعات مزرعهای بهمنظور تعیین اثرات کوتاه و درازمدت باسیلوس تورینجنسیس زیرگونه کروستاکی (Bt)، آزادیراختین (Az)، مخلوط آزادیراختین و Bt و ایندوکساکارب (بهعنوان یک حشره کش رایج) روی لاروهای T. absoluta، انجام شد. همچنین تأثیرات این حشره کشها روی شکارگران عمومیخوار همجای این آفت (Coccinella - د مطالعه قرار گرفت. نمونه (Syritta sp. و Chrysopa carnea Stephens septempunctata L. برداریها از T. absoluta و شکارگران عمومیخوار همجای آن در یک روز قبل (DBT) و بعد از تیمار نمودن، ۵، ۸ و ۱۴ و ۱۹ روز پس تیمار (DAT) انجام شد. نتایج نشان دهنده اثرات کوتاهمدت معنی دار ایندوکساکارب روی لاروهای این آفت بود. ایندوکساکارب تراکم T. absoluta و خسارت آن را کاهش داد. Bt، آزادیراختین و ترکیب آنها به صورت معنی داری تراکم لاروی را در ۱۹ روز پس از تیمار سرکوب نموده و موجب کاهش معنی دار در خسارت روی برگ، ساقه و میوه شد. بیش ترین اثر بلندمدت روی جمعیت این آفت در تیمار آزادیراختین +Bt و مشاهده شد. آزادیراختین +Bt موجب کاهش صد در C. ومارت اندامهای هوایی در مقایسه با تیمار شاهد شد. بیشترین و کمترین اثرات روی C. septempunctata «carnea بهترتیب در تیمارهای ایندوکساکارب و Bt مشاهده شد. نتایج این مطالعه بیانگر این بود که ترکیب آزادیراختین و Bt دارای بیشترین اثر اختصاصی روی این آفت و کمترین اثرات سوء روی شکارگران عمومی همجای آن است.

واژگان کلیدی: مینوز برگی گوجهفرنگی، بیتی، آزادیراختین، ایندوکساکارب، سمیت