

Research Article Gaeolaelaps (Acari: Laelapidae) mites of Iran with description of a new species

Mohammadreza Kavianpour¹ and Alireza Nemati^{2*}

1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

2. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Shahrekord, Iran.

Abstract: Edaphic mites of the order Mesostigmata have important effects in soil ecosystems and food chains. In order to study the fauna of Laelapidae (Mesostigmata), soil samples were collected from different parts of Shahreza vicinity in Esfahan province, Iran, during 2010-2012. Among collected species a new species of *Gaeolaelaps* has been collected from soil and litter and described based on adult female specimens. Some morphological characters of *G. jondishapouri* Nemati & Kavianpour were discussed in this paper. A key to *Gaeolaelaps* species of Iran is provided.

Keywords: Mesostigmata, Laelapidae, Gaeolaelaps, Iran

Introduction

Soil-living laelapid mites compose a large part of soil and litter mesostigmatid fauna. Gaeolaelaps is a large genus of Laelapidae that includes many species from different habitats, but most are reported from soil and litter (Evans & Till, 1966; Costa, 1968 & 1974; Karg, 1989a, b, 1993, 2003; Rosario, 1981; Tenorio, 1982; Beaulieu, 2009). So far four new species of this genus, G. iranicus Kavianpour & Nemati, G. farajii Nemati & Mohseni, 2013; G. orbiculatus Nemati & Mohseni, 2013 and G. jondishapouri Nemati & Kavianpour, 2013 have been collected from soil and litter and described from Iran (Kavianpour et al., 2013; Nemati & Kavianpour 2013; Nemati and Mohseni, 2013). Some species of this genus were reported from various parts of Iran by different researchers (Mossaddegh, 1997; Nemati *et al.*, 2000a; Kamali *et al.*, 2001; Haddad Irani-Nejad *et al.* 2003; Mosavi *et al.* 2004; Noei, 2007; Babaeian *et al.* 2010; Ramezani & Nemati, 2010; Nemati & Kavianpour, 2013; Kavianpour *et al.* 2013). Up to present 15 species of *Gaeolaelaps* have been recorded from Iran (Nemati & Mohseni, 2013). In this paper we describe a new species, collected from soil and litter in the central parts of Iran. This new species raises the number of known species of this genus from Iran to 16. A key to *Gaeolaelaps* species of Iran is provided.

Materials and Methods

The specimens of genus *Gaeolaelaps* were collected from various soil and litter samples from Shahreza city in Esfahan province. Mites were separated from soil and litter samples by use of Berlese funnels, cleared in lactic acid at 55 °C and mounted into Hoyer medium as permanent microscope slides. Line drawings were made by use of a drawing tube and figures were performed with Corel X-draw software. All measurements in the descriptions are given

Handling Editor: Dr. Mohammad Khanjani

^{*} **Corresponding author**, e-mail: nemati.alireza@agr.sku.ac.ir Received: 13 June 2013, Accepted: 29 January 2014 Published online: 29 January 2014

in micrometers (μ m). The dorsal setae notation, leg and pedipalp chaetotaxy were conducted according to the Lindquist and Evans (1965) and Evans (1963a,b) systems of nomenclature, respectively. The female holotype and some of female paratypes are deposited in the Acarological Laboratory, Department of Plant Protection, Agricultural College, Shahrekord University Shahrekord, Iran, and one paratype is deposited in the Senckenberg Museum fur Naturkunde Gorlitz Am Museum 1 02826 Gorlitz Germany.

Results

Genus Gaeolaelaps Evans & Till

Geolaelaps Berlese, 1923: 254, *nomen nudum* (see Halliday & Lindquist 2007)

Gaeolaelaps Trägårdh, 1952: 66, *nomen nudum. Gaeolaelaps* Evans & Till, 1966: 159, correct original spelling as clarified by Halliday & Lindquist (2007).

Type species: *Laelaps aculeifer* Canestrini (1884), by original designation (Evans & Till 1966).

Genus diagnosis: followed Beaulieu, 2009.

Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n.

Figs. 1-6

Materials examined

Holotype female from soil of a garden at Shahreza (31°58'15.14"N, 51°50'53.63"E, alt. 1849 m), Esfahan province, Iran, coll., M. Kavianpour, 1 May 2011. Paratypes: three females, same data as holotype.

Diagnosis. Female; Dorsal shield with 39 pairs of setae, Lateral margin of dorsal shield concave from s4 to S3 and reticulation more distinct posterior to J1; peritremes short, extending to middle level of coxa II; presternal shield and anterior margin of sternal shield are distinct, st1 longer than st2 and st3; anal shield subtriangular and wider than long; epistome subtriangular; fixed digit with 5 teeth; with normal podal plates posterior to coxae IV.

Description of the female (n = 4)

Dorsal idiosoma. Fig 1. Dorsal shield suboval, area from level of seta s4 to S3 concave, 408-

431 long, 210-223 wide (at level of setae r3), reticulation as a network of small hexagonal shapes which is more distinct posterior to setae J1 (Fig. 1), and extended on the whole wide of the shield in this part, dorsal shield with 39 pairs of thin simple setae, 22 pairs on podonotum (j1-6; z1-6; s1-6; r2-5) and 17 pairs on opisthonotum (J1-5, Z1-5, S1-5), including two pairs of Px (Px2-3) between J and Z series and r6 and R5 on the lateral area of weakly sclerotised cuticle surrounding shield. Podonotal setae generally slightly longer than opisthonotal setae, setal lengths: j1-6 15-21, z1-2 13-15, z3-6 18-21, s1-6 13-18, r2-5 and J1-6 15-21, Z1-4 18-20, Z5 longest 27, S1-4 15-18, S5 21; with eight pore-like structures on podonotum and nine pairs on opisthonotum.

Ventral idiosoma (Fig 2). Tritosternum with tubular base 26 and pilose laciniae 78-83. Pre-sternal plate well distinct and with thin horizontal lines. Sternal shield with distinct anterior margin, smooth except posterolateral area, 109-114 long, width at level of st2 95-100. Sternal setae smooth, st1 26, st2 (19-21) and st3 (18), iv1 slit-like, located behind st1 and iv2 pore-like between st2-st3. Setae st4 18-21 and pore-like iv3 located on soft integument. Genital shield apparently smooth except for an inverted v-shaped line (in some paratypes with inconspicuous thin lines on the surface), tongue-shaped 120-124 long, (excluding hyaline flap at base of posterior margin of sternal shield) 57-62 wide, with parallel lateral margins, bearing genital setae st5 (length 18). Paragenital pores (iv5) on soft integument near genital setae. Anal shield subtriangular, 60 long, 68-70 wide, post-anal seta equal to paranal setae (18). Cribrum extending antero-laterally to a point anterior to level of post-anal seta. Opisthogastric surface with: 1 pair of suboval metapodal plates; 1 pair of narrow, elongate paragenital platelets; seven pairs of smooth setae, Zv1-2 and Jv1-5 12-15 long; and three pairs of pore-like structures, plus one pair of pores on lateral margin of anal shield. Stigmata surrounded by narrow stigmatal plate with small incision at its tip, Stigmata located nearly in middle level of coxae III-IV. Peritremes narrow and short, extending to middle margin of coxa II, peritrematal plate wider in middle part, and with one glandular poroid gp and one lyrifissure ip (Fig. 2), separated from exopodal shield. Poststigmatal plate with two pores, and extending from anterior part of coxa IV to its posterior level. Exopodal plates fragmented, like small triangular plates between coxae II–III and III-IV; crescent-shaped podal plates located around posterior margin of coxae IV, Endopodal plates II/III fused to lateral margins of sternal shield, and III–IV almost elongate, narrow and angular.

Gnathosoma. Hypostome (Fig. 3) with 3 pairs of similar smooth simple setae; h1 21-25, h2 and h3 9-12 and palp-coxal setae 23 µm. Hypostomal groove with six rows of three to seven denticles. Corniculi normal and horn-lik, extending at level of palp-femure. Chelicerae (Fig. 4) normal for genus, with arthrodial processes, moveable digit (50-56) with 2 teeth, middle article 131-135, fixed digit with 5 teeth, setaceous pilus dentilis small. Epistome subtriangular and irregularly denticulate laterally (Fig. 5). Palp chaetotaxy normal (sensu Evans 1963b), with simple setae except al on femur slightly thickened, spine-like, all and al2 of genu thickened, all with tip rounded and *al2* spine-like, palp tarsal claw two-tined.

Legs. Tarsi I-IV with claws and ambulacra. Leg I (392-4130) (excluding pre-tarsus): coxa (52-62), trochanter (34), femur (70-78), genu (55-62), tibia (62-68), tarsus (112-117); leg II 270: coxa (45), trochanter (30), femur (45), genu (39), tibia (39), tarsus (72); leg III 241: coxa (31), trochanter (36-41), femur (49-59), genu (26-28), tibia (28-31), tarsus (62); leg IV (Fig. 6), (372-382): coxa (23-36), trochanter (57-60), femur (80-85), genu (54), tibia (52-55), tarsus (91-104); Leg I is the longest, and longer than leg IV. Chaetotaxy of all leg segments normal for *Gaeolaelaps* (sensu Evans 1963a) and is as follows:

Leg I: coxa 0 0/1 0/1 0; trochanter 1 0/2 1/1 1; femur 2 2/1 3/3 2; genu 2 3/2 3/1 2;

tibia 2 3/2 3/1 2. Leg II: coxa 0 0/1 0/1 0; trochanter 1 0/2 0/1 1; femur 2 3/1 2/2 1; genu 2 3/1 2/1 2; tibia 2 2/1 2/1 2 (*av* and *pv* slightly thickened); tarsus 3,3/2,3/2,3 + mv, *md*. Leg III: coxa 0 0/1 0/1 0; trochanter 1 0/2 0/1 1; femur 1 2/1 1/0 1; genu 2 2/1 2/1 1; tibia 2 1/1 2/1 1; tarsus3 3/2 3/2 3 + *mv*, *md*. Leg IV: coxa 0 0/1 0/0 0; trochanter 1 0/2 0/1 1; femur 1 2/1 1/0 1; genu 2 2/1 3/0 1; tibia 2 1/1 3/1 2; tarsus 3,3/2,3/2,3 + *mv*, *md*. All legs setae are simple and slender, except for some setae of leg IV: ventral setae of genu, tibia and tarsus, *pd* of femur, *al1-3* and *pl1-3* of tarsus are slightly thickened and spine-like.

Insemination structures. Not seen, apparently unsclerotized.

Male: Unknown.

Etymology: This species is named in honor of Prof. Mohammad Saeid Mossadegh, retired professor of Plant Protection Department, Agricultural College, Shahid-Chamran University, Ahwaz, Iran, who is a pioneer in entomology and acarology, especially mites associated with honey bees in Iran.

Discussion

A key to the world *Gaeolaelaps* species with short peritreme was presented in Nemati and Mohseni, 2013. Most of the *Gaeolaelaps* species with short peritreme have been described by Karg (Karg, 1962; 1965; 1979; 1982; 1994; 2000 & 2006). The new species belongs to the complex with short peritreme which extended to the middle level of coxa II.

Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., is similar to G. arabicus (Hafez et al., 1982); G. latopuga (Karg, 2006); G. loksai (Karg, 2000); G. cerata (Karg, 1982); G. similisetae (Karg, 1965) and G. nolli, (Karg, 1962).

Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour and Nemati sp. n., has distinct anterior margin of sternal shield and two well sclerotized and separated pre-sternal plates; it has crescentshaped podal plates posterior to coxa IV and endopodal III–IV almost elongate, narrow and angular; it has anal plate wider than long; its dorsal shield with reticulation more distinct posterior to J1 setae in whole dorsal shield; st1 longer than st2-3, while G. *arabicus* has sternal shield with no defined anterior margin; without well sclerotised presternal shields and the anterior margin of the sternal shield with triangular punctuated area; without podal plate posterior to coxa IV and endopodal plate III-IV like small triangulat plates; the length of anal shield is 1.5 times as its width; dorsal shield with smooth surface; *st1* nearly equal to *st2-3*.



Figures 1-2 Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., Female. 1 Dorsum, 2 Venter.



Figures 3-6 Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., Female. 3 Hypostome, 4 Chelicera, 5 Epistome, 6 Leg IV.

Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour and Nemati sp. n., may be differentiated from G. latopuga by having longer dorsal setae (15-27), a subtriangular epistome, apparently smooth genital shield flanked by one pair of small platelets, st1 longer than st2 and st3, and fixed digit with five teeth, while in G. latopuga there are shorter dorsal setae (11-14), a rounded epistome, reticulated genital shield not flanked by platelets, st1 shorter than st2 and st3 and fixed digit with six denticles.

Gaeolaelaps mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., differs from G. loksai by the sub-triangular epistome, genital shield narrower than anal shield, genital shield not

close to anal shield, distance between these two shields more than 1/3 length of anal shield, genital shield with lateral lines wellnigh parallel, shorter dorsal setae (15-27), having one pair of narrow platelets near the genital shield, distance of J2-J2 almost equal to J1-J1 whereas G. loksai has an arcuated epistome, wide genital shield wider than anal shield, genital shield extending close to anal shield, distance between these two shields less than 1/3 length of anal shield, non parallel lateral borders of genital shield, longer dorsal setae (30-37), having two platelets near the genital shield and distance of J2-J2 is more than twice that of J1-J1.

G. mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., is separated from G. cerata by these characters: shorter dorsal setae (15-27 and Z5 = 27), J1 and J4 not reaching to base of next setae in series, z3 present, st1 longer than st2 and st3, apparently smooth genital shield with one pair of small platelets in its borderline, and having only Zv1-2, whereas in G. cerata there are longer dorsal setae (30-50 and Z5 = 52), J1 and J4 reaching to the base of next setae in series, z3 absent, st1 shorter than st2 and st3, reticulated genital shield without one pair of platelets in its borderline, and having Zv1-5.

G. mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., is similar to G. nolli and G. similisetae, but it differs from the first species by its fixed subtriangular epistome, digit of chelicerae with five teeth, shorter dorsal setae (15-27 and Z5 = 27), only with R5, st1 longer than st2 and st3, dorsal shield with hexagonal reticulation more distinct from J1 to posterior margin, without very elongate setae on tarsus IV, while G. nolli has a rounded epistome, fixed digit of chelicerae with 6 or 7 teeth, longer dorsal setae (40-45 and Z5 = 58), with R1 and R5, st1 shorter than st2 and st3, hexagonal reticulation from posterior margin of dorsal shield to *j*6 and from *j*6 to upside with scale like ornamentation, and with two very elongate setae on tarsus IV.

Differences between G. mossadeghi Kavianpour & Nemati sp. n., and G. similisetae include: subtriangular epistome, tongue shape genital shield with lateral lines almost parallel, smooth genital shield with one pair of narrow plates near the lateral lines of genital shield, j1 equal to j2, reticulation of dorsal shield from J1 to downward, without reticulation in podonotal area and lateral borders of dorsal shield, dorsal shield in amid slightly concave, fixed digit of chelicerae with five teeth, lateral margins of anal shield not concave, whereas G. similisetae has a rounded epistome, non-parallel lateral borders of genital shield, reticulated genital shield, dorsal shield completely reticulated, j1 longer than j2, lateral borders of dorsal shield not concave, fixed digit of chelicerae with four teeth, and lateral margins of anal shield concave.

Notes on Gaeolaelaps jondishapouri

Gaeolaelaps jondishapouri was described according to the specimens that were collected from soil in Ahwaz, Khuzestan province, Iran by Nemati and Kavianpour, 2013 with some important morphological characters such as: Female; dorsal shield with 39 pairs of setae (including px2-3), r6 and R1-6 being off dorsal shield on soft lateral cuticle, posterior part of dorsal shield with abrupt contraction between S4 and S5 setae; st1 on weakly sclerotised presternal area out of sternal shield, iv1-2 slit-lik; epigynal shield flask-shaped; peritremes long, extending almost to posterior part of coxa I. According to the new precise observations on ventral side of G. jondishapouri specimens with Olympus, BX52 equipped with phase contrast system we have drawn detailed situation of anterior margin of sternal shield and the position of *st1* location in female and male specimens (Figs. 7-9). Some other morphological variations were observed in sternal, genital and anal shields, and also some degree of variations in peritrematal and post-stigmatal plates (Figs. 7-10). Anterior margin of sternal shield in female with nearly deep notch in middle part, setae st1 located on line at presternal area, and sternal margin runs adjacent to the posterior part of st1 alveoli. Sternal shield of female with anterolateral corners extended into narrow arms (endopodal extensions) flanking coxae II anteriorly in various degrees of extensions (Figs. 7-8).

Variations were also observed in shape, dimensions and the pattern of reticulation of genital and anal shields (Figs. 7-8) and also peritrematal and poststigmatal plates in female (Fig. 10).



Figures 7-10 *Gaeolaelaps jondishapouri* Nemati and Kavianpour, 7-8. Variations in ventral side (female), 9. Anterior part of holoventral shield in male, 10. Variations in peritrematal and poststigmatal plates in female.

Key to the female Gaeolaelaps of Iran

1- Peritreme short, reaches to middle or anterior
level of coxa II2
- Peritreme longer, reaches at least to posterior
level of coxa I5
2. Peritreme short, reaches to middle level of
coxa II
- Peritreme short, reaches to anterior level of
coxa II4
3. Tarsus IV with two very elongate setae
G. nolli (Karg, 1962) = G. praesternalis
(Willmann, 1949) after Evans & Till, 1966
- Tarsus IV without two very elongate setae
G. mossadeghi Kavianpour and Nemati sp. n.
4. Anal shield nearly rounded and wider than
long; sternal shield reticulated thoughout with

small smooth area at posterior part; idiosoma 489–491 μm long, 270–276 μm wideG. orbiculatus Nemati & Mohseni, 2013 - Anal shield with semi-circular anterior margin, straight postero-lateral margins, and a pair of minute angular lateral projections, sternal shield smooth throughout; idiosoma 408–439 µm, long, 205–218 wideG. farajii Nemati & Mohseni, 2013 5- Dorsal shield sudden constriction caudally..6 - Dorsal shield normal or less attenuated posteriorly......9 6- Leg II with thickened spines, specially a thick spine on femur II; movable digit of chelicerae with 2 teeth; palp tarsal claw threetined.....7 - Without thickened spines on leg II and femur 7- Leg I shorter than idiosoma; epistome with a row of equal denticles; dorsal shield without a curvature.....G. angusta (Karg, 1965)

- Leg I longer than idiosoma; epistome with 2 teeth longer than the others; dorsal shield with a curvature in posterior part

......G. queenslandicus (Womersley, 1956) 8- Movable digit of chelicerae with 2 larger and several smaller teeth; dorsal shield without *Px* setaeG. angustiscutatus (Willmann, 1951) - Movable digit of chelicerae with 2 teeth; dorsal shield with two pairs of *Px* setae G. jondishapouri Nemati and Kavianpour, 2013

..... G. aculeifer (Canestrini, 1883) - Podonotal setae not elongate, Z5 is approximately equal to J5; fixed digit of chelicerae with less than 12 teeth11 11- iv2 slit-like; ad1 on femur IV short and spine-likeG. deinos (Zeman, 1982) - iv2 pore like; ad1 on femur IV elongate and simple....G. oreithyiae (Walter & Oliver, 1989) 12- Seta st1 located in presternal region off sternal shieldG. minor (Costa, 1968) - Seta st1 located on sternal shield13 13- Genital shield with diagonal parallel lines in both sides that reach together in median area of shield; without elongate setae on tarsus IV.....G. glabrosimilis (Hirschmann, Bernhard, Greim & Gotz, 1969)

- Genital shield without diagonal parallel lines in both sides; with elongate setae on tarsus IV......14 14- Dorsal setae short, nearly none of them reach to the base of next setae....

G. praesternalis (Willmann, 1949) after Karg (1971)

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Dr. Mohamed Aly Osman (Agricultural Zoology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt) and Dr. Alaa Mohammad Halawa (Plant Protection Research Institute. Agricultural Research Center, Egypt) for their valuable helps regarding some Egyptian laelapid mites, Dr. Wolfgang Karg for sharing information about European species of Gaeolaelaps with short peritreme. We are also very grateful to Mastaneh Mohseni (Entomology Department, Agricultural College, Tehran University, Karaj) for her help in preparation of some figures.

References

- Babaeian, E, Seraj, A. A., Nemati, A. and Kazemi, S. 2010. Mites of the family Laelapidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) in Shahrekord region. Proceedings of 19th Plant Protection Congress, Tehran, Iran, Volume 1, p. 328.
- Beaulieu, F. 2009. Review of the mite genus *Gaeolaelaps* Evans & Till (Acari: Laelapidae), and description of a new species from North America, *G. gillespiei* n. sp. Zootaxa, 2158: 33-49.
- Costa, M. 1968. Little known and new litterinhabiting laelapine mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) from Israel. Israel Journal of Zoology, 17: 1-30.
- Costa, M. 1974. Mesostigmatic mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) from the Mediterranean shores of Israel. I. The genus *Hypoaspis* Canestrini, 1884. Israel Journal of Entomology, 9: 219-228.
- Evans, G. O. 1963a. Observations on the chaetotaxy of the legs in the free-living Gamasina (Acari: Mesostigmata). Bulletin

of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology, 10 (5): 277-303.

- Evans, G. O. 1963b. Some observations on the chaetotaxy of the pedipalps in the Mesostigmata (Acari). Annals and Magazine of Natural History (Series 13), 6: 513-527.
- Evans G. O., and Till, W. M. 1966. Studies on the British Dermanyssidae (Acari: Mesostigmata). Part II. Classification. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology, 14: 107-370.
- Hadad Irani-Nejad, K., Hajiganbar, H. R. and Talebi-Chaichi, P. 2003. Introduction of some mesostigmatid mites of sugar beet fields in Miandoab plain. Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources 10 (2): 147-157.
- Halliday, R. B. and Lindquist, E. E. 2007. Nomenclatural notes on the names *Gaeolaelaps* and *Geolaelaps* (Acari: Laelapidae). Zootaxa, 1621: 65-67.
- Kamali, K., Ostovan, H. and Atamehr, A. 2001. A catalog of mites and ticks (Acari) of Iran. Islamic Azad University Scientific Publication Center. Tehran, 196 + 7 pp.
- Karg, W. 1962. Zur Systematik und Postembryonalen Entwicklung der Gamasiden (Acarina, Parasitiformes) landwirtschaftlich genutzter Boden. Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 38: 23-119.
- Karg, W. 1965. Larvalsystematische und phylogenetische Untersuchung sowie Revision des Systems der Gamasina Leach 1915 (Acarina, Parasitiformes). Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 41: 193-340.
- Karg, W. 1979. Die Gattung Hypoaspis Canestrini 1884 (Acarina, Parasitiformes).
 Zoologische Jahrbücher Abteilung für Systematik Ökologie und Geographie der Tiere, 106: 65-104.
- Karg, W. 1982. Zur Kenntnis der Raubmilbeng *Hypoaspis* Canestrini 1884 (Acarina, Parasitiformes). Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 58: 233-256.
- Karg, W. 1989a. Zur Kenntnis der Untergattungen Geolaelaps, Alloparasitus und Laelaspis der Raubmilbengattung Hypoaspis

Canestrini 1884 (Acarina, Parasitiformes). Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 65: 115-126.

- Karg, W. 1989b. Zwei neue Raubmilbenarten der Gattung *Hypoaspis* Canestrini 1884 (Acarina, Parasitiformes) aus dem Leutratal bei Jena. Abhandlungen und Berichte des Naturkundemuseums Görlitz, 63: 1-6.
- Karg, W. 1993. Raubmilben der Hypoaspididae, Laelapidae und Phytoseiidae auf dem Galapagos–Archipel (Acarina, Parasitiformes). Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 69: 261-284.
- Karg, W. 1994. Raubmilben der Cohors Gamasina Leach (Acarina, Parasitiformes) vom Galapagos–Archipel. Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin, 70: 179-216.
- Karg, W. 2000. Zur Systematik der Raubmilbenfamilien Hypoaspididae V. Vitzthum 1941 und Rhodacaridae Oudemans 1902 (Acarina, Parasitiformes) mit neuen Arten aus Süd-und Mittelamerika. Mitteilungen dem Museum für aus Naturkunde in Berlin, Zoologische Reihe, 76: 243-262.
- Karg, W. 2003. Neue Raubmilbenarten aus dem tropischen Regenwald von Ecuador mite einem kritischen Beitrag zur Merkmalsevolution bei Gamasina (Acarina, Parasitiformes). Mitteilungen aus dem Naturkunde Museum für in Berlin. Zoologische Reihe, 79: 229-251.
- Karg, W. 2006. The systematics of Parasitiformes, especially of Gamasina leach (Acarina), with new species from Ecuador. Mitteilungen aus dem Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin, Zoologische Reihe, 82: 140-169.
- Kavianpour, M., Nemati, A., Gwiazdowicz, D. J. and Kocheili, F. 2013. A new species of the genus *Gaeolaelaps* (Acari, Mesostigmata, Laelapidae) from Iran. Zookeys 277: 1-11. doi: 10.3897/zookeys. 277.4741.
- Lindquist, E. E. and Evans, G. O. 1965. Taxonomic concepts in the Ascidae, with a modified setal nomenclature for the idiosoma of the Gamasina (Acarina:

Mesostigmata). Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada 47: 1-64. doi: 10.4039/entm9747fv.

- Mossadegh, M. S. 1997. Some mites of the honeybee *Apis mellifera* L. hives in Iran. The Scientific Journal of Agriculture, 19 (1, 2): 7-16.
- Mosavi, S. H., Ostvan, H. and Adldoost, H. 2004. Study of the mite fauna of potato fields in Orumieh.Proceedings of the 16th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, Tabriz, Iran: 263.
- Nemati, A., Kamali, K. and Mossadegh, M. S. 2000a. A faunistic survey of Laelapidae(Acari: Mesostigmata) mites in the soil of Ahwaz region, Khuzestan province. Proceedings of 14th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, Volume1, P. 333.
- Nemati, A., Mossadegh, M. S. and Kamali, K. 2000b. Some mites of the Laelapidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) collected from ant and termite nests in Ahwaz Region, Khuzestan Province. Proceedings of the 14th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, Volume 1: p. 381.

- Nemati, A. and Kavianpour, M. 2013. A new species of Laelapidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) from Iran. Journal of Crop Protection, 2 (1): 63-73.
- Nemati, A. and Mohseni, M. 2013. Two new species of Gaeolaelaps (Acari: Laelapidae) from Iran. Zootaxa, 3750 (1): 71-82.
- Noei, J. 2007. Identification of rice storage mites in Guilan Province under different storage conditions. M.Sc. Thesis in Persian with English summary, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran.
- Ramezani, Z. and Nemati, A. 2010. Records of two new genera of Parholaspididae Krantz and Laelapidae Berlese (Acari: Mesostigmata) from Iran. Proceedings of the 19th Plant Protection Congress, Tehran, Iran, Volume 1: P. 351.
- Rosario, R. M. T. 1981. Philippine Hypoaspidinae (Acarina: Mesostigmata: Laelapidae). Philippine Entomologist, 5: 23-82.
- Tenorio, M. J. 1982. Hypoaspidinae (Acari: Gamasida: Laelapidae) of the Hawaiian Islands. Pacific Insects, 24: (3-4): 259-274.

کنههای (Acari: Laelapidae) ایران و توصیف یک گونه جدید

محمدرضا كاويانپور¹و عليرضا نعمتى^{2*}

1- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه ارومیه، ارومیه، ایران.
 2- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شهر کرد، شهر کرد، ایران.
 * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: 1392
 دریافت: 23 خرداد 1392؛ پذیرش: 9 بهمن 1392

چکیده: کنههای خاکزی میاناستیگمایان نقش مهمی در اکوسیستمها و زنجیرههای غذایی خاک دارند به منظور مطالعه کنههای خاکزی خانواده Laelapidae در حومه شهرضا، استان اصفهان، ایران در سالهای 1390-91 نمونههایی از خاک، خاکبرگ و غیره از مناطق مختلف شهرستان مزبور جمعآوری شد. کنهها با استفاده از قیف برلز استخراج، به کمک محلول اسید لاکتیک در دمای 50 درجه سلسیوس شفاف و با استفاده از محلول هویر از آنها اسلایدهای میکروسکوپی تهیه شد. از بین برخی از نمونههای این خانواده، گونهی . محلول هویر از آنها اسلایدهای میکروسکوپی تهیه شد. از بین برخی از نمونههای این خانواده، برخی از ویژگیهای مورفولوژیک گونه Gaeolaelaps Mossadeghi Kavianpour از خاک جمعآوری و توصیف شد. میکروسکوپ مجهز به دید شئی فازکنتراست مورد مطالعه و بازبینی قرار گرفت. کلید شناسایی گونههای جنس Gaeolaelaps ایران ارائه شده است.

واژگان کلیدی: Gaeolaelaps ، Laelapidae ، Mesostigmata، ایران