## **Research Article Effective and ineffective resistance genes and reaction of promising wheat lines to stem rust in Ardabil**

Safarali Safavi<sup>1</sup>\* and Ali Malihipour<sup>2</sup>

1. Crop and Horticultural Science Research Department, Ardabil Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Ardabil, Iran.

2. Department of Cereal Research, Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Karaj, Iran.

Abstract: Stem (black) rust caused by Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici is the most devastating of wheat diseases. Historically, it caused severe crop loss in many parts of the world. The cheapest and most environmentally friendly management strategy is the use of resistant wheat cultivars. Hence, the knowledge of effective resistance genes and determination of resistant sources will enable breeders to target those useful genes/resistant sources in their breeding programs. In order to determine effective resistance genes, virulence pattern of wheat stem rust was studied under the field conditions by planting of differential sets. Moreover, slow rusting parameters including final rust severity (FRS), apparent infection rate (r), relative area under disease progress curve (rAUDPC), and coefficient of infection (CI) were evaluated in a set of twenty-five wheat genotypes. The survey was conducted in Ardabil Agricultural Research Station, Northwest Iran, during two crop seasons 2013-2014 and 2015-2016. Results showed that there was no virulence for differential sets carrying resistance genes Sr5, Sr13, Sr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, Sr32, Sr35 and Sr36. But, virulence was observed for differential sets having resistance genes; Sr25, Sr7a, Sr23, Sr28, Sr29, Sr30, Sr33, Sr34, Sr37, SrDP2, SrGT, SrWLD, SrH. The genes found effective against stem rust under natural conditions may be deployed singly or in combinations with durable resistance genes to develop high yielding resistant wheat cultivars. Based on the results of evaluations for slow rusting parameters, seven lines together with susceptible check that had the highest values of FRS, CI, r and rAUDPC, were selected as susceptible lines. Six lines showed moderate or moderately susceptible reaction (M, MR, MS). Accordingly, these lines with low values of parameters are supposed to have gene (s) for varying degrees of slow rusting resistance. The remaining lines may have low level of slow rusting resistance that need further study to elucidate their nature of resistance.

Keywords: Wheat, stem rust, effective Sr genes, slow rusting resistance

### Introduction

Wheat is one of the world's most important crops and a major staple food for many people in

Central, West Asia and North Africa (CWANA), including Iran. About 5.7 million hectares are sown with wheat in Iran, with an annual production of 11.1 million tons (Anonymous, 2016). However, wheat productivity is threatened by abiotic and biotic stresses, including the wheat rusts. Stem rust caused by *Puccinia graminis* Pers f. sp. *tritici* Eriks. & E. Henn. (*Pgt*) is the major production constraint in

DOR: 20.1001.1.22519041.2018.7.4.10.9

Handling Editor: Vahe Minassian

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail: Safaralisafavi@yahoo.com Received: 27 February 2018, Accepted: 15 November 2018 Published online: 9 December 2018

most wheat growing areas of the world (Denbel *et al.*, 2013), often causing yield loss up to 100% on susceptible cultivars (Park, 2007).

In breeding programs for manipulating of new cultivars, annual monitoring of pathogen is needed to detect new pathotypes which can overcome resistance genes (McIntosh and Brown, 1997). Production and use of resistant cultivars is best control method for cereal rusts. To determine virulence genetics, seedling resistance genes are studied in differential cultivars and new isogenic lines. Nature of seedling resistance genes and adult plant resistance genes is different. Because, the former can be detected at the seedling stage and remain effective throughout all stages of plant growth (Bux et al., 2012). In contrast, the adult plant resistance is not detectable at seedling stage; therefore, adult plant resistance genes should be investigated at adult plant stage under field conditions (Singh et al., 2011a).

Screening of varieties against stem rust is a regular activity due to the dynamic evolutionary nature of the pathogen. The rust pathogens evolve into new races quickly through mutation, migration, recombination and somatic hybridization (Singh *et al.*, 2011b). Wheat rusts are airborne, therefore local races can migrate to other areas and quickly become regionally and often globally predominant. Thus, virulence has been reported for many Sr genes worldwide. However, virulence for some genes or gene combinations may still be absent regionally (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

The first study on virulence factors of wheat rust was conducted using trap nursery by Zadoks (Zadoks, 1961). In order to study annual changes of races and virulence factors of wheat stem rust, national experiments have been carried out in different countries (Jin et al., 2007; Nazari et al., 2008; Afshari, 2012; Singh et al., 2015). In some of these studies, virulence factors of pathogen have been distinguished, also effective resistance genes have been recognized. For example, monitoring of virulence factors and effective genes against stem rust, especially after emerging of Ug99, revealed that resistance genes Sr5, Sr6, Sr7a,

Sr7b, Sr8a, Sr8b, Sr9a, Sr9b, Sr9d, Sr9e, Sr9f, Sr9g, Sr9h, Sr10, Sr11, Sr12, Sr16, Sr17, Sr18, Sr19, Sr20, Sr21, Sr23, Sr24, Sr30, Sr31, Sr34, Sr36, Sr38, Sr41, Sr49, Sr54, SrMcN and SrWld-1 are no longer effective (Singh *et al.*, 2015) and cannot be used in breeding programs.

Detailed information on pathogen change and its virulence, and epidemiological factors on pathogen movements provide a basis for development of early warning system (Yahyaoui *et al.*, 2002).

Based on the review of Singh et al. (2015) and McIntosh et al. (2017), many Sr genes that confer resistance to different races of stem rust have been identified. Several of the designated genes are qualitative and race specific (Jin et al., 2008; Singh et al., 2011b). Of these genes and alleles, at least 38 are effective or partially effective against the Ug99 race group including Sr33, introgressed from the wild relative Aegilops tauschii and Sr35, transferred from Triticum monococcum to bread wheat (Periyannan et al., 2013; Saintenac et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014). A major risk associated with the use of such race-specific genes is the ability of pathogens to defeat the genes when they are deployed singly in wheat cultivars as has been demonstrated by the Ug99 defeating Sr24, Sr36 (Jin et al., 2008, 2009) and SrTmp (Patpour et al., 2016). Thus, efforts to identify and incorporate genes that confer stable resistance are important (McDonald and Linde, 2002). Slow rusting resistance is a kind of resistance that is both race non-specific and durable (Sawhney, 1995). Slow rusting resistance is often described as partial resistance or adult-plant resistance. Such slow rusting resistances are polygenic and decrease the infection efficiency and retard growth and development of the pathogen, especially in adult plants (Hei et al., 2015; Saleem et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2017). According to Yu et al. (2014), a total of five designated wheat stem rust resistance genes i.e. Sr2, Sr55, Sr56, Sr57 and Sr58 confer quantitative adult-plant resistance. The effects of race non-specific genes are pronounced in the post seedling growth stages (Nzuve et al., 2012). These genes are also characterized by nonhypersensitive responses (Navabi et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2009).

Resistant wheat cultivars to rusts have been developed through the national wheat improvement research and program in collaboration with the CIMMYT in Iran. However, most of the cultivars do not possess durable resistance and have showed susceptible reaction to Ug99 race group after their introduction (Patpour et al., 2014). In most cases, the failures were due to new virulent pathotypes/races and deployment of the same R-gene (s) in wide array of wheat cultivars (Admassu et al., 2012). According to Singh et al. (2015), most stem rust resistance genes present in wheat cultivars and breeding lines of most countries as well as Iran are race specific and ineffective against most of the prevalent races of Ug99 group.

Considering the rapid evolution and spread of new virulent races of stem rust, the frequent failure of new cultivars with stem rust resistance and the limited availability of sources of durable resistance, it is imperative to develop new wheat cultivars using different sources of resistance. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the virulence patterns of the pathogen populations and effective resistance genes during two crop seasons 2013-2014 and 2015-2016, as well as identification of sources of adult plant, slow rusting resistance to stem rust in Iranian wheat lines.

#### **Materials and Methods**

This survey was subdivided into two experiments. First, virulence and avirulence factors were studied under field conditions during two cropping years, 2013-14 and 2015-2016. Also evaluation of slow rusting resistance parameters in a number of wheat promising lines from 2014 to 2016, in Ardabil province of Iran was made.

# Determination of effective and ineffective resistance genes

46 differential sets and isogenic lines along with susceptible check (Morocco) used in this study are listed in Table 1. This experiment was carried out under natural conditions at Ardabil Agricultural Research Station (38°17' N, 48°39' E, elevation: 1380m) during two cropping years, 2013-14 and 2015-16. The differential sets received from SPII (Seed and Plant Improvement Institute) were used to identify virulence and avirulence against current populations of stem rust pathogen in present study. Each entry was planted in two 1 meter rows which were spaced 30cm apart. Plots were spaced at 65cm. A susceptible spreader (Morocco) was sown around the borders of the experiment and 10 entries intervals. All required cultural practices were carried out during the experiment. Disease severity was estimated according to the modified Cobb's scale; 0% =immune, and 100% = fully susceptible (Peterson et al., 1948) when disease was well-developed at the flag leaf stage. The infection type (IT) of disease was also recorded based on Roelfs et al. (1992). The presence of virulence factors was determined by susceptible infection type while monitoring the disease on differential sets. In other words, corresponding genes against virulence factors of pathogen in plants (with severity and infection type more than 50S) were considered as ineffective genes and corresponding genes against avirulence factors of pathogen were considered as effective resistance genes (Bux et al., 2011; Safavi and Afshari, 2017b).

#### Study of slow rusting parameters

This experiment was conducted under natural infection condition at Ardabil Agricultural Research Station (Iran) during 2013-14 and 2015-16 cropping seasons. 24 promising wheat lines along with susceptible check used in this study are listed in Table 2. Each entry was planted in two rows of 1 meter spaced at 30cm apart. Plots were spaced at 65cm. Experimental design was randomized complete block design with three replications. Disease severity was recorded three times, starting when the susceptible check Morocco reached 40% severity using modified Cobb's scale (Peterson et al., 1948) and infection type based on Roelfs et al., (1992). Coefficient of infection (CI) was calculated by multiplying disease severity (DS) and constant values of infection type (IT). The constant values for infection types were used based on; R = 0.2, MR = 0.4, M = 0.6, MS = 0.8, S = 1 (Stubbs et al., 1986).

Genotypes	Gene/s <sup>1</sup>	Severity and infection type <sup>2</sup>		
		2014	2016	
ISR5RA	Sr5	10MR	30MR	
W2691SR6	Sr6	10S	50S	
LINE G	Sr7a	10S	80S	
ISR7BRA	Sr7B	20S	60S	
ISR8ARA	SrR8A	208	70S	
BARLETA BENVENUTO	Sr8B	20S	60S	
ISR9ARA	Sr9A	20MS	60S	
W2691SR9B	Sr9B	20MS	60S	
ISR9DRA	Sr9D	10MR	50MS	
VERNSTEIN	Sr9E	10MR	40MS	
ISR5SB	Sr9F	10S	508	
CNS(TC2B)/LINE E	Sr9G	208	50MS	
W2691SR10	Sr10	20MS	60S	
ISR11RA	Sr11	208	40MSS	
CH.SP.(TC3B)	Sr12	10S	50S	
W2691SR13	Sr13	20MS	30MR	
LINE A SELN.	Sr14	30MS	50S	
W2691SR15NK	Sr15	308	60S	
ISR16RA	Sr16	208	805	
LC/KENYA HUNTER	Sr17	408	50MSS	
LCSR19MG	Sr19	408	608	
LCSR20MG	Sr20	508	708	
T.MONOCOCCUM DERIV	Sr21	30MS	508	
SWSR22T B	Sr22	20MSS	30MR	
EXCHANGE	Sr23	308	50MSS	
BT SR24 A9	Sr24	10MR	30MR	
LC SR25 ARS	Sr25	40MSS	708	
EAGLE	Sr26 + Sr9G	20MR	30MR	
COORONG TRITICALE	Sr27	5R	R	
W2691SR28KT	Sr28	308	50MSS	
PUSA/FDCH	Sr20	20MSS	70\$	
BTSR30WST	Sr30	408	708	
LINE F/KVZ	Sr31	208	508	
C77 19	Sr32	200 20MR	30M	
TETRA CANTHATCH/AG SOLIARROSA(RI 5045)	Sr33	208	608	
COMPARE	Sr34	20MS	605	
W3763	Sr35	20MS	40M	
W2691 SRTT1	Sr36	201015	20MR	
W2691 SRTT2	Sr37	408	60S	
FFD *2/SRTT3	SrTT3 + Sr10	808	805	
MEDEA ADOD	SrIIS + SrIU $SrDP^{2}$	5R	30M	
RTSRGAMUT	SIDE2 SrGT	10MS	60\$	
DELISS	Sr01 SrPI	101v15	505	
		208	705	
	ST WLD SmU	203	703	
1144 DEKIV Moroago	รก	208	1005	
	-	202	1005	

**Table 1** Wheat genotypes used in trap nursery, their resistance genes, disease severity and infection types produced by stem rust during two years (2014 and 2016).

1: Resistance genes based on the studies of Singh et al. (2015) and Afshari (2012).

2: Infection types based on Roelfs *et al.* (1992); 0 = Immune. R = Resistant without sporulation. TMR = trace moderately resistant. MR = moderately resistant; small pustules surrounded by necrotic areas. MS = moderately susceptible; medium-sized pustules, no necrosis, but some chlorosis possible. MSS = moderately susceptible; medium to large sized pustules without chlorosis or necrosis. S = susceptible; large pustules, no necrosis or chlorosis.

Table 2 Pedigree	of wheat lin	nes, adult plai	nt infection	type, and	mean v	values for	coefficient	of infection,	final
rust severity, infec	tion rate and	d rAUDPC in	25 wheat li	nes to ster	n rust ir	n Ardabil	in two years	s 2014 and 20	016.

Line code	Pedigree/Parents	Infection	Mean values of slow rusting parameters <sup>1</sup>			
	5	type	FRS	CI	rAUDPC	r
MS-87-8	1-66-22/3/Alvd//Aldan/Las	MSS	63	57	44	0.141
DW-90-4	SOMAT_4/INTER_8/3/EUPODA_3/SLA_2//MINIMUS	MSS/S	80	76	68	0.167
DW-90-8	SOOTY_9/RASCON_37//STORLOM	MSS	73	66	44	0.141
DW-90-13	SORA/2*PLATA_12//SOMAT_3/4/STORLOM/3/RAS	MSS	63	57	39	0.126
DM-88-17	NA <sup>2</sup>	MSS	67	60	41	0.13
S-89-15	SLVS*2/PASTOR	MSS	63	57	42	0.128
S-91-6	Alvand//Aldan"s"/IAS58/3 /Vee/Nac	MSS	67	60	42	0.138
S-91-13	PFAU/MILAN/5/CHEN/AEGILOPS SQUARROSA (TAUS)//BCN/3/VEE#7/BOW/4/PASTOR	MSS	63	57	42	0.134
S-91-15	PRL/2*PASTOR/4/CHOIX/STAR/3/HE1/3*CNO79//2*SERI	MSS	57	51	37	0.126
C-85-3	Ghk"S"/Bow"S"//90Zong87/3/Shiroodi	MSS	57	51	34	0.113
C-88-4	Gascogene/Col No.3625//Alamoot	MSS	57	51	41	0.134
C-91-4	Zrn/Shiroodi/6/Zrn/5/Omid/4/Bb/Kal//Ald/3/Y50E/Kal*3//Emu	MS	57	46	35	0.129
CD-91-8	Jagger 'sib'/3/Lagos-7//Guimatli 2/17	MSS	90	81	97	0.187
CD-91-11	Zander//Attila/3*Bcn (-0SE-0YC-0YE-3YE-0YE-2YE-0YE)	MS	53	42	40	0.143
CD-91-12	Solh	MSS	57	51	43	0.141
N-90-7	OASIS/SKAUZ//4*BCN/3/2*PASTOR	MSS	67	60	44	0.112
N-91-8	PFAU/MILAN/5/CHEN/AEGILOPS SQUARROSA (TAUS)//BCN/3/VEE#7/BOW/4/PASTOR	MR/M	30	15	22	0.082
N-91-9	PFAU/MILAN/3/SKAUZ/KS94U215//SKAUZ	MS	43	34	29	0.091
N-91-10	TILHI/5/PF74354//LD/ALD/4/2*BR12*2/3/JUP//PAR214*6/FB66 31/6/ATTILA/2*PASTOR	М	37	22	23	0.085
N-91-17	MILAN/S87230//BABAX	M/MS	50	35	31	0.11
WS-89-7	Kauz/Pastor/PBW343	MR/M	37	19	22	0.095
WS-90-10	Falat/Barakat/ 5/Omid/4/ Bb/Kal//Ald/3/Y50E/3*Kal/Emu	MSS	63	57	39	0.138
WS-90-18	CROC_1/AE.SQUARROSA (2247)//OPATA/3/PASTOR	S	83	83	78	0.176
M-90-16	SHARP/3/PRL/SARA/TSI/VEE#5/5/VEE/LIRA//BOW/3/BCN/4/KAUZ	М	40	24	29	0.093
Check	Morocco	S	100	100	100	0.256

1: Abbreviations: Final rust severity (FRS), coefficient of infection (CI), relative area under disease progress curve (rAUDPC), apparent infection rate (r), moderate (M), moderately resistant (MR), moderately susceptible (MS), moderately susceptible to susceptible (MSS), susceptible (S).

Estimation of area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) and relative area under disease progress curve (rAUDPC) was performed as described by Milus and Line (1986).

Also the infection rate (r) was estimated in terms of disease severity recorded on wheat lines in different times (Van der Plank, 1968). The infection rate (r) per unit (t) was calculated as follows:

$$r = 1/t_2 - t_1[(\ln(x_2/1 - x_2)) - (\ln(x_1/1 - x_1))]$$

Where  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are dates at which disease severity measurements were made, and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the amounts of disease recorded on these dates. Finally comparison of lines was used for grouping of them based on the method of Ali *et al.* (2007) and Patahn and Park (2006). SPSS software (Version 18) was used for cluster analysis (using UPGMA method) and generating denderogram for grouping of promising lines.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Effective and ineffective resistance genes

The differential sets and isogenic lines showed wide range of rust response during two years' investigation (Table 1). The field data obtained in 2013-14 and 2015-16 cropping seasons revealed that genotypes having resistance genes Sr5, Sr13, Sr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, Sr32, Sr35, Sr36 showed low level or no infections, and therefore, were effective (Table 1). The genotypes with resistance genes Sr25, Sr7a, Sr23, Sr28, Sr29, Sr30, Sr33, Sr34, Sr37, SrDP2, SrGT, SrWLD, SrH showed reactions more than 50S at least in one year and were considered as susceptible genotypes. Therefore, these genes were ineffective against race group of Ardabil population. Although, some resistance genes such as Sr31, Sr6, Sr9F, Sr12, Sr14, Sr21 and SrPL showed reactions near to 50S at least during cropping season 2015-2016, they were not selected as effective or ineffective resistance genes under Ardabil conditions. Reaction of wheat lines during two years were different. The different reaction of some genotypes can be due to different weather conditions in the two years, 2014 and 2016 or, different races were predominant during 2014 and 2016. Therefore reactions of some genotypes did not confirm each other based on the field experiment. Planting date can also affect severity and infection types of stem rust in some places such as Ardabil. Therefore, for confirming the reactions of some genotypes, more experiments (at seedling and adult plant stages) need to be conducted.

Previously, Afshari (2012) reported that stem rust isolate of Dasht-Azadeghan (from Khuzestan province of Iran) didn't show virulence on plants carrying genes Sr5, Sr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, and SrGT. In study of Jin *et al.* (2007), resistance genes Sr13, Sr22, Sr24, Sr25, Sr26, Sr27, Sr27, Sr28, Sr32, Sr33, Sr35, Sr36, Sr37, Sr39, Sr40, Sr44 and SrTmphad low reactions to race of TTKSK in seedling and in field nursery at Njoro. Different researchers around the world also reported that most of the race- specific resistance genes except for some of them, such asSr22, Sr26, Sr33, Sr35, Sr45 and Sr50 (Jin et al., 2007; Nazari et al., 2008; Afshari, 2012; Singh et al., 2015) are ineffective resistance genes. In present study, resistance genes Sr5, Sr13, Sr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, Sr32, Sr35 and Sr36 were effective. The study shows some differences between our results with those of other researches. For example in this study, virulence was not observed for resistance genes Sr24 and Sr36, whereas, other researchers (Jin et al., 2008, 2009; Singh et al., 2015) showed that the genes Sr24 and Sr36 are no longer effective against some variants of Ug99. The differences between results may be due to variation of environmental conditions where the experiments were conducted or due to difference in race populations.

J. Crop Prot.

All of effective genes, used in this study, are race-specific (Singh et al., 2015) and should be in combination with deployed durable resistance genes such as slow rusting resistance genes. Building breeding programs around major genes poses vulnerability. In contrast, minor genes that were in combination with other (major and/or minor) genes provide durable resistance (Bux et al., 2011). Durable resistance for stem rust in many wheat varieties around the world has been attributed to the presence of Sr2 (Singh, 1992) and other racenonspecific resistance genes (Singh et al., 2011a, 2015). The race- nonspecific resistance genes Sr2, Sr55, Sr56, Sr57 and Sr58 in combination with each other (4-5 genes) have been proved to keep durability of resistance (Singh et al., 2011a). Some of these genes that are used in parents of new cultivars are present in the resistance germplasm sources such as Tukuru, Kukuna, Vivitsi, Kiritati, Parula, Pavon 76, Kingbird, Trap, Chapio, Toinchi 81, Attila and Muu (Singh et al., 2005, 2011a). Recent studies at CIMMYT have shown that gene Lr46 is closely linked to genes Yr29, Sr58 and Pm39 (Singh et al., 2015). The geneYr46 is also closely linked to Lr67 (Herrera-Foessel et al., 2011) and Sr55 and Pm46 (Singh et al., 2015). These genes confer slow rusting to yellow, leaf,

stem rusts and powdery mildew. Another minor gene, Yr30, involved in adult plant resistance of several CIMMYT wheat lines was found to be at chromosomal region carrying durable stem rust resistance gene Sr2 (Singh et al., 2000). The genes Yr29 and Yr30 are widely distributed in CIMMYT wheat germplsm (Singh et al., 2005). Slow rusting gene Sr57is also closely linked to Yr18, Lr34, Pm38, Sb1 and Bdv1 (Singh et al., 2015). The Lr34/Yr18 has a strong linkage with LTN (leaf tip necrosis) and also Lr67/Yr46 is known to be associated with some degree of LTN (Rosewarne et al., 2006). LTN, a morphological trait, shows complete linkage or pleiotropism with Yr18 and Lr34 genes (Singh, 1992) and could be used as a marker to identify wheat lines carrying these genes (Shah et al., 2011) and select cultivars having durable resistance genes (Safavi and Afshari, 2012; Shah et al., 2010).

In recent years, new races of *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*) have been reported in wheat production areas globally (Singh *et al.*, 2008; Singh *et al.*, 2015; Patpour *et al.*, 2016). Wheat growing environments such as the east African highlands, with continual wheat production and favorable microclimates, are known hot spots for the rapid evolution and spread of new rust races. The occurrence and spread of virulent stem rust races in and out of the region has threatened wheat production globally (Periyannan *et al.*, 2013).

Regarding the rapid changes of rust fungi (Singh et al., 2011b, 2015), therefore, it is recommended that selection for cultivar/line should be emphasized on multigenic resistance or partial resistance which is durable resistance. This kind of resistance can sustain yield production of wheat and prevent resistance break -down. If resistance gene sources were selected in combinations, we would have cultivars with different resistance genes which delay occurrence of new virulent can pathotypes. Considering the virulence factors of different races in different parts of Iran (Afshari, 2012; Nazari et al., 2013), breeding programs should be designed based on the results of this study and others on virulence factors. Some resistance genes are durable. These genes in combination with slow-rusting resistance genes such as Sr2, Sr55, Sr56, Sr57 and Sr58 from different resistant sources which have kept their resistance for a long time (Singh *et al.*, 2015) should be deployed in order to produce durable resistant cultivars.

In this study, we found that Sr5, Sr13, Sr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, Sr32, Sr35 and Sr36 were effective against the stem rust populations. Genes such as Sr5, Sr13, Sr24, and Sr36 are previously known to show susceptible reaction to stem rust in different countries (Singh et al., 2015; Patpour et al., 2014; Nazari et al., 2013). So, we cannot use them in breeding programs. There are however other race-specific resistance genes such as Sr22, Sr26, Sr33, Sr35, Sr45 and Sr50 that still show resistance reaction to stem rust around the world (Singh et al., 2015) and, therefore can be used inbreeding program. These major genes along with others mentioned earlier have been found to confer resistance in differentials and or cultivars. Which of these genes (as single gene or in combinations) are present in the resistant Iranian cultivars however, remains to be studied.

#### Slow rusting parameters

The present study showed diversity in the final rust severity of the tested genotypes (Table 2) that may be due to differences in the number of resistance genes and mode of their action. Ali *et al.* (2009), Safavi and Afshari (2012) proposed that wheat lines with FRS values of 1-30%, 31-50% and 51-70% were considered as high, moderate and low levels of slow rusting resistance, respectively. The line N-91-8 was included in the first group, while lines N-91-9, N-91-10, N-91-17, WS-89-7 and M-90-16 exhibited moderate level of partial resistance and 13 other lines were identified to have low level of partial resistance.

Lines with a low FRS under high disease pressure may possess more additive genes (Singh *et al.*, 2005) or genes with major effects. FRS represents the cumulative result of all resistance factors during the progress of epidemics. Many earlier researchers such as Ali

J. Crop Prot.

*et al.* (2009), Shah *et al.* (2010), Tabassum *et al.* (2011), Safavi and Afshari (2012, 2017a) and Hei *et al.* (2015) also used final rust severity as a parameter to assess slow rusting behavior of wheat lines.

Previously Ali et al. (2009) considered that lines with CI values of 0-20, 21-40, 41-60 could possess high, moderate and low levels of slow rusting resistance, respectively. Based on the AUDPC values, Ali et al. (2009) categorized the wheat lines into two distinct groups. One group included lines exhibiting AUDPC value up to 30% of the check, and the second group included lines showing AUDPC value up to 70% of the check. The genotypes in group I were regarded as expressing good levels of slow rusting and that of group II were expressing moderate slow rusting resistance. According to Singh et al. (2005) wheat lines with variable field infection responses of MR-MS to MSS are expected to possess genes that confer partial resistance.

Infection rate in the present study showed more variation among the tested lines than disease severity and AUDPC, and it did not distinguish lines displaying different levels of slow rusting resistance with regard to other parameters. For example, line CD-91-11 has FRS, CI, and rAUDPC less than line N-90-7 but its infection rate is higher. Similar results were found for yellow rust, stem rust and leaf rust of wheat and barley (Ali *et al.*, 2009; Safavi *et al.*, 2013; Hei *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, infection rate should be used in combination with other disease parameters.

Wheat line N-91-8 had high slow rusting resistance with low level of disease severity (1-30%), while lines N-91-9, N-91-10, N-91-17, WS-89-7 and M-90-16 had moderate levels of slow rusting resistance with FRS of 30–50% and CI values ranging from 21 to 40. According to Ali *et al.* (2009), genotypes in both groups I and II could have durable resistance, which can serve as good parents for breeding. Hence, lines in both groups are considered potentially useful in wheat breeding.

In this study some lines showed high or moderate levels of slow rusting resistance. Based on the pedigree analysis, the cultivar Pastor is present in the pedigree of some promising lines. This cultivar has Sr2 slow rusting gene. This gene singly cannot provide adequate levels of resistance, but its combination with other slow rusting genes (4-5 genes) showed adequate levels of resistance (Singh *et al.*, 2011a). Therefore, the lines having Pastor in pedigree with high or moderate levels of slow rusting most probably have other resistance gene or genes.

#### Diversity among the tested lines

Cluster analysis based on the slow rusting parameters is shown in Fig. 1. The Morocco cultivar along with three lines DW-90-4, CD-91-8, and WS-90-18 were separated with maximum distance from all the other lines which were grouped into two main clusters. So, the cluster C with high level of severity and infection type was not recommended for use in breeding programs. The first cluster (A) consisted of 15 lines six of which showed low level of slow rusting resistance and the other 9 were grouped as lines with very low level or without slow rusting resistance. The second cluster (B) comprised of six lines, characterized with the well-documented partially resistant lines N-91-8, N-91-9, N-91-10, N-91-17, WS-89-7 and M-90-16. Good parents, such as Pastor, Attila and Babax which were used in pedigree of some of the mentioned lines, carry at least two slow rusting resistance genes (Singh et al., 2005). Therefore, these lines most probably have different slow rusting resistance genes and be selected or involved in breeding programs. Diversity among tested lines was partially considerable in the disease parameters and cluster analysis based on slow rusting parameters to stem rust which in turn can be related to the diversity of the genetic basis of resistance among the tested lines. Other researchers (Ali et al. 2009; Hei et al., 2015) also reported varying degrees of partial resistance to wheat rusts among the commercial wheat cultivars/lines. The variation recorded in the present study may be exploited in breeding programs for developing improved genotypes with diverse resistance background. This will assist to prevent mono-culturing in terms of resistance genes.



**Figure 1** Denderogram of cluster analysis using UPGMA method for 25 wheat genotypes (numbers 1-24 for promising lines and 25 for susceptible cultivar) based on slow rusting parameters to stem rust.

#### Conclusion

Based on the results of this study and other researchers from Iran, genesSr22, Sr24, Sr26 + Sr9G, Sr27, Sr32, Sr35 and Sr36 are still effective in Ardabil and some parts of Iran and can be deployed in combination with durable resistance genes such as Sr2, Sr55, Sr56, Sr57 and Sr58 to develop new resistant wheat cultivars. In this study we also concluded that six lines showed moderate or moderately susceptible reaction (M, MR, or MS). Accordingly these lines with low values of parameters were supposed to have gene (s) for varying degrees of slow rusting resistance. The results of this study will assist in devising a strategy for stem rust management, using the well characterized wheat germplasms carrying effective resistance genes in the breeding programs in some parts of Iran that have virulence patterns or race populations like those in Ardabil province.

#### References

- Admassu, B., Friedt, W. and Ordon, F. 2012. Stem rust seedling resistance genes in Ethiopian wheat cultivars and breeding lines. African Crop Science Journal, 20: 149-161.
- Afshari, F. 2012. Genetics of pathogenicity of wheat stem rust pathogen (*Puccinia* graminis f. sp. tritici) and reaction of wheat genotypes to the disease. Iranian Journal of Plant Protection Science, 43: 357-365.
- Ali, S., Raman, H., Shah, S. J. A., Alishah, S. M. and Ullah F. 2009.Assessment of field resistance using host-pathogen interaction phenotype for wheat yellow rust. African Crop Science Journal, 17: 213-221.

J. Crop Prot.

- Ali, S., Shah, S. J. A. and Ibrahim, M. 2007.Assessment of wheat breeding lines for slow yellow rusting (*Puccinia striiformis* West. *tritici*). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 10: 3440-3444.
- Anonymous. 2016. Agriculture production. FAOSTAT Agriculture Data.http: //www.fao.org.
- Bux, H., Ashraf, M. and Chen, X. M. 2012. Expression of high-temperature adult-plant (HTAP) resistance against stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*) in Pakistan wheat landraces. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 34: 68-74.
- Bux, H., Ashraf, M., Chen, X. M. and Mumtaz, A. S. 2011. Effective genes for resistance to stripe rust and virulence of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*in Pakistan. African Journal of Biotechnology, 10: 5489-5495.
- Denbel, W., Badebo, A. and Alemu, T. 2013. Evaluation of Ethiopian commercial wheat cultivars for resistance to stem rust of wheat race 'UG99'. International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production, 4: 15-24.
- Hei, N., Shimelis, H. A., Laing, M. and Admassu, B. 2015. Assessment of Ethiopian wheat lines for slow rusting resistance to stem rust of wheat caused by *Puccinia* graminis f. sp. tritici. Journal of Phytopathology, 163: 353-363.
- Herrera-Foessel, S. A., Lagudah, E. S., Huerta-Espino, J., Hayden, M. J., Bariana, H. S., Singh, D. andSingh, R. P. 2011. New slowrusting leaf rust and stripe rust resistance genes *Lr67* and *Yr46* in wheat are pleiotropic or closely linked. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 122: 239-49.
- Jin, Y., Pretorius, R. A., Singh, R. P. and Fetch, T. J. 2008. Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr24* within race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f.sp. *tritici*. Plant Disease, 92: 923-926.
- Jin, Y., Singh, R. P., Ward, R. W., Wanyera, R., Kinyua, M. G., Njau, P., Fetch Jr., T., Pretorius, Z. A., and Yahyaoui, A. 2007. Characterization of seedling infection types and adult plant infection responses of monogenic Sr gene lines to race TTKS of

*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Plant Disease, 91: 1096-1099.

- Jin, Y., Szabo, L. J., Rouse, M. N., Fetch, T. J., Pretorius, Z. A., Wanyera, R. and Njau, P. 2009. Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr36* within the TTKS race lineage of *Puccinia graminis* f.sp. *tritici*. Plant Disease, 93: 367-370.
- McDonald, B. A. and Linde. C. 2002. The population genetics of plant pathogens and breeding strategies for durable resistance. Euphytica, 124: 163-180.
- McIntosh, R. A. and Brown, G. N. 1997. Anticipatory breeding for resistance to rust diseases in wheat. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 35: 311-326.
- McIntosh, R. A., Dubcovsky, J., Rogers, W. J., Morris, C. and Xia, X. C. 2017. Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat: 2017 Supplement. Mc Gene: Available at https: //shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/komugi/genes/macgene/supplement2017. pdf.
- Milus, E. A. and Line, R. F. 1986. Gene action for inheritance of durable, high-temperature, adult plant resistances to stripe rust in wheat. Phytopathology, 76: 435-441.
- Navabi, A., Singh, R. P., Tewari, J. P. and Briggs, K. G. 2004. Inheritance of high levels of adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in five spring wheat genotypes. Crop Science, 44: 1156-1162.
- Nazari, K., and Mafi, M. 2013. Physiological races of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in Iran and evaluation of seedling resistance to stem rust in Iranian wheat cultivars. Phytopathologia Mediterranea, 52: 110-122.
- Nazari, K., Mafi, M., Chaichi, M., Afshari, F. and Hasan, B. Z. 2008. Detection of isolates of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* virulent to Sr31 resistance gene in western provinces of Iran. Seed and Plant, 24: 207-213. (In Persian).
- Nzuve, F. M., Bhavani, S., Tusiime, G., Njau, P., Wanyera, R. 2012. Evaluation of bread wheat for both seedling and adult plant resistance to stem rust. African Journal of Plant Science, 6: 426-432.

- Park, R. F. 2007. Stem rust of wheat in Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research, 58: 558-566.
- Pathan, A. K. and Park, R. F. 2006. Evaluation of seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in European wheat cultivars. Euphytica, 149: 327-342.
- Patpour, M., Hovmoller, M. S., Shahin, A. A., Newcomb, M., Olivera, P., Jin, Y., Luster, D., Hodson, D., Nazari, K. and Azab, M. 2016. Emergence of Virulence to SrTmp in the Ug99 Race Group of Wheat Stem Rust, Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici, in Africa. Plant Disease, 100: 522.
- Patpour, M., Nazari, Ogbonnaya, F., Alavi, S. M., and Mousavi, A. 2014. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of resistance to stem rust in wheat cultivars and advanced breeding lines from Iran and Syria. Crop Breeding Journal, 4: 1-14.
- Periyannan, S., Moore, J., Ayliffe, M., Bansal, U., Wang, X., Huang, L., Deal, K., Luo, M., Kong, X., Bariana, H., Mago, R., McIntosh, R., Dodds, P., Dvorak, J. and Lagudah, E. 2013. The gene Sr33, an ortholog of barley *Mla* genes, encodes resistance to wheat stem rust race Ug99. Science, 341: 786-788.
- Peterson, R. F., Campbell, A. B. and Hannah, A. E. 1948.A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust intensity of leaves and stems of cereals.Canadian Journal of Research, 26: 496-500.
- Roelfs, A. P., Singh, R. P. and Saari, E. E. 1992. Rust diseases of wheat: Concepts and Methods of Diseases Management. Mexico, D. F. CIMMYT. 81. pp.
- Rosewarne, G. M., Singh, R. P., Huerta-Espino, J., William, H. M., Bouchet, S., Cloutier, S., McFadden, H. and Lagudah, E. S. 2006. Leaf tip necrosis, molecular markers and beta1-proteasome subunits associated with the slow rusting resistance genes Lr46/Yr29. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 112: 500-508.
- Safavi, S. A. and Afshari, F. 2012. Quantitative resistance of some Elite wheat lines to *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*. Archives of

Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 45: 740-749.

- Safavi, S. A. and Afshari, F. 2017a. A sevenyear assessment of resistance durability to yellow rust in some wheat cultivars in Ardabil province, Iran. Journal of Crop Protection, 6: 409-421.
- Safavi, S. A. and Afshari, F. 2017b. First report of virulence for resistance genes *Yrsp*, *Yr1* and *Yr3* by wheat yellow rust pathogen (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*) in Ardabil, Northwest of Iran. Iranian Plant Pathology, 53: 343-347.
- Safavi, S. A., Babai-Ahari, A., Afshari, F. and Arzanlou, M. 2013.Slow rusting resistance in Iranian barley cultivars to *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *hordei*. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 53: 5-11.
- Saintenac, C., Zhang, W., Salcedo, A., Rouse, M. N., Trick, H. N., Akhunov, E. and Dubcovsky, J. 2013. Identification of wheat gene Sr35 that confers resistance to Ug99 stem rust race group. Science, 341: 783-786.
- Saleem, K., Arshad, H. M. I., Shokat, S. and Manzo B. 2015.Appraisal of wheat germplasm for adult plant resistance against stripe rust. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 55: 405-414.
- Sawhney, R. N. 1995.Genetics of Wheat-Rust Interaction. Plant Breeding Review, 13: 293-343.
- Shah, S. J. A., Hussain, S., Ahmad, M., Ullah, F., Ali, I. and Ibrahim M. 2011. Using leaf tip necrosis as a phenotypic marker to predict the presence of durable rust resistance gene pair *Lr34/Yr18* in wheat. Journal of General Plant Protection, 77: 174-177.
- Shah, S. J. A., Muhmmad, M. and Hussain, S. 2010. Phenotypic molecular and characterization of wheat for slow rusting resistance against Puccinia striiformis Westend. f. Journal tritici. of sp. Phytopathology, 158: 393-402.
- Singh, D., Simmonds, J., Park, R. F., Bariana, H. S. and Snape, J. W. 2009. Inheritance and QTL mapping of leaf rust resistance in the European winter wheat cultivar "Weaver". Euphytica, 169: 253-261.

- Singh, K. V., Singh, G. P., Singh, P. K. and Aggarwal, H. R. 2017. Assessment of slow rusting resistance components to stripe rust pathogen in some exotic wheat germplasm. Indian Phytopathology, 70: 52-57.
- Singh, R. P. 1992. Genetic association of leaf rust resistance gene Lr34 with adult plant resistance to stripe rust in bread wheat. Phytopathology, 82: 835-838.
- Singh, R. P., Huerta-Espino, J., Bhavani, S., Herrera-Foessel S. A., Singh D., Singh, P. K., Velu, G., Mason, R. E., Jin, Y., Njau, P. and Crossa, J. 2011a. Race non-specific resistance to rust diseases in CIMMYT spring wheats. Euphytica, 179: 175-186.
- Singh, R. P., Hodson, D. P., Huerta-Espino, J., Jin, Y., Bhavani, S., Njau, P., Herrera-Foessel, S., Singh, P. K., Singh, S. and Govindan, V. 2011b. The Emergence of Ug99 races of the stem rust fungus is a threat to world wheat production. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 49: 465-481.
- Singh, R. P., Hodson, D. P., Huerta-Espino, J., Jin, Y., Njau, P., Wanyera, R., Herrera-Foessel, S. A., and Ward, R. W. 2008. Will stem rust destroy the world's wheat crop? Advances in Agronomy, 98: 271-309.
- Singh, R. P., Hodson, D. P., Jin, Y., Lagudah, E. S., Ayliffe, M. A., Bhavani, S., Rouse, M. N., Pretorius, Z. A., Szabo, L. J., Huerta-Espino, J., Basnet, B. R., Lan, C., and Hovmøller, M. S. 2015. Emergence and spread of new races of wheat stem rust fungus: Continued threat to food security and prospects of genetic control. Phytopathology, 105: 872-84.

- Singh, R. P., Huerta-Espino, J. and William, H. M. 2005. Genetics and breeding for durable resistance to leaf and stripe rusts in wheat. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 29, 121-127.
- Singh, R. P., Nelson, J. C. and Sorrells, M. E. 2000. Mapping Yr28 and other genes for resistance to stripe rust in wheat. Crop Science, 40: 1148-1155.
- Stubbs, R. W., Prescott, J. M., Saari, E. E. and Dubin, H. J. 1986. Cereal Disease Methodology Manual. CIMMYT: Mexico, D. F. 46 pp.
- Tabassum, S. 2011. Evaluation of advance wheat lines for slow yellow rusting (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*). Journal of Agricultural Science, 3: 239-249.
- Van der Plank, J. E. 1968. Disease Resistance in Plants. New York, Academic Press. 206 pp.
- Yahyaoui, A. H., Hakim, M. S., El Naimi, M. and Rbeiz, N. 2002. Evolution of physiologic races and virulences of *Puccinia striiformis* on wheat in Syria and Lebanon. Plant Disease, 86: 499-504.
- Yu, L., Barbier, H., Matthew, N. R., Singh, S., Singh, R. P., Bhavani, S., Huerta-Espino, J. and Sorrells, M. E. 2014. A consensus map for Ug99 stem rust resistance loci in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 127: 1561-1581.
- Zadoks, J. C. 1961. Yellow rust of wheat, studies of epidemiology and physiologic specialization. Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology, 61: 69-256.

J. Crop Prot.

# ژنهای مقاومت مؤثر و غیرمؤثر و واکنش لاینهای امیدبخش گندم نسبت بـه زنـگ سـیاه گنـدم Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici در اردبیل

صفرعلی صفوی'\* و علی ملیحی پور ً

۱- بخش تحقیقات علوم زراعی و باغی، مرکز تحقیقات و آموزش کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی استان اردبیل، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، اردبیل،ایران. ۲- بخش تحقیقات غلات، مؤسسه تحقیقات اصلاح و تهیه نهال و بذر، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، کرج، ایران. پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: Safaralisafavi@yahoo.com دریافت: ۸ اسفند ۱۳۹۶؛ یذیرش: ۱۱ مرداد ۱۳۹۷

چکیده: زنگ سیاه گندم با عامل Puccinia graminis f. sp. tritici یکی از مخربترین بیماری های گندم است. از لحاظ تاریخی این بیماری خسارتهای شدیدی را در بسیاری از نقاط جهان سبب شده است.ارزانترین و از لحاظ محیطی سالمترین روش مدیریت بیماری استفاده از ارقام مقاوم است. از ایس رو، دانش وآگاهی درخصوص ژنهای مقاومت مؤثر و تعیین منابع مقاومت بهنژادگران را قادر میسازد تا ژنهای مفید یا منابع مقاومت را در برنامههای بهنژادی استفاده کنند. بنابراین، ایـن یـژوهش در سـالهـای ۱۳۹۴ و۱۳۹۶ به مدت دو سال بهمنظور تعیین کارائی ژنهای مقاومت در اردبیل و شناسائی الگوی بیماری ایم، عامل زنگ سیاه تحت شرایط مزرعهای با کاشت ارقام افتراقی انجام شد. همچنین در این پژوهش پارامترهای مقاومت تدریجی برای تعدادی از لاینهای امیدبخش در شرایط مزرعهای یادداشتبرداری شد. نتایج بررسی نـشان داد کـه ژن.هـای مقاومـت Sr32 Sr32 Sr27 Sr26 Sr9G Sr24 Sr22 Sr23 و Sr32 ژن.هـای مقاومت موثری بودند و ژنهای مقاومت Sr34 Sr33 Sr30 Sr29 Sr28 Sr23 Sr7a Sr9f Sr6 Sr25 Sr25 مقاومت SrH SrWLD SrPL SrGT SrDP2 Sr37 ژنهای غیرمؤثری در طی دوره پژوهش بودند. ژنهای مقاومت مؤثر ممکن است به تنهایی یا در ترکیب با ژنهای مقاومت یایدار (غیراختصاص-نژادی) جهت ایجاد ارقام با عملكرد بالا استفاده شوند. نتايج ارزيابيها براي پارامترهاي مقاومت تدريجي نشان داد كه هفت لاين همراه با رقم حساس بالاترین مقادیر r، CI، FRS و r ، CI، FRS را داشتند، و بنابراین به عنوان ارقام حساس گرومبندی شدند. تعداد کمی از لاینها (شش لاین) در مرحله گیاه کامل واکنش متوسط (MR, M, MS) نسان دادند و سطح بالا یا متوسطی از مقاومت تدریجی را داشتند. بقیه لاینها هم به دلیل دارا بودن مقادیر بالای پارامترهای اندازه گیری شده دارای سطح پایین مقاومت تدریجی بودند و برای اثبات طبیعت مقاومت آنها نیاز به مطالعه بیشتری است.

واژگان كليدى: گندم، زنگ سياه، ژنھاى مقاومت مؤثر، مقاومت تدريجى