



Effects of Thyme and Rosemary essential oils on population growth parameters of *Macrosiphum rosae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on cut flower rose

Seyed Saeid Modarres Najafabadi^{1*}, Abdoolnabi Bagheri¹, Majeed Askari Seyahooei¹, Hoda Zamani² and Azadeh Goodarzi¹

1. Plant Protection Research Department, Hormozgan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Bandar Abbas, Iran.

2. Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Razi University. Kermanshah, Iran.

Abstract: The effect of thyme Thymus vulgaris L. and rosemary Rosmarinus officinalis (L.) essential oils on life table parameters of red rose aphid Macrosiphum rosae (L.) on cut flower rose Rosa hybrida L. were investigated in laboratory conditions (27 \pm 2 °C, 70 \pm 5% humidity and a photoperiod of 16L:8Dh), during 2014-2015. The results on the basis of LC₅₀ values showed that the *M. rosae* was significantly more sensitive to the oil of *T. vulgaris* (LC₅₀ = 36621 ppm) than R. officinalis (LC₅₀ = 57565 ppm). Also, Investigation of the thyme essential oil on life table parameters of M. rosae showed that the net reproductive rate (R_0) values changed from 16.62 \pm 2.31 to 29.10 \pm 1.97 female offspring, the intrinsic rate of increase (r) values ranged from 0.177 ± 0.01 to 0.229 ± 0.13 day⁻¹, the mean generation time (T) was 13.92 ± 0.22 to 15.88 ± 0.19 days, the DT values ranged from 3.01 ± 0.05 to 3.90 ± 0.007 days and the finite rate of increase (λ) values was 1.19 \pm 0.003 to 1.26 \pm 0.06 day⁻¹. Also, the rosemary essential oil on life table parameters of red rose aphid revealed that the R_0 values ranged from 17.87 \pm 1.97 to 31.97 \pm 1.98 female offspring, the r values ranged from 0.185 \pm 0.02 to 0.237 \pm 0.001 day⁻¹, the mean generation time (T) was 14.45 ± 0.23 to 15.99 ± 0.21 days, the *DT* ranged from 2.85 ± 0.14 to 3.71 ± 0.14 days and the λ values was 1.20 \pm 0.01 to 1.27 \pm 0.02 day^-1. The results revealed that the essential oils of rosemary and thyme used in this research had a significant difference on population parameters of rose aphid. The maximum mortality percent of *M. rosae* population registered 86.12 \pm 1.02% and 90.21 \pm 1.12% for rosemary and thyme essential oils, respectively. Hence, T. vulgaris had higher insecticidal effect than R. officinalis essential oil on rose aphid population.

Keywords: Life table, *Macrosiphum rosae*, Population growth parameters, *Rosmarinus officinalis*, *Thymus vulgaris*

Introduction

The high commercial value and widespread cultivation of rose make it the most important

ornamental crop. *Rosa hybrida* L. (rose) is not a species in the botanical sense, but is a description used for most cultivated rose cultivars of the Hybrid tea or Floribunda types or classes. These cultivated roses have been derived over centuries through complex crosses involving a number of species of the genus *Rosa* (Phillips and Rix, 1988). *Rosa hybrida* is

Handling Editor: Saeid Moharramipour

^{*} **Corresponding author**, e-mail: s_modarres_705@yahoo.com Received: 1 November 2017, Accepted: 25 January 2018 Published online: 18 February 2018

the most economically important cut-flower plant. The first rose was introduced in 1867 in the Netherlands and since then more than 10,000 varieties have been released. The *Rosa* genus belongs to the family Rosaceae and is closely related to apple, pear, quince, plum, cherry, blackberry, and strawberry (Zielinski *et al.*, 2004).

The common thyme *Thymus vulgaris* L. is a species of flowering plant in the mint family Lamiaceae, native to southern Europe from the western Mediterranean to southern Italy which its insecticidal activity has been demonstrated against some insect pests (Shalaby *et al.*, 1998; Isman *et al.*, 2001; Pavela, 2005).

The rose aphid, Macrosiphum rosae (L.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae), is the most common insect pest of roses in Iran. The rose aphid is monophagous and generally found only on cultivated and wild roses. This aphid feeds mostly on Roseaceous plants, but it is known to feed on species in 15 other plant families (Ferran et al., 1996). Aphids damage plants by sucking sap from plant tissues using highly specialized sucking mouth parts. The usual symptoms of damage are distortion of new leaves and flowers. Aphids particularly like newly-forming flower buds, and damage done at this stage has more serious consequences than if the buds are attacked later (Blackman and Eastop, 2000). Aphids also excrete large amounts of honeydew, which can cover the plant. Honeydew is a food source for sooty molds, which form a black covering over affected areas, severely reducing photosynthesis and aesthetic appeal. Severe aphid infestations can result in defoliation of the plant and loss of the flower crop. Aphids can breed very rapidly and build up vast numbers, especially in warm, humid weather (Voegtlin et al., 2003).

Unfortunately, members of this family have been found to be resistant against synthetic chemical insecticides. The reasons for this may be their short developmental period and high fecundity enforced by life-history characteristics to produce successive generations. Hence, there is a real need to find other effective and safer approaches like natural products. Natural products are excellent alternative to synthetic pesticides, as a mean to reduce negative impacts to human health and the environment. They are more compatible with the environmental components than synthetic pesticides and so. The plant derived essential oils are attracting interests of the scientists (Isman and Machial, 2006). Many plant-derived essential oils like rosemary, lavandula, thyme and ziziphora have insecticidal properties, with a broad-spectrum of activity against many different types of softbodied arthropod pests (Alexenizer and Dorn, 2007). This is mainly due to multiple modes of action, including antifeedant and repellent activity, molting, and respiration inhibition, growth, and fecundity reduction, cuticle disruption, and activity on the octopamine pathway in the central nervous system (Chaubey, 2007a). In order to reduce insecticide use and encourage the use of plant compounds for pest control, this work aimed to study the efficacy of T. vulgaris and R. officinalis essential oils on life table parameters of M. rosae on R. hybrida.

Materials and Methods

Rose plant

The cut-flower roses group var. Vendetta was selected as test crop for the experiments. This variety was prepared from Flowers and Ornamental Plants Research Institute of Mahallat-Iran (The entomology research laboratory, department of plant protection and research greenhouses). Greenhouse experiments were conducted during 2014-2015. Rose plants were grown under both organic (chicken manure vermicompost) and chemical (Biozar, 20-20-20 NPK, Fannavar-Nano products Company, Biozar, Khomein, Iran) fertilization at a rate of 25kg N ha⁻¹. Plants were grown in plastic pots (20cm diameter and 25cm height). One rose cutting was sown in each pot. The plants were allowed to grow in the greenhouse conditions $(25 \pm 2 \ ^{\circ}C; 40 \pm 5\% R. H. and 16L: 8D$ photoperiod).

Rose aphid colony

The adults of rose aphid *M. rosae* were originally collected from common rose greenhouses of the Mahallat-Iran in December 2014. These aphids were reared on rose var. Vendetta grown in plastic pots (20 cm diameter 25 cm height) in a growth chamber ($25 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}$ C, $40 \pm 5\%$ R. H. and a photoperiod of 16:8 L: D) for at least two months (several generations) before conducting the experiments. All experiments were performed under the above mentioned conditions in growth chambers.

Plant materials and preparation of essential oils

The rosemary and thyme leaves were collected from the Medicinal Plants Research Station of Arak, Markazi province-Iran during 2014-2015. The whole plants (herbs) were dried at room temperature (20-25 °C) for a week and then were stored in cloth bags. The plant leaves were chopped into small pieces using a mill with rotary knives. The essential oils were extracted by hydrodistillation of dried plant material (100 g of each sample in 500 ml of distilled water) using a modified Clevenger-type apparatus for 4 h (Papachristos and Stamopoulos, 2004). The distilled essential oils were stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until being used in the treatments.

Treatments and leaf samples

First, preliminary bioassay was conducted to determine the effective concentrations of botanical compounds (rosemary and thyme) that caused between 10-90% mortality on population of rose aphid. Then six concentrations with logarithmic distance was tested based on Robertson and Preisler (1992) method. The experiment was performed based on soaking leaf method for each concentration. Rose leaves were soaked in each concentration of rosemary and thyme essential oils and rose aphids were placed on leaves.

To perform the experiments, the leaf sample method was used (Pedigo and Buntin 1994; Naher *et al.*, 2006). The rose leaves with five-leaflets were selected randomly and placed

inside water-saturated cotton wools in plastic Petri dishes (4cm diameter and 8cm height). When the leaflets were expanded, a fully expanded young leaf was used for running the experiment. Finally, each rose aphid was transferred on leaf sample in Petri dish and the rosemary and thyme essential oils effect on life table of rose aphid was studied.

Experiments

Insecticidal activity

Insecticidal activity of T. vulgaris and R. officinalis essential oils were determined against M. rosae by indirect spray methods based on Pascual-Villalobos and Robledo (1998). Briefly, glass Petri plates (90 ×10 mm) were used as a chamber for the determination of test materials on the insect. To test essential oil toxicity, ten adults of the same age (1-48 hour of age) from the stock colonies were transferred onto excised leaves (2cm diam.), placed with dorsal side on four layers of wet (saturated with distilled water) filter paper in a Petri dish, using a soft paint brush. The aphids were allowed to settle for half an hour before being exposed to the essential oils. The applications of the essential oils were based on Soylu et al., (2006). To prevent a direct contact between the aphids and oils, the desired oil quantities were applied on filter paper $(5 \times 2 \text{cm})$ fixed on the inner surface of the Petri dish lid. Preliminary tests were done to choose the right doses. Plates were then sealed with parafilm to prevent any loss of essential oils. Each concentration was replicated five times with each replicate consisting of 10 adult insect. The control consisted of a similar setup but without essential oils. Mortality was recorded after 24 h of the treatment and the LC50 values were calculated. Aphids incapable of moving after a slight touch with a fine brush were considered as dead.

Life table parameters

The life table parameters of *M. rosae* were determined on rose plants in laboratory conditions at 27 ± 2 °C, $70 \pm 5\%$ humidity and a photoperiod of 16: 8 L: D h. The study was initiated with 60 nymphs of the rose aphid as

cohort for each treatment. In this regards, 10 adult aphids of *M. rosae* (reared on each treatment), were transferred onto new leaf sample of the same treatment. Twelve hours later, the new nymphs were collected from these leaf samples and individually transferred with a fine camel hair brush onto a new leaf sample. Thereafter, the mortality, development and number of offspring were recorded daily up to the death of the last individual.

Data Analysis

Insecticidal activity

Mortality of the rose aphid *M. rosae* was calculated by using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925) and the lethal concentration (LC₅₀) was obtained using probit analysis (Robertson *et al.*, 2007) and Polo Pc software (LeOra software, 1987). Percentage of mortality values for different doses were subjected to analysis of variance by one way ANOVA followed by LSD test when significant differences were shown at $\alpha = 0.05$ (SAS Institute, 1997).

Life table parameters

The age-specific fecundity (m_x) and agespecific survival (l_x) of aphid on various concentrations of rosemary and thyme essential oils were calculated according Birch (1948) and the life table parameters estimated based on suggested formula by Carey (1993). The life table parameters included: net reproductive rate (R_0) , intrinsic rate of natural increase (r), finite rate of increase (λ), mean generation time (T), doubling time (DT) and life expectancy (e_x) . Data on immature developmental period and adult longevity of rose aphid were analyzed with one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA). When the variation among treatments was significant, means comparison were done based on Duncan's multiple range test (P < 0.05). The statistical differences of life table parameters among various treatments were detected using the jackknife procedure (Meyer et al., 1986; Maia et al., 2000). In this procedure, jackknife pseudo values of each life table parameter were calculated for *n* aphids by the following equation:

 $A_{(j)} = n \times A_{(all)} - (n - 1) \times A_{(i)}$

Where $A_{(j)}$ is the jackknife pseudo value, *n* is the number of aphids, $A_{(all)}$ is the calculated life table parameters for all aphids and $A_{(i)}$ is the calculated parameters for (n-1) aphids. All statistical analyses were carried out using the Minitab statistical software (MINITAB, 2000) and SPSS statistical packages (SPSS, 2004).

Results

Logarithmic concentrations and insecticidal activity

Logarithmic concentrations and mortality percent (%Mortality \pm SE) of the botanical compounds (*R. officinalis* and *T. vulgaris*) on *M. rosae* in laboratory conditions, are shown in Table 1. Variance analysis revealed that the essential oils of rosemary and thyme had a significant difference on population parameters of rose aphid (F_{5, 500} = 54.32; P < 0.01). The means were further separated by Duncan test (one-way ANOVA) at 1% level of significance. The maximum mortality percent of *M. rosae* population for treatments with *R. officinalis* and *T. vulgaris* essential oils were 89.79 \pm 1.28% and 93.87 \pm 1.41%, respectively.

Table 1 Logarithmic concentrations and mortality of all compounds on *Macrosiphum rosae* in laboratory conditions.

| Concentrations (ppm) | | Mortality \pm SE (%) ¹ | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| R. officinalis | T. vulgaris | R. officinalis | T. vulgaris | |
| 16000 | 7000 | $11.75\pm0.98~f$ | $14.88\pm0.84~f$ | |
| 23442 | 11876 | $18.96\pm1.04~e$ | $18.96\pm1.23~e$ | |
| 34435 | 20091 | $25.48\pm1.85~d$ | $28.47\pm1.69d$ | |
| 50466 | 34041 | $31.58\pm1.71~c$ | 32.96 ± 1.27 c | |
| 74131 | 57677 | $42.36\pm1.97~b$ | $44.85\pm1.82b$ | |
| 109000 | 98000 | 86.12 ± 1.02 a | 90.21 ± 1.12 a | |

¹ Means with the same letters in each column are not significantly different (Duncan's multiple range test, P < 0.05).

Data on toxicity of essential oils against *M. rosae* are given in Table 2. On the basis of LC50 values, *M. rosae* was significantly more sensitive to the oil of *T. vulgaris* than to the *R*. *officinalis*. Hence, *T. vulgaris* essential oil had higher insecticidal effect (LC50 = 36621 ppm) than *R. officinalis* essential oil (LC₅₀ = 57565 ppm) on rose aphid.

Table 2 LC_{10} , LC_{50} and LC_{90} of six essential oils of *Thymus vulgaris* and *Rosmarinus officinalis* against *Macrosiphum rosae*.

| n | LC (95% FL) (ppm) | | | Slope \pm SE | χ^2 |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | LC10 | LC50 | LC90 | | |
| 200 | 15702 (1141-27382) | 57565 (36595-142560) | 211034 (103242-8440830) | 2.275 ± 0.574 | 15.655 |
| 200 | 6251 (358-14338) | 36621 (17390-156327) | 214546 (78532-183068790) | 1.669 ± 0.461 | 13.119 |
| | 200 | | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c ccccc} LC_{10} & LC_{50} & LC_{90} \\ \hline 200 & 15702 & 57565 & 211034 \\ (1141-27382) & (36595-142560) & (103242-8440830) \\ 200 & 6251 & 36621 & 214546 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |

LC: lethal concentration (ppm); FL: fiducial limits; χ^2 : chi square.

Life table parameters of *Macrosiphum rosae* The life expectancy (e_x) at adult emergence time of *M. rosae* exposed to rosemary and thyme essential oils are given in Figure 1.




Figure 1 The life expectancy (e_x) at adult emergence time of *Macrosiphum rosae* on rosemary and thyme essential oils.

There were no significant differences in this parameter of *M. rosae* treated with different concentrations of rosemary ($F_{5, 328}$ =

2.39; P < 0.01) and thyme ($F_{5, 322} = 3.14$; P < 0.01) essential oil. The life expectancy on different concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) of rosemary essential oil was 21.8, 21.6, 21.2, 20.9, 20.6 and 20.01 days and on different concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) of thyme essential oil was 21.6, 21.2, 20.9, 20.4, 19.9 and 19.6 days, respectively.

The process of changes in age-specific survival (l_x) and age-specific fecundity (m_x) of M. rosae on various rosemary and thyme essential oil concentrations are shown in Figures 2 and 3. As can be seen, the first nymphiposition and the deaths of all examined aphids were occurred on the 10th and 30th days on various treatments, respectively. The nymphiposition period on different concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) of rosemary essential oil had lasted 17, 17, 16, 16, 15.5 and 15 days (Fig. 2) and of thyme essential oil had lasted 15, 15, 16, 16, 17 and 17 days (Fig. 3), respectively. Also, the number of nymphs at the peak of nymphiposition of females was determined to be 3.5, 3.5, 3, 2.8, 2 and 1.5 on various thyme essential nymphs oil concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) and on various rosemary essential oil concentrations was determined to be 4, 3.8, 3, 3, 2.2 and 1.8 nymphs, respectively. Hence, in all thyme concentrations the number of born nymphs of M. rosae was lower than the number of born nymphs in various rosemary concentrations.




Figure 2 Age-specific survival (l_x) and age-specific fecundity (m_x) of *Macrosiphum rosae* on various rosemary essential oil concentrations.

There were significant differences among the life table parameters of M. rosae on different rosemary and thyme essential oil concentrations (Tables 3 and 4). The life table parameters of *M. rosae* on various rosemary essential oil concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) are as follows (Table 3): The highest and lowest of net reproductive rates (R_0) (F_{5,40} = 6.12; P < 0.01) were obtained on 1^{st} concentration (31.97 \pm 1.98 female offspring) and 6th concentration of rosemary essential oil (17.87 \pm 1.97 female offspring), respectively. Also, the intrinsic rates of natural increase (r) (F_{5, 40} = 9.09; P < 0.01) for 1st to 6th concentration ranged from 0.237 \pm 0.001 to 0.185 \pm 0.02 day⁻¹, the mean generation time (T) ($F_{5, 40} = 7.40$; P < 0.01) was 14.45 \pm 0.23 to 15.99 \pm 0.21 days, the doubling time (DT) ($F_{5, 40} = 7.35$; P < 0.01) ranged from 2.85 \pm 0.14 to 3.71 \pm 0.14 days and the finite rate of increase (λ) (F_{5, 40} = 9.21; P < 0.01) was 1.27 ± 0.02 to 1.20 ± 0.01 day⁻¹ (Table 3). The life table parameters of M. rosae on various thyme essential oil concentrations (1st to 6th concentration) are as follows (Table 4): The R_0 values (F_{5, 40} = 5.10; P < 0.01) changed from 29.10 ± 1.97 to 16.62 ± 2.31 female offspring. The r values $(F_{5, 40} = 8.07; P < 0.01)$ ranged from 0.229 ± $0.13 to 0.177 \pm 0.01 day^{-1}$, the mean generation time (T) (F_{5, 40} = 6.38; P < 0.01) was 13.92 ± 0.22 to 15.88 ± 0.19 days, the DT values ($F_{5, 40} = 6.33$; P < 0.01) ranged from 3.01 \pm 0.05 to 3.90 \pm 0.007 days and the λ values (F_{5, 40} = 8.19; P < 0.01) were 1.26 ± $0.06 \text{ to} 1.19 \pm 0.003 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (Table 4).




Figure 3 Age-specific survival (l_x) and age-specific fecundity (m_x) of *Macrosiphum rosae* on various thyme essential oil concentrations.

Table 3 Life table parameters of Macrosiphum rosae on various Rosemary essential oil concentrations.

| Parameters | Rosemary concentrations (ppm) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 16000 | 23442 | 34435 | 50466 | 74131 | 109000 |
| <i>r</i> (day ⁻¹) | $0.237 \pm 0.001a$ | $0.235 \pm 0.011a$ | $0.203 \pm 0.017 b$ | $0.189 \pm 0.104 c$ | $0.187 \pm 0.045c$ | $0.185\pm0.022d$ |
| R_0 (female offspring) | $31.97 \pm 1.98a$ | $32.30\pm2.06a$ | $23.49 \pm 1.43b$ | $20.74 \pm 2.32c$ | $18.02\pm2.12d$ | $17.87 \pm 1.97 d$ |
| (day) | $14.45\pm0.238c$ | $14.76\pm0.187c$ | $15.54\pm0.214b$ | $15.48\pm0.197b$ | $15.57\pm0.165b$ | $15.99 \pm 0.213a$ |
| λ (day ⁻¹) | $1.27\pm0.024a$ | $1.26\pm0.017a$ | $1.22\pm0.113b$ | $1.20\pm0.107c$ | $1.20\pm0.102c$ | $1.20\pm0.011c$ |
| (day) | $2.85\pm0.141e$ | $2.93 \pm 0.203 e$ | $3.39\pm0.124d$ | $3.64 \pm 0.112c$ | $3.68 \pm 0.285 ab$ | $3.71\pm0.147a$ |

Means with the same letters in each row are not significantly different (Duncan's multiple range test, P < 0.05).

| Parameters | Thyme concentrations (ppm) | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | 7000 | 11876 | 20091 | 34041 | 57677 | 98000 | |
| r | $0.229 \pm 0.134a$ | $0.226\pm0.147ab$ | $0.205\pm0.011c$ | $0.186 \pm 0.117 d$ | $0.177 \pm 0.125e$ | $0.177 \pm 0.014e$ | |
| (day ⁻¹) | | | | | | | |
| R_0 | $29.10 \pm 1.97a$ | 28.07 ± 2.24 ab | $24.52 \pm 1.89c$ | $19.24 \pm 2.01d$ | $16.57 \pm 2.02e$ | $16.62 \pm 2.31e$ | |
| (female offspring) | | | | | | | |
| Т | $13.92 \pm 0.222d$ | $14.77\pm0.174c$ | $15.56\pm0.214ab$ | $15.85 \pm 0.201a$ | $15.84 \pm 0.162a$ | $15.88\pm0.198a$ | |
| (day) | | | | | | | |
| λ | $1.26 \pm 0.063a$ | $1.25\pm0.045b$ | $1.22 \pm 0.354c$ | $1.20\pm0.248d$ | $1.19 \pm 0.011e$ | $1.19 \pm 0.003e$ | |
| (day ⁻¹) | | | | | | | |
| DT | $3.01 \pm 0.054c$ | $3.06\pm0.035c$ | $3.36\pm0.025b$ | $3.70\pm0.017ab$ | $3.89 \pm 0.014a$ | $3.90 \pm 0.007a$ | |
| (day) | | | | | | | |
| Means with the same let | ters in each row are | not significantly dif | ferent (Duncan's m | ultiple range test 1 | P < 0.05 | | |

Table 4 Life table parameters of *Macrosiphum rosae* on various thyme essential oil concentrations.

letters in each row are not significantly different (Duncan's multiple range test, F

Discussion

Essential oils as a natural alternative to synthetic insecticides, have a good potential for safe insect control on diverse array of agricultural products. Over 120 plants and plant products have been identified to have insecticidal or deterrent activity against different insect pests (Dales, 1996) and many producers in parts of Asia and Africa use these botanicals against insect pests to protect agricultural products (Dharmasena et al., 1998; Singh, 1990). These compounds are usually extracted from a wide range of species belonging to the Apiaceae and Lamiaceae (Chaubey, 2007a, b; Kim and Ahn, 2001; Taghizadeh-Saroukolai et al., 2010) and in addition to insect pests, they have the high potential to be used against fungi and bacteria as well (Chaubey, 2007a, b; Kim and Ahn, 2001; Taghizadeh-Saroukolai et al., 2010).

Natural organic compounds produced by plants include repellents, growth inhibitors and toxins that form an extensive chemical defense against plant pests (Viegas, 2003). The plant essential oils have insecticidal activity due to the presence of terpenoids (Isman and Machiad, 2006). Tewary et al. (2005) believed that the various terpenoids found in the plant essential oils exert toxicity against aphids such as deterring the aphid penetration and reducing feeding and also reducing reproduction and inhibiting oviposition.

In the current study, the effect of essential oils from two plant species T. vulgaris and R. officinalis were evaluated on rose aphid M. rosae. Our tests showed that both essential oils had contact toxicity toward the rose aphid but with varying degrees. On the basis of our results, T. vulgaris essential oil had higher insecticidal effect (LC50 = 36621 ppm) than *R*. officinalis essential oil (LC50 = 57565 ppm) on rose aphid. In confirming this result, Hori (1998) investigated essential oils of five plants (rosemary, thyme, peppermint, lavender and spearmint) against Myzus persicae and concluded that the rosemary oil at a dose of 10 μ l and the thyme oil at a dose of 1 μ l, caused 70% mortality in the population of the aphid, indicating that the T. vulgaris oil was more effective against M. persicae than R. officinalis oil. Gorur et al. (2008) studied on essential oil effect of three plants T. vulgaris, Veronica officinalis and Agrimonia eupatoria on cabbage Brevicoryne brassicae aphid L. under laboratory conditions. They found that of the three tested essential oils, T. vulgaris oil had the highest percentage of the monoterpene phenols of which Thymol and Carvacrol have had a great potential on cabbage aphid population control.

Our results on the effect of thyme and rosemary essential oils on life table parameters of red rose aphid on cut flower rose in laboratory conditions showed that both of these essential oils were able to cause mortality in M. rosae and influence its demographic parameters severely. These findings are consistent with other studies as to the high performance of thyme and rosemary

essential oils against insect pests (Hori, 1998; Gorur et al., 2008). The relative efficacy of essential oils extracted from Thymus persicus Ronniger ex Rech. (Taghizadeh-Saroukolai et al., 2010), Ziziphora clinopodioides Boiss. (Lorestani and Shayesteh, 2009), Hyptis (Ngamo spicigera L. et al., 2007), Trachyspermum ammi L., Anethum graveolens L. and Cuminum cyminum L. (Chaubey, 2007a, b) against several stored product pests has also been reported.

The two essential oils in our study also had different influence on population parameters of M. rosae. The maximum mortality percent of M. rosae population for treatments R. officinalis and T. vulgaris essential oils were 89.79 \pm 1.28% and 93.87 \pm 1.41%, respectively. Hence, T. vulgaris essential oil had higher insecticidal effect than R. officinalis essential oil on rose aphid. Since plants with insecticidal properties may be different in percent of their efficient components, this attribute may affect their insecticidal efficiency. For example, it has been shown that T. vulgaris containing EO composed of o-Cymene (5.04%), γ-Terpinen (1.84%), Linalool (27.25%), 4-Terpineol (2.68%), β-Fenchyl alcohol (1.19%), Thymol (36.26%), Carvacrol (1.50%), and the sesquiterpenes Caryophyllene (0.61%) is more efficient than that plants containing lower percent of these components or lacking some of them (see Gorur et al., 2008). Furthermore, in a same species, each of these components may have different insecticidal activity (see Szczepanik et al., 2012). Insecticidal activity of thyme has been demonstrated by several researchers. Tomova et al. (2005) demonstrated significant effects of the Thymus minuta essential oil on three species of aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum, M. persicae and Aulacorthum solani. Their results showed that the essential oil of T. minuta had the most effect on *M. persicae* and the mortality percent on *A*. pisum, M. persicae and A. solani was 68, 80 and 63%, respectively. Gorur et al. (2008) reported similar effects of T. vulgaris, Veronica officinalis and Agrimonia eupatoria essential oils on cabbage aphid Brevicoryne brassicae. They reported that the essential oil of T. *vulgaris* caused 80% mortality in the cabbage aphid population.

In addition, thyme essential oil reduced more r value than rosemary. As is known among the life table parameters, the intrinsic rate of increase (r) is the best parameter for evaluating the host plant species or treatment efficacy, because it reflects the overall effects on both survivorship and fecundity (Soufbaf et al., 2010). On the whole our results show that thyme essential oil is more efficient botanical compound against M. rosae than rosemary. Although rosemary essential oil was rather less efficient than thyme in the current study, but it caused high mortality in M. rosae and highly affected its population parameters (Table 1). The insecticidal and acaricidal effects of rosemary oil have been shown by various researchers. Miresmailli and Isman (2006) and Miresmailli et al. (2006) clearly indicated that rosemary oil can be considered as an important acaricide against two spotted spider mite **Tetranychus** urticae. causing complete mortality in the laboratory at concentrations that cause no phytotoxicity to host plants and oviposition affect behaviour. The can insecticidal and acaricidal effects of rosemary can be related to its specific chemical composition, containing a mixture of different terpenoids (Miresmailli, 2001).

In the current study we also found that there was a reverse correlation between increased essential oil concentration and population parameter values of *M. rosae* so that by increasing the concentrations of the essential oil, population parameter values of *M. rosae* reduced significantly (Tables 3, 4).

The effect of seven plant essential oils *Polyscias crispate*, *P. scutellaria*, *Vibernum suspensum*, *Citrus paradisi*, *C. jambhiri*, *C. sinensis*, *Murraya paniculata* was investigated on life table parameters of the spirea aphid *Aphis spiraecola* Patch by Tsai and Wang (2001). Their results showed that the spirea aphid failed to survive on *M. paniculata*. Also, the intrinsic rate of increase (*r*) for spirea aphid on *P. crispata* was highest. Jackknife estimates of *r* varied from 0.308 on *P. crispata*

to 0.177 on *C. sinensis*. The mean population generation time on these hosts ranged from 11.6 to 13.2 days.

Study by Modarres Najafabadi *et al.* (2014), indicated significant differences among life table parameters of *T. urticae* treated by thymol compared to control. R_0 , *r*, *T*, *DT* and λ values (life table parameters) of *T. urticae*, in the vicinity of thymol essential oil were 53.73 female offspring, 0.237 day⁻¹, 16.78 days, 2.96 days and 1.26 day⁻¹, respectively. Kassimi and Lahcen (2012) studied the insecticidal effect of EO extracts from six plants (Kanuka, Ravintsara, Tea tree, Thyme, Oregano and Neem) on the aphids in alfalfa green. A comparison between the results obtained showed that the oregano, neem and thyme extracts had more effects on the alfalfa aphids.

References

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 18: 265-267.
- Alexenizer, M. and Dorn, A. 2007. Screening of medicinal and ornamental plants for insecticidal and growth regulating activity. Journal of *Pest Science*, 80: 205-215.
- Birch, L. C. 1948. The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. Journal of Animal Ecology, *17*: 15-26.
- Blackman, R. L. and Eastop, V. F. 2000 Aphids on the world's crops: an identification and information guide (No. Ed. 2). John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Carey, J. R. 1993. Applied demography for biologists: with special emphasis on insects. Oxford University Press.
- Chaubey, M. K. 2007a. Toxicity of essential oils from *Cuminum cyminum* (Umbelliferae), *Piper nigrum* (Piperaceae) and *Foeniculum vulgare* (Umbelliferae) against stored-product beetle *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Cole: Tenebrionidae). Electronic Journal of Environmental Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 34: 1719-1727.
- Chaubey, M. K. 2007b. Insecticidal activity of *Trachyspermum ammi* (Umbelliferae),

Anethum graveolens (Umbelliferae) and Nigella sativa (Ranunculaceae) essential oils against stored-product beetle *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Cole: *Tenebrionidae*). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 2: 596-600.

- Dales, M. J. 1996. A review of plant material used for controlling insect pests of stored products. Bulletin Natural Resources Institute, 65: 1-84.
- Dharmasena, C. M. D., Simmonds, M. S. J. and Blaney, W. M. 1998. Insecticidal activity of eight plant species on egg laying, larval development and adult emergence of *Callosobruchus maculatus* in coepea. *Tropical Agricultural Research and Extension*, 1: 67-69.
- Ferran, A., Nikman, H., Kabiri, F., Picart, J. L., DeHerce, C., Brun, J., Iperti, G. and Lapchin, L. 1996. The use of *Harmonia* axyridis larvae (Cole: Coccinellidae) against *Macrosiphum rosae* (Hem: Aphididae) on rose bushes. European Journal of *Entomology*, 93: 59-67.
- Gorur, G., Abdullah, M. I. and Isik, M. 2008. Insecticidal activity of the *Thymus*, *Veronica* and *Agrimonia's* essential oils against the cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae*. Acta Phytopatologica et *Entomologica Hungarica*, 43 (1): 203-210.
- Hori, M. 1998. Repellency of rosemary oil against *Myzus persicae* in a laboratory and in a screenhouse. Journal of Chemical Ecology, 24 (9): 1425-1432.
- Isman, M. B. and Machiad, C. M. 2006. Pesticides based on plant essential oils: from traditional practice to commercialization. Advances in Phytomedicine, 3: 29-44.
- Isman, M. B., Wan, A. J. and Passreiter, C. M. 2001. Insecticidal activity of essential oils to the tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura*. Fitoterapia, 72 (1): 65-68.
- Kassimi, A. and Lahcen, E. 2012. Comparison the effect insecticide of the oils of six plant extracts on the aphids in alfalfa green. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare, 2 (8): 85-91.

- Kim, D. H. and Ahn, Y. J. 2001. Contact and fumigant activities of constituents of *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit against three coleopteran stored-product insects. Pest Management Science, 57: 301-306.
- LeOra software. 1987. POLO PC: A user guide to Probit or Logic 786 analysis. LeOra software, Berkeley, California.
- Lorestani, F. A. and Shayesteh, N. 2009. Fumigant toxicity of Ziziphora clinopodioides (Boiss.) (Lamiaceae) against adults and eggs of Callosobruchus maculatus (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Biological Science, 9: 92-95.
- Maia, A. D. H., Luiz, A. J. and Campanhola, C. 2000. Statistical inference on associated fertility life parameters using jackknife technique: computational aspects. Journal of Economic Entomology, 93 (2): 511-518.
- Meyer, J. S., Ingersoll, C. G., McDonald. L. L. and Boyce, M. S. 1986. Estimating uncertainty in population growth rates: jackknife vs. bootstrap techniques. Ecology, 67: 1156-1166.
- MINITAB. 2000. MINITAB User's Guide, Version 14.1 Minitab Ltd, UK. Mo, T. L.
- Miresmailli, S. and Isman, M. B. 2006. Efficacy and persistence of rosemary oil as an acaricide against twospotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) on greenhouse tomato. Journal of *Economic Entomology*, 99 (6): 2015-2023.
- Miresmailli, S. 2001. Assessing the efficacy and persistence of rosemary oil as a miticide/insecticide for use on greenhouse tomato (Doctoral dissertation, University of British Columbia).
- Miresmailli, S., Bradbury, R. and Isman, M. B. 2006. Comparative toxicity of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. essential oil and blends of its major constituents against *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) on two different host plants. Pest Management Science, 62: 366-371.
- Modarres Najafabadi, S. S., Beiramizadeh, E. and Zarei, R. 2014. Essential oil effects of *Thymus vulgaris* on life-table parameters of two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*

Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). International Journal of Biosciences, 4 (11): 324-330.

- Naher, N., Islam, T., Haque, M. M. and Parween, S. 2006. Effect of native plant and IGRs on the development of *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). University Journal of Zoology, Rajshahi University, 25: 19-22.
- Ngamo, T. S., Ngatanko, I., Ngassoum, M. B., Mapongmestsem, P. M. and Hance, T. 2007. Persistence of insecticidal activities of crude essential oils of three aromatic plants towards four major stored product insect pests. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2: 173-177.
- Papachristos, D. P. and Stamopoulos, D. C. 2004. Fumigant toxicity of three essential oils on the eggs of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 40: 517-525.
- Pascual-Villalobos, M. J. and Robledo, A. 1998. Anti-insect activity of plant extracts from the wild flora in Southern Spain. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 27: 1-10.
- Pavela, R. 2005. Insecticidal activity of some essential oils against larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis*. Fitoterapia, 76 (7): 691-696.
- Pedigo, L. P. and Buntin, G. D. 1994. Handbook of sampling methods for arthropods in agriculture. CRC Press.
- Phillips, R. and Rix, M. 1988. Roses. Random House, 224 pp.
- Robertson, J. L. and Preisler, H. K. 1992. Pesticide Bioassays with Arthropods. CRC Press, London, 185 pp.
- Robertson, J. L., Preisler, H. K. and Russell, R. M. 2007. PoloPlus: Probit and Logit Analysis User's Guide. LeOra Software, Petaluna, CA, USA.
- SAS Institute.1997. SAS/ST User's Guide for Personal 826 Computers. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Shalaby, A. A., Allam, K. A., Mostafa, A. A. and Fahmy, S. M. 1998. Insecticidal properties of citrus oils against *Culex pipiens* and *Musca domestica*. Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, 28 (2): 595-606.

- Singh, S. R. 1990. Insect Pests of Tropical Food Legumes. Wiley, Chichester. UK, 451 pp.
- Soufbaf, M., Fathipour, Y., Karimzadeh, J. and Zalucki, M. P. 2010. Bottom-Up effect of different host plants on *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): A life-table *study* on canola. Journal of *Economic* Entomology, 103 (6): 2019-2027.
- Soylu, E. M., Soylu, S. and Kurt, S. 2006. Antimicrobial activities of the essential oils of various plants against tomato late blight disease agent *Phytopthora infestans*. Mycopathologia, 161 (2): 119-28.
- SPSS. 2006. SPSS base 15.0 user's guide. SPSS Incopropation, Chicago. IL.
- Szczepanik, M., Zawitowska, B. and Szumny,
 A. 2012. Insecticidal activities of *Thymus* vulgaris essential oil and its components (thymol and carvacrol) against larvae of lesser mealworm, *Alphitobius diaperinus* Panzer (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Allelopathy Journal, 30 (1): 129-142.
- Taghizadeh-Saroukolai, A., Moharramipour, S. and Meshkatalsadat, M. H. 2010. Insecticidal properties of *Thymus persicus* essential oil against *Tribolium castaneum* and *Sitophilus oryzae*. Journal of Pest Science, 83: 3-8.

- Tewary, D. K., Bhardwaj, A. and Shanker, A. 2005. Pesticidal activities in five medicinal plants collected from mid hills of Western Himalayas. Industrial Crops and Products, 22: 241-247.
- Tomova, B. S., Waterhouse, J. S. and Doberski, J. 2005. The effect of fractionated Tagetes oil volatiles on aphid reproduction. Entomologia Experimentalis *et* Applicata, 115: 153-159.
- Tsai, J. H. and Wang, J. J. 2001. Effects of host plants on biology and life table parameters of *Aphis spiraecola* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Environmental Entomology, 30 (1): 44-50.
- Viegas, C. J. 2003. Terpenos Com Atividade inseticida: Uma alternative para controle *quimico de insetos*. Quimica Nova, 23 (3): 390-400.
- Voegtlin, D., Villalobos, W., Sanchez, M. V., Saborio, G. and Rivera, C. 2003. A Guide to the Winged Aphids of Costa Rica. Revista De Biologia Tropical, 51: 228-231.
- Zielinski, J., Petrova, A. and Tan, K. 2004. Taxonomic status of the roses (Rosa).In: Dimitrov, S. G. (Ed.), Annales Botanici Fennici. Finnish Zoological and Botanical Publishing Board, pp. 449-451.

تأثیر اسانس گیاهان آویشن و رزماری روی پارامترهای رشدی جمعیت شته قرمز رز (Macrosiphum rosae L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae روی گلهای رز شاخه بریده

سیدسعید مدرس نجفآبادی'*، عبدالنبی باقری'، مجید عسکری سیاهویی' ، هدی زمانی' و آزاده گودرزی'

۱- بخش تحقیقات گیاهپزشکی، مرکز تحقیقات کشاورزی و آموزش و منابع طبیعی استان هرمزگان، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی، بندرعباس ایران. ۲- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه رازی، کرمانشاه، ایران. * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: s_modarres_705@yahoo.com دریافت: ۱۰ آبان ۱۳۹۶؛ پذیرش: ۵ بهمن ۱۳۹۶

چکیده: در این تحقیق تأثیر اسانس گیاهان آویشن و رزماری روی پارامترهای رشدی جمعیت شته قرمز رز (Macrosiphum rosae L. (Hemiptera: Aphididae) روی گلهای رز شاخه بریده (Rosa hybrid L.) در شرایط آزمایشگاهی (دمای ۲ \pm ۲۷ درجه سلسیوس، رطوبت ۵ \pm ۷۰ درصد و شرایط نوری ۱۶ ساعت روشنایی و ۸ ساعت تاریکی) طی سالهای ۱۳۹۴–۱۳۹۳ مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. نتایج حاصل نشان داد که شته قرمز رز نسبت به اسانس آویشن حساسیت بیشتری از خود نشان داده است بهطوری که مقادیر LC50 محاسبه شده برای اسانس آویشن و اسانس رزماری بهترتیب ۳۶۶۲۱ و ۵۷۵۶۵ پی پی ام بود. همچنین نتیجه بررسیها روی جدول زندگی شته قرمز رز نشان داد که در برابر اسانس آویشن میزان نرخ خالص توليد مثل (R₀) از ۲/۳۱ ± ۱۶/۶۲ تا ۱/۹۷ ± ۲۹/۱۰ و ميـزان نـرخ ذاتـی افـزايش جمعيـت (r) از ۱۵/۸۱ \pm ۱/۱۷ تا ۱۳/۹ \pm ۰/۱۹ و میزان میانگین زمان نسل (T) از T/۰ \pm ۱۳/۹۲ تا ۱۳/۹ \pm ۱۵/۸۸ \pm ۱۵/۸۸ \pm و میزان مدت زمان دو برابر شدن جمعیت (DT) از DT تا $V/0 \pm v/0$ و میزان نرخ متناهی افزایش جمعیت (λ) از ۰/۰۰۳ ± ۱/۱۹ تا ۰/۰۶ ± ۱/۲۶متغیر می باشد این در حالی است که در برابر اسانس رزماری میرزان r_0 از ۱/۹ $4 \pm 1/۹$ تر ۱/۹ $4 \pm 1/۹$ و میرزان r از r از r از r از r ا 1/14 و میزان T از $T/14 \pm 1/14$ تا $1/14 \pm 1/14$ و میزان T از $T/14 \pm 1/14$ تا $1/14 \pm 1/14$ ی نشان داد که اسانس λ از λ از λ از λ از λ از λ از λ انسانس میباشد. نتیجه نهایی نشان داد λ آویشن با ایجاد ۱/۱۲ ± ۹۰/۲۱ درصد مرگومیر در جمعیت شته قرمز رز، نسبت به اسانس رزماری با ایجاد ۱/۰۲ ± ۸۶/۱۲ درصد مرگومیر، تأثیر بیشتری دارد.

واژگان کلیدی: جدول زندگی، شته قرمز رز، پارامترهای رشدی جمعیت، رزماری، آویشن