

Lethal and sublethal effects of imidacloprid and pirimicarb on the melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae) under laboratory conditions

Neda Amini Jam¹*, Farhan Kocheyli¹, Mohammad Saied Mossadegh¹, Arash Rasekh¹ and Moosa Saber²

- 1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Shahid Chamran University, Ahvaz, Iran.
- 2. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran.

Abstract: The toxicity of imidacloprid and pirimicarb for all stages of the melon aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover, were investigated under laboratory conditions (25 \pm 1 °C, 65 \pm 5% R.H. and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h.) using a leaf dipping method. These pesticides were very toxic for first instar nymphs of A. gossypii with LC₅₀ values of 17 and 220.2 ppm for imidacloprid and pirimicarb, respectively. For other nymphal instars, values of 23.9 to 70.5 ppm and 308.8 to 781.7 ppm were recorded for imidacloprid and pirimicarb respectively. Their LC₅₀ values for adults were 90.1 and 983.1 ppm, respectively. Toxicity decreased with increasing age. Imidacloprid was more toxic than pirimicarb for all stages of development. The effect of applying sublethal concentrations of imidacloprid and pirimicarb was evaluated, also, using demographic toxicology. Longevity and population growth parameters, including intrinsic rate of increase (r_m) , net reproductive rate (R_0) , generation time (T_c) and finite rate of population increase (λ) , were affected negatively by both insecticides. The $r_{\rm m}$ values for control, imidacloprid and pirimicarb exposed populations were 0.438, 0.150 and 0.335 female offspring per female per day, respectively. The doubling time (DT) also, was affected by imidacloprid. Overall, these results suggest that imidacloprid and pirimicarb can be effective against A. gossypii.

Keywords: Aphis gossypii, Carbamate, Demographic toxicology, Neonicotinoid

Introduction

The melon aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover is an important pest of vegetables and ornamentals in fields and greenhouses (Leclant and Deguine, 1994). It is a cosmopolitan, polyphagous species widely distributed in tropical, subtropical and temperate regions (Kresting et al., 1999). A. gossypii causes both direct and indirect

Handling Editor: Dr. Khalil Talebi Jahromi

damage to plants, the former by sucking phloem sap and the latter by either secreting honeydew or transmitting viruses. This aphid species is a vector of 76 viral diseases across a very large range of plants (Chan *et al.*, 1991).

Generally, chemical control has been the major tool for the control of aphids (Parrella *et al.*, 1999). Even though resistance of *A. gossypii* to some insecticides has been documented (Delorme *et al.*, 1997; Herron *et al.*, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2002), the use of selective insecticides is needed for successful integrated pest management (IPM) programs (Talebi Jahromi, 2007).

^{*}Corresponding author, e-mail: naminijam@gmail.com Received: 24 April 2013, Accepted: 2 November 2013

Imidacloprid is one of the neonicotinoid insecticides with systemic activity that acts as an agonist of insect nicotinyl acetylcholine receptors, causing a reduction in, or complete cessation of, feeding and movement. It is particularly effective against aphids, whiteflies and planthoppers (Boiteau and Osborn, 1997; Elbert *et al.*, 1998; Nauen *et al.*, 1998).

Pirimicarb is a selective carbamate insecticide that inhibits acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity in the insect nervous system. It is used to target aphids in particular (Hassall, 1990; Talebi Jahromi, 2007).

Estimating of lethal concentration appears to be incomplete measure of the toxic effects of insecticides because of the limited number of endpoints examined (mortality) and due to the often short duration of the tests (Walthall and Stark, 1997). The use of the intrinsic rate of increase of population (r_m) for toxicological studies has been recommended as a means of obtaining a complete measure of sublethal effects (Stark and Wennergren, 1995; Banks and Stark, 1998; Stark and Banks, 2003).

Demographic toxicology is an ecotoxicological technique that incorporates life table parameters in the context of toxicology. Life table parameters of populations exposed to various concentrations of a pollutant and those of unexposed populations are compared. Since ecological and toxicological parameters are combined, the general assumption is that predictions of the effects of pollutants at the population level can be made (Stark and Wennergren, 1995).

characterization of differential susceptibility among life stages is critical to the assessment of pesticides and other xenobiotic on sensitive species (Stark and Wennergren, 1995). Acute toxicities and sublethal effects of imidacloprid and pirimicarb have investigated on adult stage of A. gossypii (Moores et al., 1996; Rongai et al., 1998; Nauen and Elbert, 2003; Khaloobagheri et al., 2006; Gerami et al. 2007; Tabacian et al., 2011, Gerami et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2012; Torkamand et al., 2013). However there is no literature about the effects of these insecticides

on immature stages of *A. gossypii*. Imidacloprid and pirimicarb are recommended in Iran for controlling aphids in fields and glasshouses.

Therefore, this study was designed to investigate the lethal effect of these two insecticides on immature and adult stages of *A. gossypii* in addition to their sublethal effect on the life table parameters of the pest. The results of this research would be important for more effective use of these insecticides in management programs of *A. gossypii* through improved understanding of their activity profiles.

Materials and Methods

Insect rearing

The colony of *A. gossypii* used in this research was established from insects originally collected from cucumber fields, in Ahvaz, Southwest Iran, in Aprill 2011. It was reared on glasshouse cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L. cultivar Negin) in a muslin-walled cage ($150 \times 65 \times 65$ cm) maintained in a growth chamber at 25 ± 1 °C, $65 \pm 5\%$ R. H. and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h.

In order to obtain synchronous cohorts of the experimental aphids, some apterous adults were placed on 5 cm diameter cucumber leaf discs. Each leaf disc was set upside down on a layer (4-5 mm) of 1.2% agar into a 9 cm diameter × 1 cm plastic Petri dish with three screened holes (1.2 cm diameter) in its lid for ventilation. After 12 h, apterous adults were removed from each Petri dish. Then the first instars were reared to the desired stage of development.

Insecticides

Commercial formulations of the two insecticides were used: imidacloprid (35SC, Gyah, Tehran, Iran) and pirimicarb (50WP, Moshkfam Fars, Iran).

Bioassay

A leaf dipping method was used in bioassay tests for each developmental stage of *A. gossypii* (Koziol and Semtner, 1984). Concentration setting experiments were done to determine the best concentrations to use for the

definitive measurements. Serial dilutions of formulated compounds were prepared in distilled water containing 500 ppm Tween 20 (as a non-ionic surfactant).

Cucumber leaves were dipped for 10 s into the insecticide solutions or into deionized water containing 500 ppm Tween 20 for controls. After air drying for 1 h, they were cut into 5 cm diameter discs and each leaf disc was placed with its adaxial side on a thin layer (2-3 mm) of 1.2% agar, into a 6 cm diameter × 1 cm plastic Petri dish with two screened holes (1.2 cm diameter) on its lid for ventilation. Twenty aphids at the same stage of development per replicate were tested for each insecticide concentration.

Mortality was assessed 24 h after treatment. Aphids that seemed extremely lethargic or did not show any sign of movement when lightly touched with a needle were recorded as dead. Mortality data were corrected using Abbot's formula (Abbot, 1925).

Five concentrations of each insecticide plus a water control were used for each bioassay. Five Petri dishes were used per concentration (unit of replication) and each bioassay was repeated three times, i.e. 300 aphids per concentration. All Petri dishes were incubated at 25 ± 1 °C, $65 \pm 5\%$ R.H. and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h in laboratory conditions. LC50 values and their corresponding 95% fiducial limits (FL) were determined by probit analysis using the POLO-PC software (LeOra Software, 1987). LC₅₀ values between developmental stages and between the insecticides on each developmental stage of A. gossvpii were compared by relative potency test. Relative potencies were considered significant (P < 0.05) when their 95% confidence intervals (CI) did not include the value 1.0. (Robertson et al., 2007). Furthermore, the results were considered significant when 95% confidence intervals of LC₅₀ values did not overlap (Adams et al. 1990).

Sublethal effects

To study the sublethal effects of the insecticides on *A. gossypii*, utilizing life table parameters, adult aphids that had gone through all preimaginal stages on untreated leaves, were exposed

to an LC₂₅ of either imidacloprid (31.1 ppm) or pirimicarb (597.8 ppm). Fifty young adult aphids (< 12 h old) were placed into individual Petri dishes (of the above mentioned dimensions) containing 5 cm diameter leaf discs treated with an LC₂₅ of either insecticide including 500 ppm Tween 20. Control groups were treated with distilled water plus 500 ppm Tween 20. In order to keep the leaf discs fresh they were placed on an agar bed (4-5 mm). After 24 h, 20 live females were selected randomly and were monitored daily for mortality and reproduction. Neonate aphids were removed from each Petri dish after being counted. These data were recorded every 24 h until the females died. All Petri dishes were incubated at 25 \pm 1 °C, 65 \pm 5% R.H. and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h in laboratory conditions. Daily schedules of mortality and fecundity were integrated into a life table format (Carey, 1993) and were used to calculate the net reproductive rate (R_0), intrinsic rate of population increase $(r_{\rm m})$, finite rate of population increase (λ) , generation time (T_c) and doubling time (DT). The Jackknife technique was used to calculate the variance of $r_{\rm m}$ and other life table parameter estimates (Maia et al., 2000). The data related to the adult longevity, fecundity and life table parameters were subjected to one-way ANOVA and means were compared by use of the least significant difference (LSD) test (P < 0.05) (SAS Institute, 2003). No data transformation was used because there was no evidence of non-normality of the data.

Results

Toxicity bioassay

Toxicity effects of imidacloprid and pirimicarb for all stages of the melon aphid are shown in Table 1. Toxicity of both insecticides was greater for first instars than for other stages and decreased with increasing age (Table 1). There was not significant difference in susceptibility of first and second instars of A. gossypii to imidacloprid (P > 0.05) (Table 2). Althogh, first instars of the melon aphid were more susceptible than second instars of A. gossypii to pirimicarb (P < 0.05). There was significant

difference in susceptibility of second and third instars (P < 0.05), third and fourth instars (P < 0.05) and fourth instars and adults of A. gossypii (P < 0.05) to imidacloprid and pirimicarb (Table 2).

The results of relative potency test showed that imidacloprid was 15.08 times more toxic than pirimicarb for first nymph instars. Both insecticides were effective against other nymphal instars of the aphid, but imidacloprid was about 14.51, 15.42 and 12.03 times more toxic than pirimicarb to the second, third and fourth nymphal instars, respectively (Table 3). Imidacloprid and pirimicarb were effective against adults with LC_{50} values of 90.1 and 983.1 ppm, respectively (Table 1). Imidacloprid was almost 11.36 times more toxic than pirimicarb on adults (Table 3).

Sublethal effects

Imidacloprid and pirimicarb significantly reduced adult longevity (F = 43.9; df = 2, 57; P< 0.0001) and number of offspring per female per day (F = 106.4; df = 2, 57; P < 0.0001) in comparison with the control (Table 4). The population parameters described by intrinsic rate of increase $(r_{\rm m})$ (F = 173.5; df = 2, 57; P <0.0001), net reproductive rate (R_0) (F = 228.2); df = 2, 57; P < 0.0001), generation time (T) (F = 107; df = 2, 57; P < 0.0001) and finite rate of population increase (λ) (F = 194.6; df = 2, 57; P< 0.0001) were affected by both insecticides in comparison to the control (Table 5). Doubling time (DT) also, was affected by imidacloprid (F= 32.3; df = 2, 57; P < 0.0001) (Table 5).

Table 1 Toxicity of imidacloprid and pirimicarb to pre-imaginal and adult stages of A. gossypii.

Stage	Imidacloprid				Pirimicarb			
	n	Slope (SE)	LC ₅₀ ppm ^a (95%FL)	χ^2 (df)	n	Slope (SE)	LC ₅₀ ppm (95%FL) χ ² (df)	
1 st instar	1800	1 (0.5)	17 (11-27)	7.42 (3)	1800	2.12 (0.1)	220.2 (186.3-263.2) 5.22 (3)	
2 nd instar	1800	1.06 (0.5)	23.9 (17-34.3)	5.29 (3)	1800	2.59 (0.1)	308.8 (274.1-348.9) 3.71 (3)	
3 rd instar	1800	1.27 (0.6)	37.2 (26.7-53)	6.50 (3)	1800	2.78 (0.1)	527.2 (450.6-620.1) 7.51 (3)	
4 th instar	1800	1.40 (0.6)	70.5 (53.1-95.9)	6.29 (3)	1800	3.22 (0.2)	781.7 (712.4-859.4) 3.58 (3)	
Adult	1800	1.46 (0.7)	90.1 (80.4-101.4)	2.33 (3)	1800	3.12 (0.2)	983.1 (862.8-1122.7) 6.68 (3)	

^a Lethal concentrations and 95% fiducial limits (FL) were estimated using logistic regression (LeOra Software, 1987).

Table 2 Relative potency of imidacloprid and pirimicarb to compare the susceptibility of developmental stages of *A. gossypii* to each insecticide.

Relative potency or LC_{50} estimates ratio	Imidacloprid	Pirimicarb
LC ₅₀ (2 nd instar): LC ₅₀ (1 st instar) (95% CI) ^a	1.42 (0.97-2.09)	1.43 ^b (1.17-1.75) ^b
LC_{50} (3 rd instar): LC_{50} (2 nd instar) (95% CI)	1.61 ^b (1.1-2.39) ^b	1.71 ^b (1.49-1.98) ^b
LC ₅₀ (4 th instar): LC ₅₀ (3 rd instar) (95% CI)	1.96 ^b (1.38-2.80) ^b	$1.49^{b} (1.29-1.73)^{b}$
LC ₅₀ (Adult): LC ₅₀ (4 th instar) (95% CI)	1.29 ^b (1.02-1.62) ^b	$1.26^{b} (1.12 - 1.40)^{b}$

^a 95% Confidence interval of relative potency.

^b Relative potency considered significant when its 95% confidence interval did not comprise the value 1.0.

Table 3 Relative potency of imidacloprid and pirimicarb to compare toxicity of both insecticides on each developmental stage of *A. gossypii*.

Stage	Relative potency or LC ₅₀ (Pirimicarb): LC ₅₀ (imidacloprid)	95% Confidence interval of relative potency		
1 st instar	15.08 ^a	(5.64-40.99) ^a		
2 nd instar	14.51 ^a	$(4.90-43.40)^a$		
3 rd instar	15.42 ^a	$(6.30-36.99)^a$		
4 th instar	12.03 ^a	$(5.50-25.74)^{a}$		
Adult	11.36 ^a	(5.85-21.69) ^a		

^a Relative potency considered significant when its 95% confidence interval did not comprise the value 1.0.

Table 4 The mean rates of longevity and fecundity (SE) of *A. gossypii* adults treated with LC₂₅ of imidacloprid and pirimicarb^a.

Treatment	Longevity (days)	Number of offspring per female/day
Control	14.95 (1.25) ^a	4.33 (0.23) ^a
Imidacloprid	3.05 (0.22) ^c	0.98 (0.07) ^c
Pirimicarb	$6.55 (0.97)^{b}$	2.47 (0.15) ^b

^a Means in a column followed by different small letters are significantly different (P < 0.05, LSD, SAS Institute, 2003).

Table 5 The means rates of stable population parameters (SE) of *A. gossypii* adults exposed to LC₂₅ of imidacloprid and pirimicarb^a.

Treatment	R_0	$r_{ m m}$	λ	T_{c}	DT
Control	55.68 (3.06) ^a	0.438 (0.00) ^a	1.55 (0.01) ^a	9.16 (0.17) ^a	1.58 (0.02) ^b
Imidacloprid	2.54 (0.23) ^c	$0.150 (0.01)^{c}$	1.16 (0.02) ^c	6.21 (0.05) ^c	4.56 (0.48) ^a
Pirimicarb	11.11 (1.13) ^b	$0.335 (0.01)^{b}$	$1.39 (0.01)^{b}$	$7.19(0.18)^{b}$	2.07 (0.07) ^b

^a Means in a column followed by different small letters are significantly different (P < 0.05, LSD, SAS Institute, 2003). R_0 = net reproductive rate; r_m = intrinsic rate of increase; λ = finite rate of increase; T = generation time; DT = doubling time.

Discussion

According to the results obtained, the level of sensitivity of *A. gossypii* to the insecticides tested depended on developmental stage with first and second nymphal instars being the most susceptible and susceptibility decreasing with increasing age. The critical time for treatment with insecticides is, therefore, during the earliest instars. Others have similarly reported the higher sensitivity of aphids (Lowery *et al.* 2005;

Walthall and Stark, 1997) and other sap-sucking pests (Prabhaker *et al.*, 2006; Sohrabi *et al.* 2011) to insecticides in their earliest instars.

The LC₅₀ value of imidacloprid for first instar nymphs was 17 ppm or 5.9 mg a.i. l⁻¹ in the study reported here. The high activity of this insecticide is also reported in pre-imaginal stages of other aphid species. Lowery and Smirle (2003) determined an LC₅₀ value of 0.064 mg a.i. l⁻¹ for imidacloprid when first instars of *Aphis pomi* (De Geer) were exposed

for three days to insecticide treated apple leaf discs. Sadeghi et al. (2009) reported that the same insecticide killed 16% first instar Acyrthosiphon pisum (Harris) treated at day 1 with 100 mg l⁻¹ in artificial diet. In other studies, the LC₅₀ value of 0.05-0.5 ppm (a.i.)) for third instar A. pomi (Lowery et al., 2005) and of 0.19-0.26 mg a.i. 1^{-1} for neonates of A. pisum (Walthall and Stark, 1997) are reported. The LC₅₀ value for adults of A. gossypii treated with imidacloprid (90.1 ppm) was in the range of that found by Tabacian et al. (2011) for A. gossypii (43-231 ppm) in Northeast Iran. In contrast, it was higher than those of A. gossypii populations from different locations of Europe $(1-1.5 \text{ mg } 1^{-1})$ (Nauen and Elbert, 2003). Differences between the LC₅₀ values reported here and those of other studies may be due to various factors such as pest species, host species, geographical variation in aphid populations, previous exposure and duration of exposure to insecticide, and as well as type (technical material or formulation) insecticide used.

All LC₅₀ values reported for imidacloprid in the present study (17-90.1 ppm) were significantly lower than the rate of application of 500 ppm currently used in the field. These results demonstrate the marked effects of imidacloprid against the different stages of *A. gossypii*. As compared to pirimicarb these were greater for all stages of the aphid.

In the present study, pirimicarb affected first, second and third instars of *A. gossypii* at lower concentrations than the recommended rate of application of 700 ppm currently used in the field (Table 1). For fourth instars and adults, however, LC₅₀ values were greater than the concentration recommended for field application. The LC₅₀ value of 527.2 ppm was observed for third instars treated with pirimicarb. In another leaf-dipping bioassay, the LC₅₀ values ranged between 0.57-1.65 ppm (a.i.) for pirimicarb against Washington populations of *A. pomi* (Lowery *et al.*, 2006).

The LC₅₀ value of 983.1 ppm pirimicarb, based on formulated material or 491.5 mg a.i.l⁻¹, that we obtained for adults of *A. gossypii* was in

accordance with that (380-1038 ppm) for populations from Northeast Iran (Tabacian *et al.*, 2011) and with (755-1026 ppm) for populations from North Iran (Torkamand *et al.*, 2013) but was greater than those from England (45-62 mg a.i. 1⁻¹) (Moores *et al.*, 1996) and Europe (3.8-14 mg 1⁻¹) (Nauen and Elbert, 2003). According to Rongai *et al.* (1998), an Italian population of *A. gossypii* was insensitive to pirimicarb, even at the maximum dose tested (2400 µg a.i. 1⁻¹). Differences between the results reported here and those of other studies may be due to various factors mentioned above.

A marked sublethal effect on the stable population parameters of A. gossypii was observed when an LC₂₅ of either imidacloprid or pirimicarb was administered to the adult stage. The intrinsic rate of increase in population (r_m) for untreated control was 0.438 female offspring/female/day and is in agreement with figures of 0.42 (Zamani, 2006) and 0.47 (Shirvani and Hosseininaveh, 2004) for A. gossypii on cucumber cultivars Negin and Superdominus, respectively. Satar et al. (2005) and Van Steenis & El-khawass (1995), on the other hand, reported $r_{\rm m}$ values of 0.52 and 0.55, respectively, which are higher than ours. The $r_{\rm m}$ values obtained for treatment with imidacloprid and pirimicarb were 0.150 and 0.335, respectively; significantly lower than that of the control (P < 0.0001). These findings demonstrate the effects of the insecticide treatments on population growth. In contrast, Kerns and Stewart (2000) showed that the intrinsic rate of increase of population of the cotton aphid was unaffected by exposure to bifenthrin, acephate or carbofuran. Walthall and Stark (1997) noted that A. pisum populations exposed to sublethal concentrations imidacloprid were able to sustain a rate of increase similar to that of the controls. Studies on the effect of imidacloprid on imidaclopridresistant A. gossypii populations have shown either an increase in longevity and $r_{\rm m}$ as compared to the control (Gerami et al., 2012) or no significant difference (Shi et al., 2012). Our findings are not in accordance with these studies since populations of A. gossypii resistant to insecticide were put under additional insecticide

pressure whereas in the study reported here, the *A. gossypii* population was maintained under insecticide-free conditions, without the opportunity to develop resistance. In fact, the use of insecticides may lead to the selection of genetically more resistant strains which reproduce more rapidly (Eggers-Schumacher, 1983), or to toxicant-resistant individuals that, when exposed to insecticides, are capable of sustaining rates of increase similar to control populations (Walthall and Stark, 1997).

Values of the other population parameters of A. gossypii reported here, namely generation time (T), net reproductive rate (R_0) and finite rate of population increase (λ) decreased with insecticide treatment while doubling time (DT) increased with imidacloprid treatment. These findings are similar to those reported in other studies (Gerami et al., 2007; Khaloobagheri et al., 2006; Lashkari et al., 2007).

In conclusion, our results suggest that imidacloprid and pirimicarb can make a valuable contribution to integrated pest management and will be most efficacious when directed against the first two instars of *A. gossypii*, the stages of development with greatest sensitivity to the insecticides. The possibility that these insecticides may exhibit lethal or sublethal effects on the natural enemies of *A. gossypii* cannot be overlooked and requires investigation.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Dr. Frances Hunter Fujita from Reading University, U. K., for improving the manuscript. This work was supported by the research deputy of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran.

References

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of a insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 18 (1): 265-267.
- Adams, A. J., Hall, F. R. and Hoy, C. W. 1990. Evaluating resistance to permethrin in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae)

- population using uniformly sized droplets. Journal of Economic Entomology, 83 (4): 1211-1215.
- Bank, J. E. and Stark, J. D. 1998. What is ecotoxicology? An ad-hoc grap bag or an interdisciplinary science? Integrative Biology, 1 (5): 195-204.
- Boiteau, G. and Osborn, W. P. L. 1997. Behavioral effects of imidacloprid, a new nicotinyl insecticide, on the potato aphid, *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Thomas) (Homoptera: Aphididae). The Canadian Entomologist, 129 (2): 241-249.
- Carey, J. R. 1993. Applied Demography for Biologists with Special Emphasis on Insects. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Chan, C. K., Forbes, A. R. and Raworth, D. A. 1991. Aphid-Transmitted Viruses and Their Vectors of the World. Agriculture Canada Research Branch Technical Bulletin, Vancouver.
- Delorme, R., Auge, D., Bethenod, M. T. and Villatte, F. 1997. Insecticide resistance in a strain of *Aphis gossypii* from Southern France. Pesticide Science, 49 (1): 90-96.
- Eggers-Schumacher, H. A. 1983. Acomparison of the reproductive performance of insecticide resistant and susceptible clones of *Myzus persicae*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 34 (3): 301-307.
- Elbert, A., Nauen, R. and Leicht, W. 1998. Imidacloprid, a novel chloronicotinyl insecticide: biological activity and agricultural importance, In: Ishaaya, I. and Degheele, D. (Eds.), Insecticides with Novel Modes of Action; Mechanisms and Application. Springer, New York, pp. 50-73.
- Gerami, S., Talebi, K., Bandani, A. Ghadamyari, M. and Hosseininaveh, V. 2012. Relationship between susceptibility to neonicotinoids and population dynamics of cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 45 (2): 192-198.
- Gerami, S., Talebi Jahromi, K., Heidari, A. Ashouri, A. and Rasoulian, G. R. 2007. Sublethal effects of imidacloprid on life table parameters of *Aphis gossypii* Glover

- (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Applied Entomology and Phytopathology, 75 (1): 67-77 (in Persian).
- Hassall, K. A. 1990. The Biochemistry and Uses of Pesticides: Structure, Metabolism, Mode of Action and Uses in Crop Protection. Macmillan, London.
- Herron, G. A., Powis, K. and Rophail, J. 2001. Insecticide resistance in *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae), a serious threat to Australian cotton. Australian Journal of Entomology, 40: 85-91.
- Kerns, D. L. and Stewart, S. D. 2000. Sublethal effects of insecticides on the intrinsic rate of increase of cotton aphid. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 94 (1): 41-49.
- Khaloobagheri, M., Jalali Sendi, J., Talebi Jahromi, K., Azmayesh Fard, P. and Heidari, A. 2006. Sublethal effects of pirimicarb on population growth parameters of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Proceeding of the 17th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, Karaj, Iran, p. 158.
- Koziol, F. S. and Semtner, P. J. 1984. Extent of resistance to organophosphorus insecticides in field population of the green peach aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) infesting flue-cured tobacco in Virginia. Journal of Economic Entomology, 77 (1): 1-3.
- Kresting, U., Satar, S. and Uygun, N. 1999. Effect of temperature on development rate and fecundity of apterous *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hom: Aphididae) reared on *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Journal of Applied Entomology, 123 (1): 23-27.
- Lashkari, M. A., Sahragard, A. and Ghadamyari, M. 2007. Sublethal effects of imidacloprid and pymetrozine on population growth parameters of cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* L. on rapeseed, *Brassica napus* L. Insect Science, 14: 207-212.
- Leclant, F. and Deguine, J. P. 1994. Aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae), In: Matthews, G. A. and Tunstall, J. P. (Eds.), Insect Pests of Cotton. CAB International, Wallingford, pp. 285-323.
- LeOra Software, 1987. POLO-PC: A users guide to probit or logit analysis. LeOra Software, Berkeley.

- Lowery, D. T. and Smirle, M. J. 2003. Comparison of bioassay techniques for determining baseline susceptibilities to imidacloprid for green apple aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 96 (6): 1864-1871.
- Lowery, D. T., Smirle, M., Foottit, R. G. and Beers, E. H. 2006. Susceptibilities of apple aphid and spirea aphid collected from apple in the Pacific Northwest to selected insecticides. Journal of Economic Entomology, 99 (4): 1369-1374.
- Lowery, D.T., Smirle, M. J., Foottit, R. G., Zurowski, C. L. and Beers Peryea, E. H. 2005. Baseline susceptibilities to imidacloprid for green apple aphid and spirea aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) collected from apple in the Pacific Northwest. Journal of Economic Entomology, 98 (1): 188-194.
- Maia, A. H. N., Luiz, A. J. B. and Campanhola, C. 2000. Statistical influence on associated fertility life table parameters using jackknife technique: Computational aspects. Journal of Economic Entomology, 93 (2): 511-518.
- Moores, G. D., Gao, X., Denholm, I. and Devonshire, A. L. 1996. Characterization of insensitive acethylcholinesterase in the insecticide-resistant cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: *Aphididae*). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 56 (2): 102-110.
- Nauen, R. and Elbert, A. 2003. European monitoring of resistance to insecticides in *Myzus persicae* and *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: *Aphididae*) with special reference to imidacloprid. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 93 (1): 47-54.
- Nauen, R., Hungenberg, H., Toloo, B., Tietjen, K. and Elbert, A. 1998. Antifeedant effect, biological efficacy and high affinity binding of imidacloprid to acetylcholine receptors in *Myzus persicae* and *Myzus nicotianae*. Pesticide Science, 53: 133-140.
- Parrella, M. P., Hansen, L. S. and Lenteren, J.
 C. V. 1999. Glasshouse environments, In:
 Fisher, T. W., Bellows, T. S., Caltagrione, L.
 E., Dahlstein, D. L., Hufffaker, C. B. and

- Gordh, G. (Eds.), Handbook of Biological Control. Academic, New York, pp. 819-839.
- Prabhaker, N., Castle, S. J. and Toscano, N. C. 2006. Susceptibility of immature stages of *Homalodisca coagulata* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) to selected insecticides. Journal of Economic Entomology, 99 (5): 1805-1812.
- Robertson, J. L., Russell, R. M., Preisler, H. K., and Savin, N. E. 2007. Pesticide bioassays with arthropods. CRC Press, Michigan.
- Rongai, D., Cerato, C., Martelli, R. and Ghedini, R. 1998. Aspects of insecticide resistance and reproductive biology of *Aphis gossypii* Glover on seed potatoes. Potato Research, 41 (1): 29-37.
- Sadeghi, A., Van Damme, E. M. and Smagghe, G. 2009. Evaluation of the susceptibility of the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, to a selection of novel biorational insecticides using an artificial diet. Journal of Insect Science, 9 (65): 8pp. Available on: http://www.insectcience.org (accessed June 20, 2011).
- SAS Institute, 2003. The SAS System for Windows, Release 9.0. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Satar, S., Kersting, U. and Uygun, N. 2005. Effect of temperature on development and fecundity of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hom., Aphididae) on cucumber. Journal of Pest Science, 78 (3): 133-137.
- Shi, X. B., Jiang, L., Wang, H. Y., Qiao, K., Wang, D. and Wang, K. Y. 2011. Toxicities and sublethal effects of seven neonicotinoid insecticides on survival, growth and reproduction of imidacloprid-resistant cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii*. Pest Management Science, 67: 1528-1533.
- Shirvani, A. and Hoseininavah, V. 2004. Fertility life table parameters estimation of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Agriculture Science, 35 (1): 23-29 (in Persian).
- Sohrabi, F., Shishehbor, P., Saber, M. and Mossadegh, M. S. 2011. Lethal and sublethal effects of buprofezin and imidacloprid on *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Crop Protection, 30: 1190-1195.

- Stark, J. D. and Banks, J. E. 2003. Population-level effects of pesticides and other toxicants on arthropods. Annual Review of Entomology, 48: 505-519.
- Stark, J. D. and Wennergren, U. 1995. Can population effects of pesticides be predicted from demographic toxicological studies? Journal of Economic Entomology, 88 (5): 1089-1096.
- Tabacian, H., Ravan, S. and Bandani, A. R., 2011. Suceptibilities of two populations of *Aphis gossiper* Glover to selected insecticides. African Journal of Biotechnology, 10 (4): 670-674.
- Talebi Jahromi, K. 2007. Pesticides Toxicology, second ed. University of Tehran, Tehran.
- Torkamand, M., Heidari, A., Ghajarieh, H. and Faravardeh, L. 2013. Comparison of susceptibility of melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae), to pirimicarb and malathion in seven regions in Iran. Journal of Crop Protection, 2 (2): 183-192.
- Van Steenis, M. J. and El-Khawass, K. A. M. H., 1995. Life history of *Aphis gossypii* on cucumber: influence of temperature, host plant and parasitism. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 76 (2): 121-131.
- Walthall, W. K. and Stark, J. D. 1997. A comparison of acute mortality and population growth rates as endpoints of toxicological effects. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 37 (1): 45-52.
- Wang, K. Y., Liu, T. X., YU, C. H., Jiang, X. Y. and Yi, M. Q. 2002. Resistance of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) to fenvalrate and imidacloprid and activities of detoxification enzymes on cotton and cucumber. Journal of Economic of Entomology, 95 (2): 407-413.
- Zamani, A. A., Talebi, A. A., Fathipour, Y. and Baniameri, V. 2006. Effect of temperature on biology and population growth parameters of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) on greenhouse cucumber. Journal of Applied Entomology, 130 (8): 453-460.

اثرات کشندگی و زیرکشندگی ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب روی شته جالیز، Aphis gossypii (Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae در شرایط آزمایشگاهی

ندا امینی جم ٔ ، فرحان کچیلی ، محمد سعید مصدق ، آرش راسخ و موسی صابر ً

۱-گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه شهید چمران اهواز، اهواز، ایران. ۲- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه مراغه، مراغه، ایران. * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: naminijam@gmail.com دریافت: ۴ اردیبهشت ۱۳۹۲؛ پذیرش: ۱۱ آبان ۱۳۹۲

چکیده: اثرات سمیت ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب برای همه مراحل شته جالیز آزمایسوس، Glover با استفاده از روش غوطهوری برگ تحت شرایط آزمایشگاهی (دمای 1 ± 5 درجه سلسیوس، رطوبت نسبی 1 ± 5 درصد و دوره نوری ۱۶:۸ ساعت (تاریکی: روشنایی)) مورد ارزیابی قرار گرفت. پورههای سن اول آفت نسبت به هر دو حشره کش بسیار حساس بودند، بهطوری که مقدار 10 ± 10 برای ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب بهترتیب ۱۷ و ۲۲۰/۲ پیپیام بود. برای سایر سنین پورگی، مقادیر 10 ± 10 برای ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب بهترتیب ۱۳۰۸ تا 10 ± 10 پیپیام و 10 ± 10 برآورد برای ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب بهترتیب 10 ± 10 و 10 ± 10 و 10 ± 10 بیپیام بود. سمیت با افزایش سن کاهش یافت. ایمیداکلوپرید برای همه مراحل رشدی سمیتر از پیریمیکارب بود. اثر غلظتهای زیرکشنده این حشره کشها با استفاده از سمشناسی دموگرافیک ارزیابی شد. بقا و پارامترهای رشد جمعیت، شامل نرخ ذاتی رشد جمعیت 10 ± 10 ، نرخ خالص تولید مثل 10 ± 10 ، طول مدت یک نسل 10 ± 10 نیز متناهی افزایش جمعیت 10 ± 10 بهطور منفی توسط هر دو حشره کش تحت تأثیر قرار گرفت. در نیمارهای شاهد، ایمیداکلوپرید و پیریمیکارب مقادیر 10 ± 10 به به ترتیب 10 ± 10 نیز بهوسیله ایمیداکلوپرید تحت بهازای هر فرد ماده در روز بود. مدت زمان دو برابر شدن جمعیت 10 ± 10 نیز بهوسیله ایمیداکلوپرید تحت تأثیر قرار گرفت. نتایج نشان داد که حشره کشهای مذکور علیه شته 10 ± 10 نیز بهوسیله ایمیداکلوپرید تحت تأثیر قرار گرفت. نتایج نشان داد که حشره کشهای مذکور علیه شته 10 ± 10 نیز بهوسیله ایمیداکلوپرید تحت

واژگان کلیدی: Aphis gossypii کاربامات، سمشناسی دموگرافیک، نئونیکوتینوئید.