J. Crop Prot. 2016, 5 (1): 139-148 doi: 10.18869/modares.jcp.5.1.139

#### **Research Article**

## Life history of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa* decemlineata (Say) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), on four potato varieties in Iran

## Shahzad Iranipour<sup>1\*</sup>, Kambiz Esfandi<sup>2</sup> and Mohammadhossein Kazemi<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran.
- 2. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Tabriz, Iran.

**Abstract:** Colorado potato beetle (CPB), Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say), is the most important insect pest of potato in Iran. If local potato varieties vary in susceptibility to the pest, host plant resistance may provide management benefits to potato growers. A life table study was carried out to determine the relative suitability of four common potato varieties (Agria, Marfona, Savalan and Satina) for CPB development and reproduction in northwest Iran under laboratory conditions at  $23 \pm 3$  °C, 62 ± 10% RH, and natural photoperiod. Development time and hence generation time was longest on Savalan (31.07  $\pm$  0.48 d and 42.72  $\pm$  0.71 d respectively) and shortest on Agria (27.8  $\pm$  0.65 d and 35.99  $\pm$  0.8 d respectively). Juvenile mortality was highest (47.5%) on Satina and lowest (22.5%) on Marfona. Intrinsic rates of increase (r) were  $0.129 \pm 0.005$ ,  $0.127 \pm 0.005$ ,  $0.129 \pm 0.006$  and  $0.104 \pm 0.004$ , on Agria, Marfona, Satina and Savalan, respectively, that on Savalan being significantly lower than the others. The highest net reproductive rate was  $145.26 \pm 25.23$  on Marfona and the lowest was  $81.18 \pm 2.71$  on Savalan which was not significantly different among the cultivars. It seems that, among the four tested cultivars, the Savalan cultivar is less suitable to CPB, resulting in the poorest overall biological performance of the beetle, but the level of resistance did not appear sufficient to negate the need for other control methods.

**Key words:** Colorado potato beetle, potato variety, life table, mortality

## Introduction

Colorado potato beetle (CPB), Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Say), is one of the most destructive pests potato worldwide of (EPPO/CABI, 1997). This invasive species has high reproductive capacity and both adult and immature can severely defoliate potato crops

Handling Editor: Yaghoub Fathipour

\*Corresponding author, e-mail: shiranipour@tabrizu.ac.ir Received: 25 June 2015, Accepted: 18 November 2015

Published online: 27 December 2015

throughout the growing season (Hare, 1980). The CPB arrived in Iran around 30 years ago. It was reported for the first time in the potato fields of Ardabil (northwest Iran) in 1984 (Nouri-Ganbalani, 1989) and spread rapidly throughout other parts of the country. Studies in Ardabil revealed that the pest has two generations a year in this region. Peak abundance of larvae occurs in late June and early July, coinciding with the early blooming period of potato, and adults of the first generation emerge in late July (Nouri-Ganbalani, 1989).

The principal method of CPB control in Iran and in most parts of the world has been chemical control, but since 1980's, populations have evolved resistance to commonly used insecticides (Yaşar and Güngör, 2005). Increased resistance and growing concerns over environmental impact of insecticides prompted researchers to focus on alternative control measures with less environmental impact (Hare, 1990; Grafius, 1997). Host plant resistance (HPR) is one of the most fundamental techniques for managing insect pests and has been successfully utilized in many cereal and forage crops (Smith, 1989; 2006). Various mechanisms of antibiosis can reduce pest density by lowering growth and/or reproductive rates and reduce the probability of populations exceeding economic injury levels (Sanford and Trumble, 1994) and there is evidence of antibiosis to CPB in wild potato species (Brown et al., 1980; Casagrande, 1982; Groden and Casagrande, 1986; Hare and Kennedy, 1986; Mena-Covarrubius et al., 1996). Due to the ability to utilize a range of solanaceous plant species (Szentesi and Jermy, 1993), CPB also has the potential to overcome some forms of resistance expressed in potato cultivars (Hare, 1990) and evolve genetically distinct host races (Hsiao, 1978; 1981; Horton et al., 1988).

Host plants affect the fitness of herbivorous insects via their effects on development, survival, reproduction and life table parameters (Tsai and Wang, 2001; Awmack and Leather, 2002; Kim and Lee, 2002; Yasar and Güngör, 2005; Kumar et al., 2009). Life table analysis is a valuable analytical tool in population ecology (Ali and Rizvi, 2007) which can be used for indicating plant resistance (Dent, 1997). Short developmental time, high fecundity and high fertility are all indicators of the host plant suitability for the pest (van Lenteren and Noldus, 1990; Liu et al., 2004). Few case studies on life history parameters of CPB have been reported. Yaşar and Güngör (2005), Ghasemi-Kahrizeh et al. (2010) and Fathi et al. (2013) studied the effects of 5, 20 and 7 potato cultivars respectively on life history parameters of CPB, and found some differences among them.

The objective of the present study was to assess biological performance and life table parameters of CPB on four commercial potato cultivars of Agria, Marfona, Savalan and Satina, the most frequently cultivated varieties in East Azerbaijan, Iran. Knowledge of the relative susceptibility of these traditional potato cultivars to CPB will assist growers with varietal selection in infested regions and in adjusting IPM strategies for CPB in commercial potato fields.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Field plants

Potato cultivars were obtained from the Agricultural Research Institute of Aralogh in Ardabil, Iran. The varieties Agria, Marfona, Savalan and Satina, were selected on the basis of being the most widely cultivated varieties in East Azerbaijan. An experimental field  $(18.0 \times 28.0 \text{ m})$  was divided into four sub-plots (7.0 × 18.0 m), each of which was planted to one of the four cultivars. No pesticide treatments were applied during the growing season and this field served as the source of leaves for the laboratory study. The experimental field was tilled on 14 May, 2011, and planted two days later. Based on the results of soil analysis, 33 kg/ha of urate fertilizer were applied preplant, and two more applications at the same rate later in the growing season. Weeds were controlled by manual removal.

#### **Laboratory observations**

Due to facultative reproductive diapause of CPB in the study region, the experiment was conducted in two distinct phases during which larvae and adults were tested simultaneously under the same conditions. The original cohort of CPB eggs as well as randomly selected adults was from individuals collected by monitoring emergence of overwintered adults. Approximately 300 adult CPB and 30 egg

masses were collected from potato fields in Bostanabad (East Azerbaijan, Iran) on 30 June, 2011. Experiments were conducted during May 30<sup>th</sup> to July 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2011 on a laboratory bench under natural summer day conditions (an ambient temperature range of  $23 \pm 3$  °C, and RH  $62 \pm 10\%$ ). In order to development, 160 neonate decemlineata (n = 40 per variety) eclosing from the field-collected eggs were confined individually in ventilated plastic containers  $(4.0 \times 6.0 \times 9.0 \text{ cm})$ . Larvae were fed ad *libitum* diets of field-harvested potato leaves of one of the four varieties with leaf petioles immersed in a water-soaked piece of cotton to maintain turgor. All leaves were replaced and all events (mortalities, molts, etc.) recorded daily until all surviving individuals emerged as adults.

Since it was not possible to follow a single cohort through to adult mortality (due to adult reproductive diapause), reproduction data were obtained from overwintered adult females (n = 20 per variety), that were confined in similar containers and assigned to the same feeding replacement treatments. Food and egg collection was conducted daily around noon and, during this period, each female was permitted access to a male for a period of about one hour. Eggs from each replicate were placed in a plastic Petri dish (9.0 cm diameter) and held until hatching under the environmental conditions the Offspring were sexed after adult emergence according to Yasar and Güngör (2005). Since more than 20 adults were obtained per treatment in developmental assays, some eggs were randomly excluded from each treatment in the life table analysis so that survival would yield 20 adults. This resulted in a total of 121 eggs (28, 26, 29 and 38 eggs for Agria, Marfona, Savalan and Satina respectively) being included in analysis.

#### Data analysis

Life table parameters were calculated according to Carey (1993), intrinsic rate of increase (r), net reproduction rate  $(R_0)$ , finite

rate of increase  $(\lambda)$ , gross reproductive rate (GRR), doubling time (DT), and mean Variance generation time (GT). confidence intervals of r,  $R_0$ , DT, and GTvalues were estimated using the Jackknife procedure (Meyer et al., 1986; Ebert, 1999). Effects of host plant on the duration of immature stages and adult longevity were tested with a one way-ANOVA based on a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) for unequal sample sizes using the PROC GLM procedure (SAS, 2002). Duncan multiple range test was used to separate means.

#### **Results**

With the exception of the prepupal stage, there was significant variation in the duration of various juvenile CPB stages on the various potato varieties (Table 1). Total development time was shortest on Agria and longest on Savalan with Marfona intermediate and Satina not significantly different from either of the latter two. However, the highest rate of juvenile mortality was observed on Satina (Table 2). There was only a marginally significant effect of host plant on adult longevity (F = 2.64; df = 3, 77; P = 0.055) which was shortest on Satina (52.0  $\pm$  3.4 d) and longer on Agria (61.5  $\pm$  1.9 d) and Marfona (59.7  $\pm$  2.0 d); longevity on Savalan  $(56.0 \pm 2.8 \text{ d})$  did not differ significantly from any of the other treatments.

All life table parameters varied as a function of host plant cultivar (Table 3) and generated different survivorship curves (Fig. 1). Age-specific fecundities were roughly triangular with the highest egg production occurring early in adult life (Fig. 2). Lifetime fecundities were not significantly different among cultivars, (F = 2.44; df = 3, 76; P = 0.071). Savalan appeared to express the most antibiosis towards CPB, yielding the highest values for DT and GT and the lowest values for r,  $R_{\theta}$ ,  $\lambda$  and GRR. The other three cultivars appeared similarly suitable for CPB based on these measures.

**Table 1** Developmental time (Mean  $\pm$  SE) of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* reared on four potato cultivars.

Cultivar	Life stage duration (d) <sup>1</sup>						
•	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	Prepupa	Pupa	Total
Agria	$2.0 \pm 0.00$ b	$4.1 \pm 0.05$ ab	$2.3 \pm 0.07b$	$6.2 \pm 0.27a$	$7.5 \pm 0.74a$	$5.8 \pm 0.24ab$	$27.8 \pm 0.65c$
Marfona	$2.1\pm0.10b$	$4.3 \pm 0.14a$	$2.3\pm0.13b$	$6.5 \pm 0.31a$	$8.3 \pm 0.64a$	$6.2 \pm 0.23a$	$29.6 \pm 0.37b$
Savalan	$6.3 \pm 0.09a$	$3.7 \pm 0.18b$	$3.2\pm0.18a$	$4.3\pm0.35b$	$8.4 \pm 0.68a$	$5.4 \pm 0.27b$	$31.1 \pm 0.48a$
Satina	$6.2 \pm 0.06a$	$3.5 \pm 0.18b$	$3.6 \pm 0.19a$	$4.3\pm0.33b$	$6.9 \pm 0.78a$	$6.0 \pm 0.28a$	$30.5 \pm 0.46ab$
F	1014.30	5.24	18.47	14.50	0.90	2.97	8.03
df	3, 156	3, 145	3, 137	3, 133	3, 106	3, 105	3, 105
P	< 0.001	0.039	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.443	0.035	< 0.001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Means followed by the same letters in each column are not significantly different (Duncan's MRT,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

**Table 2** Juvenile mortality of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* reared on four potato cultivars (n = 40 neonates).

Cultivar	Stage- specific mortality (%)							
	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	$3^{\rm rd}$	$4^{th}$	Prepupa	Pupa	Total	
Agria	0.0	2.5	0.0	25.6	0.0	0.0	27.5	
Marfona	0.0	5.0	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.0	22.5	
Savalan	15.0	8.8	6.4	3.4	0.0	0.0	30.0	
Satina	12.5	5.7	6.0	29.0	4.5	0.0	47.5	

**Table 3** Life table parameters (Mean  $\pm$  SE) of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* fed on four potato cultivars.

Cultivar	$r\left(\mathbf{d}^{-1}\right)$	$R_0$	$\lambda (d^{-1})$	GRR	DT(d)	GT(d)
Agria	$0.129 \pm 0.005a$	$101.6 \pm 19.4$ ab	$1.14 \pm 0.006a$	$143.9 \pm 22.1b$	$5.37 \pm 0.23$ b	$36.0 \pm 0.80c$
Marfona	$0.127 \pm 0.005a$	$145.3 \pm 25.2a$	$1.13 \pm 0.005a$	$190.9 \pm 26.2ab$	$5.47 \pm 0.20b$	$39.4 \pm 1.14b$
Savalan	$0.104 \pm 0.004b$	$81.2\pm2.7b$	$1.11 \pm 0.005b$	$123.0 \pm 15.8b$	$6.67 \pm 0.28a$	$42.7\pm0.71a$
Satina	$0.129 \pm 0.006a$	$121.8\pm14.7ab$	$1.14 \pm 0.007a$	$238.2 \pm 30.0a$	$4.96\pm0.45b$	$39.8 \pm 1.19ab$
F	4.71	2.09	4.70	4.65	4.80	4.71
df	3, 117	3, 117	3, 117	3, 117	3, 117	3, 117
P	0.004	0.105	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.004

Abbreviations: r = intrinsic rate of increase,  $R_0 =$  net replacement rate, GRR = gross reproductive rate,  $\lambda =$  finite rate of increase, DT = doubling time, GT = generation time.

Means followed by the same letters in each column are not significantly different (Duncan's MRT,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

## Discussion

The total development time of CPB was longest on Savalan and Satina shortest on Agria. Yaşar and Güngör (2005) reported notably longer total development times for CPB on five potato varieties in Turkey that included Agria and Marfona, despite rearing at a higher temperature (25 °C in their study). Their developmental times spanned a slightly greater range (4.5 d versus 3.3 d) with a median value 4 days longer than the present study (31.3-35.9 d compared with 27.8-

31.1 d in our study). Furthermore, Yaşar and Güngör (2005) reported juvenile mortalities substantially higher than those observed in the present study - twice as high on Agria and three times as high on Marfona. This is also true in Fathi et al. (2013) results. Female emergence rate in their study was 50-60% on different cultivars including Agria and Savalan resembling that of the Satina in our study. Total immature mortality was 22-30% in the other cultivars in our study that is considerably lower than reported in previous studies. Mortality as

well as development time of both larvae and pupae of CPB was studied on 20 potato cultivars with the Agria as the only common cultivar between our study and that of Ghasemi-Kahrizeh et al. (2010). In their study also both larval and pupal development (prepupa not separated) took similar period of time, but the mortality was much higher than present study. These discrepancies with our results are most likely attributable to some combination of regional differences between local CPB populations in host plant adaptation, development and thermal acclimation. In the present study, immature mortality was highest on Satina and lowest on

Marfona (Table 2). Thus, of the four cultivars, Satina appeared to express the most antibiosis to developing stages of CPB in our East Azerbaijan population, with Savalan a close second. Previously, Esfandi *et al.* (2012) reported that the consumption rate of CPB larvae was significantly lower on Satina than on Marfona, and lower on Morfona than on Agria. The same study revealed higher biomass consumption by CPB larvae on Agria than on the other varieties, along with reduced frass output, results that suggest this susceptible cultivar not only elicits a strong gustatory response, but is also well assimilated.




Figure 1 Survivorship curves for Leptinotarsa decemlineata reared on four potato cultivars at  $23 \pm 3$  °C.




Figure 2 Age-specific fecundity of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* fed on four potato cultivars at  $23 \pm 3$  °C.

An interesting and mysterious point in our results is longer odd stages' development (particularly that the first of instar) compensated by shorter even stages' (particularly that of 4<sup>th</sup> instar) in Savalan and Satina compared to Agria and Marfona. It seems that larvae accelerate their development at a subsequent stage when it is delayed at a previous stage. This may be related to weaker mandibles in first instar larvae and thicker leaves of the mentioned cultivars. The four potato cultivars in this study differed in certain morphological characteristics such as leaf thickness. For example, Savalan and Satina leaves are thicker in comparison with those of Marfona and Agria and this may be partly responsible for the long time CPB larvae spent in early instars on these two varieties, as small

larvae would likely be more negatively affected by thick leaves than larger ones.

The effect of cultivar was only marginally significant for adult female CPB longevity, which was greatest on Agria and Morfona, the susceptible varieties. Adult consumption of these cultivars was shown to be in the order of Agria > Morfona > Savalan = Satina, although frass output was similar across all varieties (Esfandi et al. 2012). Intrinsic rate of increase (r) did not differ among Agria, Marfona and Satina, but was reduced on Savalan due to the longest developmental time, which also yielded the lowest values for  $R_0$  and  $\lambda$  (Table 3). Given that Savalan also yielded the longest doubling time and generation times, this cultivar showed the poorest overall biological performance of CPB in the study. However, this performance was still substantially superior to that of the CPB population studied by Yaşar and Güngör (2005) even on Agria and Morfona and suggests that Savalan does not express sufficient antibiosis to diminish the need for additional CPB control measures to prevent economic losses in Iran. Fathi et al. (2013) also estimated stable population parameters on seven cultivars with the Agria and Savalan common with this study. Although overall results are in relative agreement to our study, generation time was considerably longer in their study without any overlap in range between the two studies. The GRR had a larger value in their study while both r and  $R_0$  were smaller. Net replacement rate estimates were similar for the Agria with Fathi et al. (2013) study. The Savalan was the most resistant cultivar based on the results of both studies and the Agria was more susceptible. The r-value was 0.055-0.082 in their study compared to 0.104-0.129 in our study. The differences are due to longer developmental time, higher mortality and lower reproduction in their population, in spite of a warmer condition during experiments that may imply a weaker physiological state of their population. A possible source for difference in mortality levels as well as the other parameters may be due to physiological state of different populations belonging to different years and places. Such spatio-temporal effects also have already been observed in other herbivorous insects like wheat bugs (Iranipour, 2008; Iranipour *et al.*, 2011).

### Acknowledgement

We thank Mrs. Neda Ghayouri for her kind assistance with this study.

#### References

- Ali, A. and Rizvi, P. Q. 2007. Age specific survival and fecundity table of *Coccinella septempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) on different aphid species. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences, 15: 329-334.
- Awmack, C. S. and Leather, S. R. 2002. Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects. Annual Review of Entomology, 47: 817-844.
- Brown, J. J., Jermy, T. and Butt, B. A. 1980. The influence of an alternate host plant on the fecundity of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 73: 197-199.
- Carey, J. R. 1993. Applied Demography for Biologists with Special Emphasis on Insects. Oxford University Press, UK.
- Casagrande, R. A. 1982. Colorado potato beetle resistance in a wild potato, *Solanum berthauleti*. Journal of Economic Entomology, 75: 368-372.
- Dent, D. R. 1997. Quantifying insect populations:
  Estimates and parameters. In Dent, D. R., and
  Walton, M. P. (Eds.): Methods in Ecological
  & Agricultural Entomology. CAB
  International, Wallingford, UK, pp.57-109.
- Ebert, T. A. 1999. Plant and Animal Populations, Methods in Demography. Academic Press, San Diego.
- EPPO/CABI, 1997. Leptinotarsa decemlineata. In Smith, I. M., Scott, P. R. and Holderness, M. (Eds.): Quarantine Pests for Europe, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Data sheets on quarantine pests for European Union and for the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection

- Organization. CAB International, University Press, Cambridge, pp. 352-357.
- Esfandi, K., Kazemi, M. H. and Iranipour, S. 2012. Effect of four potato varieties on nutritional measures of Colorado potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say). Journal of Field Crop Entomology, 1 (2): 25-34.
- Fathi, S. A. A., Fakhr-Taha, Z. and Razmjou, J. 2013. Life-history parameters of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*, on seven commercial cultivars of potato, *Solanum tuberosum*. Journal of Insect Science, 13: 132. Available online: http://www.insectscience.org/13.132.
- Ghassemi-Kahrizeh, A., Nouri-Ganbalani, G., Shayesteh, N. and Bernousi, I. 2010. Antibiosis effects of 20 potato cultivars to the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Col. Chrysomelidae). Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, 8 (3 & 4): 795-799.
- Grafius, E. 1997. Economic impact of insecticide resistance in the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on the Michigan potato industry. Journal of Economic Entomology, 90: 1144-1151.
- Groden, E. F. and Casagrande, R. A. 1986. Population dynamics of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), on *Solanum berthaultii*. Journal of Economic Entomology, 79: 91-97.
- Hare, J. D. 1980. Impact of defoliation by the Colorado potato beetle on potato yield. Journal of Economic Entomology, 73: 369-373.
- Hare, J. D. 1990. Ecology and management of the Colorado potato beetle. Annual Review of Entomology, 35: 81-100.
- Hare, J. D. and Kennedy, G. G. 1986. Genetic variation in plant/ insect associations: Survival of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* populations on *Solanum carolinense*. Evolution, 40: 1031-1043.
- Horton, D. R., Capinera, J. L. and Chapman, P. L. 1988. Local differences in host use by two populations of the Colorado potato beetle. Ecology, 69: 823-831.

- Hsiao, J. D. 1978. Host plant adaptations among geographic populations of the Colorado potato beetle. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 24: 237-247.
- Hsiao, T. 1981. Ecophysiological adaptations among geographic populations of the Colorado Potato Beetle in North America. In: Lashcomb, J. H. and Casagrande, R. (Eds.) Advances in Potato Pest Management. Hutchinson Ross Publ. Co. Stroudsburg, PA, pp. 69-85.
- Iranipour, S. 2008. Effect of temperature on fecundity and longevity of adults of sunnpest *Eurygaster integriceps* Put. (Het. Scutelleridae). Agricultural research, Water, Soil & Plant in Agriculture, 8 (1): 51-64.
- Iranipour, S., Kharrazi Pakdel, A., Radjabi, G. and Michaud, J. P. 2011. Life tables for sunn pest, *Eurygaster integriceps* (Heteroptera: Scutelleridae) in Northern Iran. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 101: 33-44.
- Kim, D. S. and Lee, J. H. 2002. Egg and larval survivorship of *Carposina sasakii* (Lepidoptera: Carposinidae) in apple and peach and their effects on adult population dynamics in orchards. Environmental Entomology, 31: 686-692.
- Kumar, S., Saini, R. K. and Ram, P. 2009. Natural mortality of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) eggs in the cotton ecosystem. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 11: 17-25.
- Liu, Z., Li, D., Gong, P. and Wu, K. 2004. Life table studies of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on different host plants. Environmental Entomology, 33: 1570-1576.
- Mena-Covarrubias, J., Drummond, F. A. and Haynes, D. L. 1996. Population dynamics of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on horse nettle in Michigan. Environmental Entomology, 25: 68-77.
- Meyer, J. S., Ingersoll, C. G., McDonald, L. L. and Boyce, M. S. 1986. Estimating uncertainty in population growth rates: Jacknife vs. bootstrap techniques. Ecology, 67: 1156-1166.

- Nouri-Ganbalani, G. 1989. Seasonal biology of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say) (Col. Chrysomelidae), in Ardabil, Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 29: 1-9.
- Sanford, D. E. and Trumble, J. T. 1994. Host plant resistance to insects in integrated pest management in vegetable crops. Journal of Agricultural Entomology, 11: 201-224.
- SAS Institute. 2002. Statistical Analyses Software. User's Manual, version 9.01. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Smith, C. M. 1989. Plant Resistance to Insects. Wiley, New York.
- Smith, C. M. 2006. Plant Resistance to Arthropods. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Szentesi, A. and Jermy, T. A. 1993. Comparison of food-related behaviour between geographic populations of the Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae),

- on six solanaceous plant species. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 66: 283-293.
- Tsai, J. H. and Wang, J. J. 2001. Effects of host plants on biology and life table parameters of *Aphis spiraecola* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Environmental Entomology, 30: 45-50.
- van Lenteren, J. C. and Noldus, L. P. J. J. 1990. Whitefly plant relationships: Behavioural and ecological aspects. In Gerling, D. (Ed.) White Flies: Their Bionomics, Pest Status and Management. Intercept, Andover, Hamshire, UK, pp. 47-89.
- Yaşar, B. and Güngör, M. A. 2005. Determination of life table and biology of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), feeding on five different potato varieties in Turkey. Japanese Society of Applied Entomology and Zoology, 40: 589-596.

# جدول زندگی سوسک کلرادوی سیبزمینی (Say) جدول زندگی سوسک کلرادوی سیبزمینی در ایران (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae)

شهزاد ایرانیپور $^{1}$ ، کامبیز اسفندی $^{3}$  و محمدحسین کاظمی

۱ - گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه تبریز، تبریز، ایران.

۲- گروه گیاهپزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد تبریز، تبریز، ایران.

\* پست الكترونيكي نويسنده مسئول مكاتبه: shiranipour@tabrizu.ac.ir

دریافت: ۴ تیر ۱۳۹۴؛ پذیرش: ۲۷ آبان ۱۳۹۴

واژگان کلیدی: سوسک کلرادوی سیبزمینی، رقم سیبزمینی، جدول زندگی، مرگومیر