

Research Article

The simultaneous application effects of nitrogen, phosphorus and silicon on life table parameters of *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) on cucumber

Narges Eini¹, Shahriar Jafari^{1*}, Amir Mohseni Amin² and Jahanshir Shakarami¹

1. Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Lorestan University, Khorramabad, Iran.

2. Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center of Lorestan, Agricultural Research, Education & Extension Organization, Iran.

Abstract: *Aphis gossypii* Glover is one of the major pests of cucumber in Iran. The effects of different concentrations of nitrogen ($N_1 = 0$, $N_2 = 30$, $N_3 = 60$, $N_4 = 90$ and $N_5 = 120$ kg/ha), phosphorus ($P_1 = 0$, $P_2 = 15$ and $P_3 = 22.5$ kg/ha) and silicon ($Si_1 = 0$, $Si_2 = 100$ and $Si_3 = 200$ kg/ha) on life table parameters of apterous morph of *A. gossypii* were studied. This study was conducted as factorial experiment based on RCD with nine replications in a growth chamber at temperature of 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) and a photoperiod of 16: 8 h (light: dark). The experiments were carried out using clip-cages that were fixed on leaves of cucumber growing in pots. According to the results, total fecundity of *A. gossypii* significantly was different among treatments and it was the highest on $N_1P_2Si_2$ (64.33 offspring) and the lowest on $N_3P_2Si_1$ (22.67 offspring). The lowest and the highest values of R_0 were observed on $N_3P_2Si_1$ and $N_4P_2Si_2$, respectively. The shortest mean generation time (T) was found on $N_4P_1Si_3$ and the longest was on $N_5P_3Si_1$. Furthermore, the lowest and the highest values of r were obtained on $N_5P_3Si_2$ (0.27 day^{-1}) and $N_5P_1Si_1$ (0.41 day^{-1}). Our results showed that in simultaneous application, the phosphorus had a more impact on life history and performance of cotton aphid.

Keywords: Cucumber, *Aphis gossypii*, Fertilizer, Life table parameters, Integrated pest management

Introduction

Cucumber is planted in both field and greenhouse conditions worldwide (Jafari *et al.*, 2012). One of the most important pests of cucumber in field and greenhouse conditions in Iran and in other cucumber growing areas is *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Aphididae) (Jafari *et al.*, 2013). *Aphis gossypii* has long been regarded as a cosmopolitan, highly polyphagous species, widely distributed in tropical, subtropical and

temperate regions (Satar *et al.*, 2005). Since cucumber is eaten raw and also the time interval between two harvests is short, therefore the control of its pests with minimum application of synthetic pesticides is very important (Jafari *et al.*, 2013).

Nowadays, application of fertilizers to increase yield of different crops is becoming a more common practice. Therefore, understanding the relationship between fertilizers application and the incidence of insect pests is essential. Some studies showed that population growth rate and developmental time of phytophagous insects were influenced by plant nutrient levels as well as nutrient ratios

Handling Editor: Yaghoob Fathipour

* Corresponding author, e-mail: Jafari.s@lu.ac.ir

Received: 29 October 2016, Accepted: 20 August 2017

Published online: 19 September 2017

(Busch and Phelan, 1999; Jansson and Ekblom, 2002; Mohiseni and Dashadi, 2016).

A cheap and available practice to increase the yield in agro ecosystems is nitrogen manipulation. Nitrogen profoundly influences the growth and fecundity of herbivorous insects (Douglas, 2006). Often nitrogenous compounds are scarce in plant tissues, particularly in phloem sap (Mattson, 1980). Therefore, sucking insects such as aphids show strong response to change in nitrogen level in host plants (Van Emden, 1966). Many researches demonstrated positive responses of aphids to a change in concentration of nitrogen (Letourneau *et al.*, 1996; Gary *et al.*, 2005; Zarghami *et al.*, 2010; Butler *et al.*, 2012; Mohiseni and Dashadi, 2016).

Phosphorus is an another essential element for plants that is necessary for energy transfer and its deficiency can affect various processes, such as protein synthesis and active ion uptake and therefore lead to retarded plant growth (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). Moreover, silicon is one of the beneficial nutrients that influences defenses of plants against insects. The absorbed silicon is deposited in the epidermal cells as amorphous silica, making the leaf tissue more rigid and more difficult for insects to feed on (Basagli *et al.*, 2003; Moraes *et al.*, 2004). In addition, silicon elicits the production of defense metabolites, such as peroxidases, polyphenol oxidases (Cherif *et al.*, 1992; Gomes *et al.*, 2005), lignin, and tannins (Gomes *et al.*, 2008). The object of this research was to study the effects of different concentration of N, P and Si on cotton aphid life table parameters of *A. gossypii* under controlled conditions on cucumber.

Materials and Methods

Preparing the colony of *Aphis gossypii*

Aphids were collected from cucumber fields in the Kamalvand region in the vicinity of Khorramabad, Lorestan Province, Western Iran, during summer 2014. For providing the colonies of *A. gossypii*, the collected aphids

were reared and multiplied on cucumber (cv. Negin) in greenhouse. After two generations of rearing under laboratory conditions, the apterous morphs of *A. gossypii* were used for experiments.

Plant culture, fertilization and examination

Cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) (cv. Negin) were sown in plastic pots (14 cm height × 20 cm diameter) in a substrate composed of soil and vermicompost (1: 2). No fertilizer was added to the soil used for direct seeding. Pots of sown cucumber were individually watered and maintained in a climate-controlled room at 25 ± 2 °C, $50 \pm 10\%$ RH and a 16: 8 h (L: D) photoperiod. To provide a continuous supply of the host plants for rearing the insect, cucumber seeds were planted every two or three weeks. No insecticides were applied on the plants. A primary soil analysis test was carried out to determine the level of each element in the soil. To accomplish the experiments, a small plastic cage (clip-cage) was placed on one leaf of each cucumber per pot. Cages were large enough so that food and space were not limiting factors. All potted plants were kept at 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH and a 16:8 h (L: D) photoperiod in a climate-controlled room. Micro complete fertilizer micronutrients were added to all treatments once every 15 days up to the end of the experiment. This study was carried out as factorial experiment based on RCD with nine replications. Potted plants received phosphorous, as triple super phosphate, at three treatment levels (0, 15, and 22.5 kg.ha⁻¹ of recommended dose) that was added in pots before planting, nitrogen, as Urea, CO (NH₂)₂, at five treatment levels (0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 kg.ha⁻¹ of recommended dose) that was added to pots in three portions (at seedling planting time i.e., four or five leaf stage; one week later and two weeks later) and silicon (in the form of sodium silicate) was used at the three treatment levels (0, 100 and 200kg.ha⁻¹ of recommended dose) that was suspended in water then added to pot. Also three treatments

with different substrate fertilizer were used as control, including vermicompost and soil (ratio 1: 1), pit and vermiculite (ratio 1: 1) and compost and soil (ratio 1: 1). A newly emerged nymph (less than 6 h old) was carefully transferred individually to in a clip-cage on cucumber leaf. Each clip-cage (including one aphid) on a separate plant was considered a replicate. Individual nymphs were observed every 12 h under a stereomicroscope for recording the molting time and survivorship until the last individual from each treatment moulted to the adult stage. Adult aphids were observed daily and newly born offspring were counted and removed until the last individual from each treatment died. These experiments allowed us to determine the reproductive parameters, adult longevity and life span of each aphid.

Data analysis

All data were checked for normality before statistical analysis by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (SPSS Inc. 2007, ver.16). The life table parameters including net reproductive rate (R_0), intrinsic rate of increase (r), finite rate of increase (λ), doubling time (DT) and the mean generation time (T) were determined according to the Birch (1948) and Carey (1993) equations. Statistical differences in demographic parameters were tested using Jackknife procedure to estimate the variance for demographic parameters (Meyer *et al.*, 1986). This procedure is mostly used to estimate variance and bias of estimators. It is based on repeated recalculation of the required estimator, missing out each sample in turn (Maia *et al.*, 2000) and it is used to quantify uncertainty associated with parameter estimates, as an alternative to over-complicated analytical procedures (Maia *et al.*, 2000). The influence of N, P and Si on developmental periods of *A. gossypii* was analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). When a significant difference was detected, the means of developmental time were compared using Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$).

Results and Discussion

Reproductive parameters, adult longevity and life span

The influence of different concentrations of NPSi on reproductive parameters, adult longevity, life span and total fecundity of *A. gossypii* is presented in Table 1. The effect of tested treatments on pre-reproductive ($F = 1.09$; $df = 47$; $P = 0.3237$) and post-reproductive ($F = 1.16$; $df = 47$; $P = 0.2312$) periods was not significant. However, pre-reproductive period was ranged from 0.45 day on pit- vermiculite to 1.50 days on $N_1P_3Si_3$. The shortest and the longest post-reproductive periods were observed on $N_2P_1Si_3$ (0.77 day) and $N_2P_1Si_1$ (3.67 days), respectively. The influence of treatments on reproduction ($F = 1.92$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.0006$), adult longevity ($F = 1.43$; $df = 47$; $P = 0.0400$) and life span ($F = 2.14$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.0001$) was significant. The shortest reproductive period was 4.71 days that was found on $N_3P_2Si_1$ and longest one was 13.50 days on $N_2P_3Si_3$. The adult longevity ranged from 6.28 days on $N_4P_1Si_3$ to 15.89 days on $N_2P_3Si_3$. Also the shortest and the longest lifespan periods were found on $N_4P_1Si_3$ (12.50 days) and $N_5P_3Si_1$ (20 days), respectively. As shown in Table 2, the main effects of N and Si on pre-reproductive, reproductive and post-reproductive periods, adult longevity and life span were not significant. These results agreed with those reported for *Aphis craccivora* Koch (Hosseini *et al.*, 2015) and *Diuraphis noxia* (Mordvilko) (Moon *et al.*, 1995). Alasvand Zarasvand *et al.* (2015) reported that the different levels of N had no effect on pre and post-reproductive periods but had significant effects on reproductive period, adult longevity and fecundity of *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani). However, our results showed that the main effect of P on reproductive period, adult longevity and life span was significant. Similarly Jansson and Ekbohm (2002) found that P had a positive effect on longevity of *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Thomas).

Table 1 The reproductive parameters, adult longevity and life span (\pm SE) of *Aphis gossypii* reared on plants grown in soil amended with different concentrations of N: P: Si, at 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH and 16L: 8D.

Treatments	Per-reproductive period (day)	Reproductive period (day)	Post reproductive period (day)	Adult longevity (day)	Lifespan (day)	Fecundity (offspring)
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₁	0.56 \pm 0.06 ^a	7.92 \pm 1.68 ^{ab}	2.25 \pm 0.64 ^a	8.75 \pm 1.88 ^{ab}	17.00 \pm 2.09 ^{abc}	56.25 \pm 2.63 ^{abcd}
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₂	0.77 \pm 0.16 ^a	5.88 \pm 0.74 ^b	1.44 \pm 0.24 ^a	8.11 \pm 0.65 ^{ab}	13.50 \pm 0.62 ^{abc}	23.00 \pm 3.34 ^g
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₃	0.67 \pm 0.11 ^a	8.75 \pm 1.37 ^{ab}	1.66 \pm 0.16 ^a	10.12 \pm 1.61 ^{ab}	17.00 \pm 1.59 ^{abc}	28.83 \pm 1.72 ^{efg}
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₁	0.73 \pm 0.18 ^a	8.78 \pm 1.09 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.20 ^a	10.62 \pm 1.09 ^{ab}	16.89 \pm 1.07 ^{abc}	27.71 \pm 2.59 ^{efg}
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₂	0.45 \pm 0.04 ^a	7.64 \pm 1.84 ^{ab}	1.33 \pm 0.33 ^a	7.73 \pm 1.92 ^{ab}	17.13 \pm 1.63 ^{abc}	64.33 \pm 4.98 ^a
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₃	0.67 \pm 0.11 ^a	8.38 \pm 1.28 ^{ab}	3.00 \pm 1.44 ^a	11.12 \pm 2.37 ^{ab}	17.88 \pm 1.98 ^{abc}	58.30 \pm 10.1 ^{ab}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₁	0.61 \pm 0.07 ^a	8.75 \pm 1.24 ^{ab}	1.44 \pm 0.60 ^a	9.83 \pm 1.74 ^{ab}	18.33 \pm 1.60 ^{abc}	42.60 \pm 1.86 ^{abcdefg}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₂	0.77 \pm 0.16 ^a	7.37 \pm 0.71 ^{ab}	1.66 \pm 0.23 ^a	9.00 \pm 0.98 ^{ab}	16.13 \pm 1.01 ^{abc}	28.43 \pm 1.39 ^{efg}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₃	1.50 \pm 0.70 ^a	10.00 \pm 1.29 ^{ab}	1.22 \pm 0.22 ^a	10.50 \pm 1.68 ^{ab}	19.11 \pm 1.07 ^{abc}	49.20 \pm 5.59 ^{abcdefg}
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₁	1.22 \pm 0.26 ^a	8.69 \pm 1.63 ^{ab}	3.67 \pm 1.35 ^a	12.61 \pm 2.55 ^{ab}	20.44 \pm 2.75 ^{abc}	39.25 \pm 6.25 ^{abcdefg}
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₂	0.55 \pm 0.05 ^a	7.88 \pm 0.72 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.26 ^a	9.55 \pm 0.74 ^{ab}	15.22 \pm 0.68 ^{abc}	33.14 \pm 2.75 ^{bcddefg}
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₃	0.56 \pm 0.09 ^a	9.39 \pm 1.36 ^{ab}	0.77 \pm 0.14 ^a	10.73 \pm 1.29 ^{ab}	16.89 \pm 1.39 ^{abc}	41.80 \pm 3.85 ^{abcdefg}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₁	0.72 \pm 0.16 ^a	8.39 \pm 1.18 ^{ab}	1.22 \pm 0.32 ^a	10.33 \pm 1.32 ^{ab}	14.87 \pm 0.83 ^{abc}	35.00 \pm 6.50 ^{bcddefg}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₂	1.27 \pm 0.40 ^a	8.63 \pm 1.29 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.11 ^a	10.06 \pm 1.82 ^{ab}	18.22 \pm 1.41 ^{abc}	35.86 \pm 3.79 ^{bcddefg}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₃	1.22 \pm 0.34 ^a	6.50 \pm 1.73 ^{ab}	1.44 \pm 0.37 ^a	7.72 \pm 1.48 ^{ab}	15.25 \pm 1.59 ^{abc}	51.00 \pm 5.13 ^{abcde}
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₁	1.11 \pm 0.28 ^a	7.19 \pm 1.12 ^{ab}	1.33 \pm 0.23 ^a	8.83 \pm 1.30 ^{ab}	17.78 \pm 1.78 ^{abc}	44.20 \pm 3.57 ^{abcdefg}
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₂	1.22 \pm 0.44 ^a	8.19 \pm 1.03 ^{ab}	0.88 \pm 0.26 ^a	9.39 \pm 1.62 ^{ab}	18.75 \pm 1.11 ^{abc}	34.57 \pm 4.38 ^{bcddefg}
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₃	0.83 \pm 0.27 ^a	13.50 \pm 2.26 ^a	1.55 \pm 0.62 ^a	15.89 \pm 2.68 ^a	21.50 \pm 1.97 ^{ab}	43.29 \pm 4.77 ^{abcdefg}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₁	0.64 \pm 0.09 ^a	7.00 \pm 0.79 ^{ab}	1.00 \pm 0.21 ^a	7.64 \pm 1.25 ^{ab}	15.57 \pm 1.21 ^{abc}	30.00 \pm 2.88 ^{efg}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₂	0.75 \pm 0.09 ^a	9.07 \pm 1.56 ^{ab}	1.37 \pm 0.26 ^a	10.06 \pm 1.85 ^{ab}	16.57 \pm 1.85 ^{abc}	42.80 \pm 1.46 ^{abcdefg}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₃	0.62 \pm 0.10 ^a	8.00 \pm 1.02 ^{ab}	1.55 \pm 0.72 ^a	10.18 \pm 1.62 ^{ab}	15.89 \pm 1.55 ^{abc}	28.75 \pm 6.61 ^{efg}
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₁	0.51 \pm 0.09 ^a	4.71 \pm 0.92 ^b	1.14 \pm 0.34 ^a	6.37 \pm 1.06 ^b	12.86 \pm 1.24 ^c	22.67 \pm 1.67 ^g
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₂	0.66 \pm 0.08 ^a	7.56 \pm 1.29 ^{ab}	1.77 \pm 0.22 ^a	10.00 \pm 1.51 ^{ab}	15.38 \pm 1.15 ^{abc}	57.50 \pm 4.97 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₃	0.77 \pm 0.27 ^a	6.31 \pm 0.82 ^{ab}	1.44 \pm 0.17 ^a	7.83 \pm 1.31 ^{ab}	15.78 \pm 1.36 ^{abc}	32.60 \pm 3.56 ^{bcddefg}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₁	0.67 \pm 0.18 ^a	10.36 \pm 1.90 ^{ab}	1.55 \pm 0.62 ^a	10.29 \pm 2.65 ^{ab}	19.13 \pm 2.23 ^{abc}	41.25 \pm 4.09 ^{abcdefg}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₂	1.05 \pm 0.29 ^a	8.69 \pm 1.50 ^{ab}	2.78 \pm 1.41 ^a	11.56 \pm 2.68 ^{ab}	16.12 \pm 0.81 ^{abc}	32.60 \pm 6.67 ^{bcddefg}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₃	0.72 \pm 0.08 ^a	7.75 \pm 1.47 ^{ab}	1.88 \pm 0.48 ^a	9.50 \pm 1.82 ^{ab}	17.89 \pm 1.36 ^{abc}	46.00 \pm 5.49 ^{abcdefg}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₁	0.72 \pm 0.08 ^a	6.75 \pm 1.24 ^{ab}	2.44 \pm 0.85 ^a	9.17 \pm 1.06 ^{ab}	14.75 \pm 1.37 ^{abc}	35.00 \pm 4.89 ^{bcddefg}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₂	0.88 \pm 0.19 ^a	7.68 \pm 0.75 ^{ab}	1.00 \pm 0.18 ^a	9.57 \pm 0.70 ^{ab}	14.75 \pm 0.70 ^{abc}	37.60 \pm 2.01 ^{bcddefg}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₃	0.72 \pm 0.08 ^a	5.25 \pm 1.07 ^b	0.88 \pm 0.26 ^a	6.28 \pm 1.13 ^b	12.50 \pm 0.88 ^c	28.67 \pm 1.20 ^{efg}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₁	0.57 \pm 0.11 ^a	5.18 \pm 0.98 ^b	1.33 \pm 0.16 ^a	7.20 \pm 1.17 ^{ab}	13.71 \pm 1.08 ^{abc}	23.40 \pm 2.66 ^{fg}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₂	0.77 \pm 0.27 ^a	11.00 \pm 1.47 ^{ab}	1.44 \pm 0.44 ^a	12.38 \pm 1.41 ^{ab}	18.75 \pm 1.33 ^{abc}	41.83 \pm 5.02 ^{abcdefg}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₃	1.16 \pm 0.22 ^a	8.50 \pm 1.39 ^{ab}	1.66 \pm 0.37 ^a	11.50 \pm 1.30 ^{ab}	17.63 \pm 1.21 ^{abc}	39.20 \pm 3.93 ^{abcdefg}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₁	0.94 \pm 0.15 ^a	11.17 \pm 1.45 ^{ab}	1.22 \pm 0.49 ^a	13.33 \pm 1.85 ^{ab}	19.22 \pm 1.89 ^{abc}	37.33 \pm 2.65 ^{bcddefg}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₂	0.80 \pm 0.29 ^a	6.44 \pm 1.16 ^{ab}	2.44 \pm 0.95 ^a	8.74 \pm 1.03 ^{ab}	17.00 \pm 1.25 ^{abc}	32.83 \pm 2.94 ^{bcddefg}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₃	0.83 \pm 0.16 ^a	7.88 \pm 1.51 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.26 ^a	8.86 \pm 1.16 ^{ab}	18.33 \pm 1.69 ^{abc}	30.75 \pm 4.80 ^{defg}
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₁	0.83 \pm 0.27 ^a	6.61 \pm 0.79 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.20 ^a	7.50 \pm 0.46 ^{ab}	13.62 \pm 0.70 ^{abc}	29.57 \pm 2.88 ^{efg}
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₂	0.77 \pm 0.16 ^a	6.18 \pm 0.85 ^{ab}	1.66 \pm 0.44 ^a	8.62 \pm 0.92 ^{ab}	14.75 \pm 1.18 ^{abc}	26.80 \pm 1.32 ^{efg}
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₃	1.05 \pm 0.25 ^a	6.72 \pm 0.92 ^{ab}	1.00 \pm 0.16 ^a	8.77 \pm 0.84 ^{ab}	14.66 \pm 0.88 ^{abc}	26.33 \pm 4.23 ^{efg}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₁	0.61 \pm 0.07 ^a	6.75 \pm 1.33 ^{ab}	1.67 \pm 0.36 ^a	8.89 \pm 1.52 ^{ab}	15.44 \pm 1.61 ^{abc}	32.00 \pm 6.56 ^{cdefg}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₂	0.67 \pm 0.18 ^a	7.00 \pm 1.25 ^{ab}	1.33 \pm 0.16 ^a	8.76 \pm 1.53 ^{ab}	15.33 \pm 1.28 ^{abc}	31.60 \pm 5.37 ^{cdefg}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₃	0.55 \pm 0.05 ^a	9.05 \pm 0.90 ^{ab}	1.24 \pm 0.26 ^a	10.85 \pm 0.86 ^{ab}	16.44 \pm 0.95 ^{abc}	36.00 \pm 3.44 ^{bcddefg}
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₁	1.01 \pm 0.35 ^a	11.50 \pm 1.30 ^{ab}	1.71 \pm 0.28 ^a	14.10 \pm 1.58 ^{ab}	22.00 \pm 1.95 ^a	49.40 \pm 2.60 ^{abcde}
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₂	0.78 \pm 0.10 ^a	8.00 \pm 1.71 ^{ab}	1.71 \pm 0.18 ^a	9.08 \pm 2.12 ^{ab}	16.86 \pm 2.06 ^{abc}	34.50 \pm 9.26 ^{bcddefg}
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₃	0.94 \pm 0.21 ^a	11.28 \pm 2.15 ^{ab}	1.90 \pm 0.53 ^a	12.51 \pm 2.03 ^{ab}	20.44 \pm 2.39 ^{abc}	42.80 \pm 6.76 ^{abcdefg}
Compost & soil	1.00 \pm 0.29 ^a	5.62 \pm 0.94 ^b	1.62 \pm 0.26 ^a	8.25 \pm 0.99 ^{ab}	14.37 \pm 0.90 ^{abc}	36.75 \pm 5.04 ^{bcddefg}
Vermicompost & soil	0.55 \pm 0.05 ^a	6.93 \pm 0.88 ^{ab}	1.11 \pm 0.11 ^a	8.50 \pm 0.86 ^{ab}	14.37 \pm 0.99 ^{abc}	31.40 \pm 5.01 ^{defg}
Pit & vermiculite	0.45 \pm 0.05 ^a	8.00 \pm 0.68 ^{ab}	1.88 \pm 0.39 ^a	10.33 \pm 0.83 ^{ab}	16.00 \pm 0.84 ^{abc}	33.00 \pm 4.32 ^{bcddefg}

Means with the same superscript letters in each column are not significantly different (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$). Refer to the materials and methods for information on fertilizer values.

Table 2 Mean squares of the reproductive parameters, adult longevity and life span of *Aphis gossypii* reared on plants grown in soil amended with different concentrations of N: P: Si, at 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH and 16L: 8D.

Source	Df	Pre -reproductive (day)	Reproductive (day)	Post- reproductive (day)	Adult longevity (day)	Lifespan (day)	Fecundity (offspring)
N	4	0.77 ^{ns}	11.23 ^{ns}	0.73 ^{ns}	19.05 ^{ns}	27.11 ^{ns}	517.91 ^{**}
P	2	1.16 ^{ns}	106.17 ^{**}	0.69 ^{ns}	89.09 [*]	316.50 ^{**}	683.75 ^{**}
Si	2	0.27 ^{ns}	14.10 ^{ns}	0.50 ^{ns}	14.19 ^{ns}	21.39 ^{ns}	114.00 ^{ns}
N × P	8	0.36 ^{ns}	19.04 ^{ns}	2.40 ^{ns}	23.46 ^{ns}	33.40 ^{ns}	242.37 [*]
N × Si	8	0.30 ^{ns}	18.88 ^{ns}	3.58 ^{ns}	27.73 ^{ns}	11.02 ^{ns}	335.11 ^{**}
P × Si	4	0.27 ^{ns}	37.34 [*]	7.38 [*]	23.96 ^{ns}	65.12 ^{**}	1584.84 ^{**}
N × P*Si	16	0.56 ^{ns}	23.41 [*]	2.82 ^{ns}	38.79 [*]	20.24 ^{ns}	335.33 ^{**}
Error	348		313	348	334	325	181
CV	13.485		14.793	20.610	16.685	16.710	8.040

** : Significant at 1%; * : Significant at 5%; ^{ns} : Non-significant.

According to our results, the fecundity of *A. gossypii* was significantly affected by tested treatments ($F = 4.02$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.0001$). The total fecundity ranged from 22.67 offspring/female on $N_3P_2Si_1$ to 64.33 offspring/female on $N_1P_2Si_2$ (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, N and P had significant effects on fecundity of *A. gossypii*, but the effect of Si was not significant. The highest reproductive period, adult-longevity, life span and fecundity of *A. gossypii* were obtained at level of P_3 (22.5 kg.ha⁻¹) and the lowest values of these parameters were obtained at level of P_1 (0 kg.ha⁻¹) (Table 3). According to Dixon (1987) fecundity and growth rate of phytophagous insects are affected by the nutritional value of the host plant. Our study indicates that the fecundity of *A. gossypii* decreased with increasing the nitrogen amount. Unlike our findings, Nevo and Coll (2001) showed that increasing the N level significantly raised the fecundity of *A. gossypii*. Furthermore, Aqueel and Leather (2011) showed a positive effect of N

fertilizer on fecundity of *Sitobion avenae* (F.) and *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) on wheat. Hosseini et al. (2015) reported that highest fecundity of *A. craccivora* was acquired on the plants fertilized with 100% recommended N level and the lowest produced number was on the plants that were not fertilized. Also, Hosseini et al. (2014) found similar result to mentioned studies for mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. It seems that in our study the simultaneous application of three fertilizers lead to these results. In agreement to our results, Khattak et al. (1998) found that N fertilizer alone increased the population of *Brevicoryne brassicae* L. on canola, but the application of N and P reduced the pest population. The present study showed that the fecundity of cotton aphid increased with increasing the level of P. Skinner and Cohen (1994) found similar result for *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius). In addition, Jansson and Ekbohm (2002) found that P had a positive effect on number of produced offspring of apterous aphid of *M.euphorbiae*.

Table 3 The main effects of phosphorus on the reproduction parameters of *Aphis gossypii* at 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH and 16L: 8D.

Treatment	Mean reproductive Period (day)	Mean adult longevity (day)	Mean life span (day)	Mean fecundity (offspring)
P_1	7.43 ^b	9.20 ^b	15.57 ^b	33.44 ^b
P_2	7.71 ^b	9.46 ^{ab}	16.16 ^b	37.87 ^a
P_3	9.25 ^a	10.77 ^a	18.56 ^a	38.97 ^a

Means with the same superscript letters in each column are not significantly different (Tukey's test, $P < 0.05$). Refer to the materials and methods for information on fertilizer values.

Demographic parameters

Population growth parameters of *A. gossypii* on cucumber when reared on different levels of NPSi are given in Table 4. The net reproductive rate (R_0) was significantly affected by tested treatments ($F =$

2.35; $df = 47$; $P < 0.001$), which was highest on $N_4P_2Si_2$ (47.70 offspring) and lowest on $N_3P_2Si_1$ (15.19 offspring). Intrinsic rate of natural increase (r) was significantly influenced by tested treatments ($F = 2.45$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.001$) and ranged from 0.27

day⁻¹ on N₅P₃Si₂ to 0.41day⁻¹ on N₃P₁Si₁. As shown in Table 5, N and Si did not have significant effect on *r* of *A. gossypii*. Opposite results in comparison to our results about the effects of N were reported for

S. graminum (Alasvand Zarasvand *et al.*, 2015), *Peregrinus maidis* (Ashmead) (Delphacidae) (Wang *et al.*, 2006), *A. gossypii* (Nevo and Coll, 2001) and *R. padi* (Khan and Port, 2008).

Table 4 Population growth parameters (± SE) of *Aphis gossypii* reared on plants grown in soils amended with different concentrations of N: P: Si, at temperature of 25 ± 3°C, 60 ± 5% RH and 16L: 8D.

Treatments	R ₀ ¹	r ²	T ⁴	DT ⁵	λ ³
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₁	41.68 ± 6.32 ^{ab}	0.34 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.04 ± 0.59 ^{bcdefgh}	1.78 ± 0.13 ^{bcd}	1.42 ± 0.05 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₂	19.25 ± 2.63 ^{bc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.20 ± 0.36 ^{efgh}	1.97 ± 0.15 ^{bcd}	1.41 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₁ Si ₃	27.57 ± 1.93 ^{abc}	0.37 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.33 ± 0.62 ^{bcdefgh}	1.95 ± 0.12 ^{bcd}	1.42 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₁	25.88 ± 3.22 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.62 ± 0.43 ^{bcdefgh}	1.97 ± 0.09 ^{bcd}	1.40 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₂	19.43 ± 6.65 ^{bc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	9.94 ± 0.34 ^{bcdefgh}	2.09 ± 0.11 ^{abcd}	1.41 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₂ Si ₃	31.45 ± 5.99 ^{abc}	0.36 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	10.83 ± 0.36 ^{abcdef}	1.88 ± 0.16 ^{bcd}	1.43 ± 0.04 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₁	39.00 ± 4.93 ^{abc}	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	10.94 ± 0.61 ^{abcde}	2.12 ± 0.09 ^{abcd}	1.40 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₂	30.63 ± 2.51 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.27 ± 0.48 ^{bcdefgh}	1.83 ± 0.07 ^{abcd}	1.43 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₁ P ₃ Si ₃	37.59 ± 5.36 ^{abc}	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	10.13 ± 0.57 ^{bcdefgh}	2.22 ± 0.06 ^{abcd}	1.40 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₁	32.93 ± 6.37 ^{abc}	0.31 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	10.43 ± 0.43 ^{bcdefgh}	2.20 ± 0.06 ^{abcd}	1.38 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₂	25.57 ± 2.50 ^{abc}	0.39 ± 0.01 ^{ab}	8.24 ± 0.19 ^{efgh}	1.74 ± 0.08 ^d	1.48 ± 0.02 ^a
N ₂ P ₁ Si ₃	28.81 ± 4.51 ^{abc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	9.23 ± 0.54 ^{bcdefgh}	2.05 ± 0.12 ^{abcd}	1.42 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₁	28.86 ± 5.40 ^{abc}	0.38 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.65 ± 0.19 ^{cddefgh}	1.87 ± 0.09 ^{bcd}	1.44 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₂	31.00 ± 3.66 ^{abc}	0.28 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	10.82 ± 0.52 ^{abcdef}	2.40 ± 0.09 ^{abcd}	1.34 ± 0.02 ^{bc}
N ₂ P ₂ Si ₃	15.79 ± 4.62 ^{bc}	0.28 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	10.21 ± 0.51 ^{abcdefgh}	2.45 ± 0.12 ^{abcd}	1.32 ± 0.01 ^c
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₁	32.93 ± 4.68 ^{abc}	0.30 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.53 ± 0.73 ^{bcdefgh}	2.42 ± 0.19 ^{abcd}	1.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₂	22.99 ± 2.45 ^{abc}	0.31 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	10.80 ± 0.43 ^{abcdefgh}	2.22 ± 0.13 ^{abcd}	1.36 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₂ P ₃ Si ₃	35.60 ± 3.65 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	11.30 ± 0.55 ^{abc}	2.18 ± 0.11 ^{abcd}	1.37 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₁	20.39 ± 2.39 ^{bc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.538 ± 0.33 ^{defgh}	2.19 ± 0.17 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₂	32.55 ± 3.69 ^{abc}	0.35 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.14 ± 0.27 ^{bcdefgh}	2.11 ± 0.31 ^{abcd}	1.43 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₁ Si ₃	17.14 ± 2.42 ^{bc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.423 ± 0.33 ^{defgh}	2.05 ± 0.07 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₁	15.19 ± 1.12 ^c	0.28 ± 0.03 ^{bc}	8.51 ± 0.43 ^{defgh}	2.68 ± 0.17 ^a	1.32 ± 0.04 ^c
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₂	40.00 ± 8.72 ^{abc}	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.13 ± 0.21 ^{bcdefgh}	2.10 ± 0.08 ^{abcd}	1.38 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₂ Si ₃	25.33 ± 3.29 ^{abc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.18 ± 0.31 ^{efgh}	1.93 ± 0.15 ^{bcd}	1.42 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₁	28.63 ± 5.61 ^{abc}	0.28 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	11.41 ± 0.55 ^{ab}	2.42 ± 0.08 ^{abcd}	1.32 ± 0.01 ^{bc}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₂	29.01 ± 5.93 ^{abc}	0.31 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.39 ± 0.14 ^{bcdefgh}	2.16 ± 0.08 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₃ P ₃ Si ₃	34.00 ± 6.47 ^{abc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	9.39 ± 0.40 ^{bcdefgh}	2.18 ± 0.10 ^{abcd}	1.41 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₁	28.19 ± 4.26 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	8.92 ± 0.62 ^{bcdefgh}	2.08 ± 0.10 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₂	30.41 ± 3.39 ^{abc}	0.37 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.61 ± 0.29 ^{cddefgh}	1.84 ± 0.11 ^{bcd}	1.45 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₁ Si ₃	17.94 ± 2.78 ^{bc}	0.36 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	7.95 ± 0.34 ^h	1.97 ± 0.08 ^{bcd}	1.41 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₁	18.25 ± 2.07 ^{bc}	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	8.65 ± 0.37 ^{bcdefgh}	2.10 ± 0.11 ^{abcd}	1.38 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₂	47.70 ± 4.71 ^a	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	10.83 ± 0.78 ^{abcdef}	2.02 ± 0.14 ^{abcd}	1.40 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₂ Si ₃	30.25 ± 4.97 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.94 ± 0.27 ^{bcdefgh}	2.21 ± 0.11 ^{abcd}	1.36 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₁	34.33 ± 4.47 ^{abc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	10.47 ± 0.71 ^{abcdefgh}	1.98 ± 0.08 ^{abcd}	1.41 ± 0.01 ^{abc}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₂	29.22 ± 2.61 ^{abc}	0.31 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	10.32 ± 0.78 ^{abcdefgh}	2.40 ± 0.19 ^{abcd}	1.33 ± 0.03 ^{bc}
N ₄ P ₃ Si ₃	18.00 ± 3.03 ^{bc}	0.29 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	10.51 ± 0.34 ^{bcdefgh}	2.46 ± 0.08 ^{abc}	1.32 ± 0.01 ^c
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₁	25.67 ± 3.40 ^{abc}	0.41 ± 0.02 ^a	8.04 ± 0.47 ^{gh}	1.83 ± 0.13 ^{bcd}	1.45 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₂	28.00 ± 3.48 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.00 ^{abc}	8.91 ± 0.63 ^{bcdefgh}	2.12 ± 0.06 ^{abcd}	1.38 ± 0.01 ^{abc}
N ₅ P ₁ Si ₃	27.46 ± 5.04 ^{abc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.33 ± 0.50 ^{defgh}	1.98 ± 0.10 ^{abcd}	1.46 ± 0.03 ^{abc}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₁	23.14 ± 3.97 ^{abc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	9.21 ± 0.40 ^{bcdefgh}	2.03 ± 0.09 ^{abcd}	1.42 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₂	28.12 ± 4.78 ^{abc}	0.34 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.28 ± 0.30 ^{defgh}	2.19 ± 0.06 ^{abcd}	1.43 ± 0.04 ^{abc}
N ₅ P ₂ Si ₃	27.86 ± 3.40 ^{abc}	0.38 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	9.00 ± 0.36 ^{bcdefgh}	1.90 ± 0.03 ^{bcd}	1.46 ± 0.02 ^{ab}
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₁	36.99 ± 3.74 ^{abc}	0.28 ± 0.01 ^{bc}	12.91 ± 0.87 ^a	2.46 ± 0.12 ^{abc}	1.32 ± 0.02 ^c
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₂	23.71 ± 6.44 ^{abc}	0.27 ± 0.01 ^c	10.20 ± 0.35 ^{abcdefgh}	2.49 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	1.33 ± 0.02 ^{bc}
N ₅ P ₃ Si ₃	35.57 ± 6.62 ^{abc}	0.30 ± 0.01 ^{abc}	11.03 ± 0.62 ^{abcd}	2.44 ± 0.10 ^{abcd}	1.32 ± 0.01 ^c
Compost & soil	29.01 ± 5.07 ^{abc}	0.33 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.17 ± 0.45 ^{fgh}	2.05 ± 0.13 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.04 ^{abc}
Vermicompost & soil	21.50 ± 2.69 ^{abc}	0.35 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.79 ± 0.43 ^{bcdefgh}	2.00 ± 0.13 ^{abcd}	1.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}
Pit & vermiculite	24.41 ± 4.07 ^{abc}	0.39 ± 0.02 ^{abc}	8.19 ± 0.20 ^{efgh}	1.76 ± 0.09 ^{cd}	1.49 ± 0.04 ^a

Means with the same superscript letters in each column are not significantly different (Tukey's test, *P* < 0.05). Refer to the materials and methods for information on fertilizer values. ¹. Net reproductive rate, ². Intrinsic rate of increase, ³. Mean generation time, ⁴. Doubling time, ⁵. Finite rate of increase.

Table 5 Mean squares of the demographic parameters of *Aphis gossypii* reared on plants grown in soils amended with different concentrations of N: P: Si, at 25 ± 3 °C, $60 \pm 5\%$ RH and 16L: 8D.

Source	df	R_0^1	r^2	T^3	DT^4	λ^5
N	4	82.612 ^{ns}	0.002 ^{ns}	5.254*	0.490**	0.011 ^{ns}
P	2	565.053*	0.050**	85.415**	1.962**	0.081**
Si	2	89.095 ^{ns}	0.001 ^{ns}	0.930 ^{ns}	0.024 ^{ns}	0.002 ^{ns}
N × P	8	196.453 ^{ns}	0.005 ^{ns}	6.560**	0.174 ^{ns}	0.016**
N × Si	8	647.647**	0.004 ^{ns}	4.371*	0.269*	0.008 ^{ns}
P × Si	4	762.469**	0.001 ^{ns}	8.828**	0.065 ^{ns}	0.001 ^{ns}
N × P × Si	16	208.009 ^{ns}	0.007**	4.679**	0.281**	0.010*
Error		253	278	283	261	284
CV		40.731	16.22181	13.742	15.234	5.721

** : Significant at 1%; * : Significant at 5%; ns: Non-significant. ¹-Net reproductive rate, ²-Intrinsic rate of increase, ³-Mean generation time, ⁴-Doubling time, ⁵-Finite rate of increase.

However, Jansson and Smilowitz (1986) observed that the higher levels of N decreased the r value of *Myzus persicae* Sulzer on potatoes.

In another study Khan and Port (2008) indicated that the r of *R. padi* was more on higher levels of N. Hosseini et al. (2015) reported the positive effects of N fertilizer levels on intrinsic rate of increase of *A. craccivora*. The finite rate of increase (λ) ($F = 2.14$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.001$) when reared on pit: vermiculite was higher (1.49 day^{-1}), whereas was the lower on $N_5P_3Si_1$ (1.32 day^{-1}). The mean generation time (T) ($F = 5.25$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.001$) on $N_5P_3Si_1$ and $N_4P_1Si_3$ were highest and lowest, respectively. The doubling time (DT) was influenced by tested treatments ($F = 3.14$; $df = 47$; $P < 0.001$) and was highest on $N_3P_2Si_1$ and lowest on $N_2P_1Si_2$ (Table 4).

The results of the present study confirmed that Si had no significant effect on demographic parameters of *A. gossypii*. Nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly influenced T and DT . Moreover, the effect of P on R_0 , r , T , DT and λ was significant. In addition, the interactions of the N and P on T and DT were significant. Also the interaction effects of the N and Si on R_0 , T and DT , and P and Si on R_0 and T were significant. Although, several studies have shown that silicon application affected survival, reproduction, and host plant preferences of sucking insects (Carvalho et al., 1999; Basagli et al., 2003; Correa et al., 2005; Gomes et al., 2008), in our study there was no significant difference in the case of silicon application on

life table parameters of *A. gossypii*. Finally, according to our finding, treatment $N_5P_3Si_2$ (120, 22.5 and 100 kg/ha) was recommended as best treatment for *A. gossypii* management. However, further studies should be conducted for exact determination of fertilizer effects on demographic parameters of *A. gossypii*, because there are many unknown aspects in such studies. In the current study, the content of these fertilizers in plant was not measured. In fact, fertilizer contents of the soil is not necessarily an indication of their content in the plant, because fertilizers can be present in the soil but in an unavailable form to the plant. Therefore, more study would be necessary to clarify the effects of these fertilizers and their interactions on insect community.

References

- Alasvand Zarasvand, A., Allahyari, H. and Fattah-Hosseini, S. 2015. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on biology, life table parameters and population abundance of greenbug; *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 46 (8): 882-889.
- Aqueel, M. A. and Leather, S. R. 2011. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the growth and survival of *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) and *Sitobion avenae* (F.) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on different wheat cultivars. Crop Protection, 30: 216-221.

- Basagli, M. A. B., Moraes, J. C., Carvalho, G. A., Ecol, C. C. and Goncalves-Gervasio, R. C. R. 2003. Effect of sodium silicate application on the resistance of wheat plants to the green-aphids *Schizaphis graminum* (Rond) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Neotropical Entomology*, 32: 659-663.
- Birch, L. C. 1948. The intrinsic rate of natural increase of an insect population. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 17: 15-26.
- Busch, J. W. and Phelan, P. L. 1999. Mixture models of soybean growth and herbivore performance in response to nitrogen-sulfur-phosphorus nutrient interactions. *Ecological Entomology*, 24: 132-145.
- Butler, J., Garratt, M. P. D. and Leather, S. R. 2012. Fertilizers and insect herbivores: a meta-analysis. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 161: 223-233.
- Carvalho, S. P., Moraes, J. C. and Carvalho, J. G. 1999. Efeito do silica an Resistencia do sorgo (*Sorghum bicolor*) a pulgao-verde *Schizaphis graminum* Rond (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Anais da Sociedade Entomologica do Brasil Piracicaba*, 28: 505-510.
- Cherif, M., Benhamou, N., Menzies, J.G. and Belanger, R. R. 1992. Silicon-induced resistance in cucumber plants against *Pythium ultimum*. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 41: 411-425.
- Correa, R. S. B., Moraes, J. C., Auad, A. M. and Carvalho, G. A. 2005. Silicon and acibenzolar-s-methyl as resistance inducers in cucumber, against the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) Biotype B. *Neotropical Entomology*, 34: 429-433.
- Dixon, A. F. G. 1987. Parthenogenetic reproduction and the rate of increase of aphids. In: Minks, A. K., and Harrewijn P. (Eds), *Aphids to their biology, natural enemies and control*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 269-287.
- Douglas, A. E. 2006. Phloem-sap feeding by animals: problems and solutions. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 57 (4): 747-754.
- Gary, C. J., Liberty, P. A. and Joceylon, B. P. 2005. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the intrinsic rate of increase of *Hysteroneura setariae* (Thomas) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environmental Entomology*, 34 (4): 938-943.
- Gomes, F. B., Moraes, J. C., de Santos, C. D. and Goussain, M. M. 2005. Resistance induction in wheat plants by silicon and aphids. *Scientia Agricola*, 62: 547-551.
- Gomes, F. B., Moraes, J. C., dos Santos, C. D. and Antunes, C. S. 2008. Use of silicon as inductor of the resistance in potato to *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Neotropical Entomology*, 37: 185-190.
- Hosseini, A., Hosseini, M., Goldani, M., Karimi, J. and Madadi, H. 2015. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on biological parameters of the *Aphis craccivora* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and associated productivity losses in common globe amaranth. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 17: 1517-1528.
- Hosseini, M., Ghorbani, R., NassiriMahallaty, M. and Falahpour, F. 2014. Evaluation the effect of nitrogen fertilization of canola on performance and population growth rate of mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Hem: Aphididae). *Plant Pests Research*, 3 (4): 28-38.
- Jafari, S., Abassi, N. and Bahirae, F. 2013. Demographic parameters of *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) fed on *Thrips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Persian Journal of Acarology*, 2 (2): 287-296.
- Jafari, S., Fathipour, Y. and Faraji, F. 2012. Temperature-dependent development of *Neoseiulus barkeri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae) at seven constant temperatures. *Insect Science*, 19: 220-228.
- Jansson, J. and Ekbom, B. 2002. The effect of different plant nutrient regimes on the aphid *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* growing on petunia. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 104: 109-116.
- Jansson, R. K. and Smilowitz, Z. 1986. Influence of nitrogen on population parameters of potato insect abundance, population growth, and within plant distribution of the green peach aphid, *Myzus*

- persicae* (Hom: Aphididae). Environmental Entomology, 15: 49-55.
- Khan, M. and Port, G. 2008. Performance of clones and morphs of two cereal aphids on wheat plants with high and low nitrogen content. Entomological Science, 11: 159-165.
- Khattak, S. U., Shah, S. M., Alamzeb, M. M. and Iqbal Pak, M. M. 1998. Effect of NPK fertilizer on aphid infestation and crop yield in Rape seed. The Nucleus, 35: 201-203.
- Letourneau, D. K., Drinkwater, L. E. And Shennan, C. 1996. Effects of soil management on crop nitrogen and insect damage in organic versus conventional tomato field. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 57 (2-3): 179-187.
- Maia, A. H. N., Luiz, A. J. B. and Campanhola, C. 2000. Statistical inference on associated fertility life table parameters using Jackknife technique: computational aspects. Journal of Economic Entomology, 93: 511-518.
- Mattson, W. J. J. 1980. Herbivory in relation to plant nitrogen content. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics, 11: 119-161.
- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E. A. 2001. Principles of plant nutrition. (5th edn.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.
- Meyer, J. S., Ingersoll, C. G., McDonald, L. L. and Boyce, M. S. 1986. Estimating uncertainly in population growth rates: jackknife vs. bootstrap techniques. Ecology, 67: 1156-1166.
- Mohiseni, A. A. and Dashadi, M. 2016. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization on the management of *Brevicoryne brassicae* in rapeseed fields. Entomology and Phytopathology, 84(1): 175-186.
- Moon, C. E., Lewis, B. E., Murray, L. and Sanderson, S. M. 1995. Russian wheat aphid (Hom: Aphididae) development, reproduction, and longevity on hydroponically grown wheat with varying nitrogen rates. Environmental Entomology, 24: 367-371.
- Moraes, J. C., Goussain, M. M., Basagli, M. A. B., Carvalho, G. A., Ecole, C. C. and Sampaio, M. V. 2004. Silicon influence on the tritrophic interaction: wheat plants, the greenbug *Schizaphis graminum* Rondani (Hemiptera: Aphididae), and its natural enemies, *Chrysoperla externa* Hagen (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) and *Aphidius colemani* Viereck (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). Neotropical Entomology, 33: 619-624.
- Nevo, E. and Coll, M. 2001. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on *Aphis gossypii* (Hom: Aphididae): variation in size, color and reproduction. Journal of Economic Entomology, 94 (1): 27-32.
- Satar, S., Kersting, U. and Uygun, N. 2005. Effect of temperature on development and fecundity of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) on cucumber. Journal of Pest Science, 78: 133-137.
- Skinner, R. H. C. and Cohen, A. 1994. Phosphorus nutrition and leaf age effects on sweet potato whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) host selection. Environmental Entomology, 23 (3): 693-698.
- Van Emden, H. F. 1966. Studies on the relations of insect and host plant. III. A comparison of the reproduction of *Brevicoryne brassicae* and *Myzus persicae* (Hem. Aphididae) on Brussels sprout plants supplied with different rates of nitrogen and potassium. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 9: 444-460.
- Wang, J. J., Tsai, J. H. and Broschat, T. K. 2006. Effects of nitrogen fertilization of corn on the development, survivorship, fecundity and body weight of *Peregrinus maidis* (Hom: Delphacidae). Journal of Applied Entomology, 130 (1): 20-25.
- Zarghami, S., Allahyari, H., Bagheri, M. R. and Saboori, A. 2010. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on life table parameters and population growth of *Brevicoryne brassicae*. Bulletin of Insectology, 63 (1): 39-43.

اثرات کاربرد هم‌زمان غلظت‌های مختلف نیتروژن، فسفر و سیلیکون بر پارامترهای جدول زندگی شته جالیز *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) روی خیار

نرگس عینی^۱، شهریار جعفری^{۱*}، امیر محسنی امین^۲ و جهانشیر شاکرمی^۱

۱- گروه گیاه‌پزشکی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه لرستان، صندوق پستی ۴۶۵، خرم‌آباد.

۲- مرکز تحقیقات کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی استان لرستان، سازمان تحقیقات، آموزش و ترویج کشاورزی.

* پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: Jafari.s@lu.ac.ir

دریافت: ۸ آبان ۱۳۹۵؛ پذیرش: ۲۹ مرداد ۱۳۹۶

چکیده: شته جالیز یکی از آفات مهم خیار در ایران می‌باشد. اثرات کاربرد هم‌زمان غلظت‌های مختلف کودهای نیتروژن (در ۵ سطح $N_1 = 0$ ، $N_2 = 30$ ، $N_3 = 60$ ، $N_4 = 90$ و $N_5 = 120$ کیلوگرم در هکتار)، فسفر (در سه سطح $P_1 = 0$ ، $P_2 = 15$ و $P_3 = 22.5$ کیلوگرم در هکتار) و سیلیکون (در سه سطح $Si_1 = 0$ ، $Si_2 = 100$ و $Si_3 = 200$ کیلوگرم در هکتار) بر پارامترهای جدول زندگی شکل بی‌بال شته جالیز *Aphis gossypii* Glover مطالعه شد. این مطالعه به صورت طرح فاکتوریل با نه تکرار در اتاقک پرورش در دمای 25 ± 3 درجه سلسیوس، رطوبت نسبی 60 ± 5 درصد و دوره‌ی نوری ۱۶ ساعت روشنایی و ۸ ساعت تاریکی انجام شد. آزمایش‌ها با استفاده از قفس‌هایی که بر روی برگ‌های خیار (Clip cage) در گلدان قرار می‌گرفت انجام شدند. براساس نتایج مشخص شد زادآوری شته‌ها تحت تأثیر تیمارها قرار گرفت و دارای بیش‌ترین مقدار در تیمار $N_3P_2Si_2$ و کم‌ترین میزان در تیمار $N_3P_2Si_1$ بود. بیش‌ترین کم‌ترین میزان نرخ خالص تولیدمثل (R_0) به ترتیب در تیمارهای $N_4P_2Si_2$ و $N_3P_2Si_1$ مشاهده شدند. کوتاه‌ترین و طولانی‌ترین میزان متوسط یک نسل (T) به ترتیب در تیمارهای $N_4P_1Si_3$ و $N_5P_3Si_1$ یافت شد. هم‌چنین کم‌ترین و بیش‌ترین مقادیر نرخ ذاتی افزایش جمعیت (r) در تیمارهای $N_5P_3Si_2$ (0.27) بر روز) و $N_5P_1Si_1$ (0.41 بر روز) مشاهده شد. نتایج نشان داد که در کاربرد هم‌زمان این سه عنصر فسفر بیش‌ترین تأثیر را بر فاکتورهای زیستی شته جالیز دارد.

واژگان کلیدی: خیار، شته جالیز، کود، پارامترهای جدول زندگی و مدیریت تلفیقی آفات