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Side-effects of azadirakhtin (NeemAzal) and flubendiamide (Takumi) on functional response of Habrobracon hebetor (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)
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Abstract: Habrobracon hebetor Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is one of the major parasitoids which is used against various lepidopteran larvae in Iran. Due to extensive application of chemical pesticides in farms, study of their side effects on natural enemies is necessary. Therefore, in this study, side-effects of two prevalent insecticides, NeemAzal and Takumi (at dosage 2000 mg/l and 437.5mg/l, respectively), on functional response of H. hebetor to different densities of 5th instar larvae of Ephestia kuehniella Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) were evaluated in laboratory conditions. Host densities of 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 were randomly exposed to selected treated females of H. hebetor in 8 cm Petri dishes. Ten replications were conducted for each host density. The control was treated with water. The data were analyzed using logistic regression to find functional response type and non-linear regression to estimate functional response parameters. The results revealed a type II response for all treatments. This study showed that H. hebetor had the shortest (0.269 h) and longest (1.822 h) handling times in Takumi and NeemAzal treatments, respectively. Also, the highest and lowest searching efficiency of H. hebetor were recorded for the Takumi (0.188 h⁻¹) and NeemAzal (0.0396 h⁻¹) treatments, respectively. According to the results of the study, Takumi may be more compatible with biological control agent in IPM programs.
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Introduction

Parasitoid wasps are generally considered as beneficial natural enemies. They help in control of insect pest population (Hentz et al., 1998). These wasps have a great economic importance in agricultural ecosystems, as they attack a wide range of insect pests that feed on important crops (Hentz et al., 1998; Mahdavi and Saber, 2013). The number of attacked hosts by parasitoids may change the as a function of host density which is called functional response (Solomon, 1949). Holling (1959, 1966) considered three types of functional responses. The early functional response researches conducted by Holling (1959), resulted in the formulation of mathematical models (type I, type II, and type III) describing parasitism responses that were influenced by changes in parasitoid behavior. Most arthropod
parasitoids possess a type II response (Holling, 1961) with some exceptions (Tostowaryk, 1972; Hassell, 1978). Studies of functional response which determine the predation/parasitism of a natural enemy can be helpful in integrated pest management programs (Abedi et al., 2012).

Habrobracon hebetor is a valuable biocontrol agent of lepidopteran pests which attacks crop plants and stored product pests, including Helicoverpa armigera Hub. (Magro and Parra, 2001), Plodia interpunctella (Hübner) (Milonas, 2005), Ephesia cautella (Walker) (Press et al., 1982), Ephesia kuehniella Zeller (Darwish et al., 2003), and Galleria mellonella L. (Kryukova et al., 2011). It has been widely used in various studies related to host-parasitoid interactions because of its high reproductive rate, short generation time, and wide range of host species (Yu et al., 2002). In Iran, it is reared commercially in mass rearing programs and released widely against H. armigera on cotton, Gossypium herbaceum L. and tomato, Solanum lycopersicum L. in fields (Faal-Mohammad-Ali et al., 2015).

Selective pesticides application and biological control are the two important strategies used in integrated pest management (IPM) programs (Zhao, 2000). Pesticides application is often unavoidable; however, they should be used whenever they have the least adverse effects on biological control agents (Guedes et al., 2016). Integrating application of biocontrol agents and pesticides for pest management requires knowledge about the interactions and compatibility of the pesticides and natural enemies (Desneux et al., 2007; Mahdavi, 2013). Chemical products may affect physiology and behavioral characteristics of natural enemies (Desneux et al., 2007). In order to combine both biological and chemical methods for control of target pest, it is essential to investigate sub lethal effects of pesticides on natural enemies (Biondi et al., 2013, Abbès et al., 2015).

The study of parasitoids’ and predators ‘behavior is an important key towards understanding about the insects’ life, their influence on the population dynamics of their hosts or preys, and their impact on the structure of the insect communities in which they live. So, it is a necessary prerequisite for selection of natural enemies in biological control programs, and evaluation of their efficiency after release (Jervis and Kids, 1996).

Previous research has been conducted to show the effects of various insecticides on functional responses of H. hebetor on different laboratory hosts (Rafiee-Dastjerdi et al., 2009; Abedi et al., 2012; Mahdavi et al., 2013; Mahdavi and Saber, 2013; Faal-Mohammad-Ali et al., 2015). Different insecticides showed various effects on the searching efficiency of the parasitoid. For example, the highest and lowest effects on searching efficiency of H. hebetor were observed in spinosad and hexaflumuron (Rafiee-Dastjerdi et al., 2009), pyridalyl and cypermethrin (Abedi et al., 2012), abamectin and chlorpyrifos (Mahdavi et al., 2013), diazinon and Malathion (Mahdavi and Saber, 2013), respectively. However, according to Faal-Mohammad-Ali et al. (2013) the searching efficiency of H. hebetor was not affected significantly by chlorpyrifos and fenpropatrin treatments compared with control. NeemAzal and Takumi are principal the principal insecticides used in tomato fields in Khuzestan province for control of lepidopteran pests. At the same time, H. hebetor is released against lepidopteran pests. Hence, the aim of this study is to investigate sub lethal effects of NeemAzal and Takumi on functional response of the ectoparasitoid, H. hebetor in the laboratory.

Materials and Methods

Insects rearing

A stock culture of E. kuehniella was obtained from a colony at insectarium of Plant Protection Organization in Ahvaz, Iran, and reared at 26 ± 1 °C, 65 ± 5% RH and dark condition. The stock culture was maintained in plastic containers on 1.5kg of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) flour, which provided the moth larvae with excess food throughout their development.

The larvae of Heliothis armigera Hubner parasitized by H. hebetor were collected from tomato fields near Ahvaz, Iran that had not been
sprayed with any insecticide, previously. Then, they were maintained in plastic cups covered with a fine mesh until adult emergence. The colony was maintained in the laboratory at 26 ± 1 °C, 65 ± 5% RH and a photoperiod of 16: 8 (L: D) h on larval stages of *E. kuehniella*. Then parasitoid wasps were reared on the fifth instar larvae of *E. kuehniella* for five generations under the same conditions as above. Cotton soaked in honey diluted (10%) with water was provided as food with the adult parasitoids (Sarmadi, 2008).

**Insecticides**

The insecticides applied in the assays were commercial formulations including NeemAzal® (azadirachtin EC 1%) and Takumi® (flubendiamide WG 20%).

**Experiments**

Petri dishes (8 cm diameter) were used as experimental units. The inner surface of each Petri dish and its cover were sprayed with field recommended lethal dosage of NeemAzal (2 per thousand equivalent to 2000 mg/l) and Takumi (175 g/ha equivalent to 437.5 mg/l). The control plates were sprayed with distilled water. A hand sprayer was used to make a uniform, sufficient coverage. The amount of insecticides in Petri dishes was $2 \times 10^4$ mg a.i./cm$^2$ for NeemAzal and $1.75 \times 10^3$ mg a.i./cm$^2$ for Takumi. Then the Petri dishes were maintained at room temperature to become completely dry. Twenty mated young females (< 24 h old) were anesthetized by putting them in freezer for less than 30 seconds, then they were placed in Petri dishes. After 24 h, individual mated females were randomly selected and transferred to new Petri dishes (8 cm diameter) with 2, 4, 8, 16, 32 and 64 5th instar larvae of *E. kuehniella*. Ten replications were conducted for each host density. Petri dishes were transferred to a growth chamber (26 ± 1 °C, 65 ± 5% RH) and a photoperiod of 16: 8 h (L: D) for 24 h. Tiny droplets of honey was supplied as food for the adult parasitoids. The number of parasitized larvae was recorded after 24 h. Parasitized larvae were identified by checking the parasitoid eggs laid externally on them.

**Statistical analysis**

The data analysis included two distinct steps. At the first step, the type of functional response (type II or III) was found using logistic regression of the proportion of host parasitized versus the number of initial host. This is done by fitting a polynomial function:

$$N_a = \frac{\exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3)}{1 + \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N_0^2 + P_3N_0^3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $N_a$ is the number of parasitized hosts, $N_0$ is the initial number of hosts offered. Then, the parameters $P_0$, $P_1$, $P_2$ and $P_3$ were estimated by Maximum Likelihood Analysis (CATMODE) procedure in SAS software (Juliano, 1993). The value of $P_1$ (Linear parameter) indicates the type of functional response. If negative, it indicates the type II functional response, while a positive linear parameter means type III functional response.

At the second step, The non-linear least square regression (NLIN) procedure was used to fit Roger’s random attack model, to estimate the parameters of attack rate and handling time by SAS software (SAS Institute, 2005). Since our data fit a type II functional response we used the Rogers type II equation as follows:

$$N_a = N_0 \{1 - \exp[a(T_aN_a - T)]\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $N_a$ is the number of parasitized host, $N_0$ is the initial number of host, $a$ is the instantaneous searching efficiency (attack rate), $T$ is the total amount time available for searching (in this experiment $T = 24$ h), $P_i$ the number of parasitoids, and $T_a$ is the handling time.

**Results**

The negative values for the linear parameters obtained from logistic regression analysis in the present study indicated a type II functional response for *H. hebetor* females against fifth instar larvae of *E. kuehniella* in insecticides and control treatments (Table 1). The number of parasitized hosts increased with increasing the host densities in
all treatments; however, the proportion of host parasitization decreased as host density increased (Fig. 1). The Rogers’ type II model showed an acceptable fit to the data at all treatments examined. The handling time and searching efficiency values are shown in Table 2. According to the results, parasitoid females treated with Takumi showed the lowest value of handling time (0.269 ± 0.56 h) and those treated with NeemAzal showed the highest value (1.822 ± 0.14 h), respectively. The value of handling time in NeemAzal was significantly higher than Takumi and control treatments at confidence intervals (CI 95%). The highest and lowest values of searching efficiency were observed in Takumi (0.1884 ± 0.14 h⁻¹) and NeemAzal (0.0396 ± 0.006 h⁻¹) treatments, respectively. According to confidence intervals, the searching efficiency of H. hebetor in NeemAzal treatment was significantly lower than control and Takumi treatments (Table 2).

**Figure 1** Functional response of the ectoparasitoid Habrobracon hebetor, exposed to field concentrations of Takumi and NeemAzal, and in the control.
Table 1 Results of the logistic regression analysis of the proportion of *Ephestia kuehniella* larvae parasitized by *Habrobracon hebetor*, against the initial density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>$P_0$(constant)</td>
<td>1.992</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_1$(linear)</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_2$(quadratic)</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_3$(cubic)</td>
<td>$-3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_4$(constant)</td>
<td>2.621</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeemAzal</td>
<td>$P_0$(constant)</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_2$(quadratic)</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_3$(cubic)</td>
<td>$-1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_4$(constant)</td>
<td>1.934</td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>$&lt; 1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takumi</td>
<td>$P_1$(linear)</td>
<td>-0.301</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>$&lt; 1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_2$(quadratic)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_3$(cubic)</td>
<td>$-8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Estimated functional response parameters of *Habrobracon hebetor* exposed to field concentrations of NeemAzal and Takumi and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Functional response</th>
<th>Searching efficiency ± SE (h) (lower - upper)</th>
<th>Handling time (h) (lower - upper)</th>
<th>T/T_h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.193 ± 0.005 (0.046 - 0.256)</td>
<td>0.252 ± 0.049 (0.142 - 0.321)</td>
<td>95.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeemAzal</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.032 ± 0.006 (0.026 - 0.052)</td>
<td>1.822 ± 0.143 (1.490 - 2.061)</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takumi</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.188 ± 0.143 (-0.094 - 0.471)</td>
<td>0.269 ± 0.565 (0.151 - 0.387)</td>
<td>89.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are asymptote 95% confidence interval.

**Discussion**

The present study indicated that treatments of Takumi and NeemAzal in their field recommended doses did not change the type of parasitoid functional response compared to the control treatment. *Habrobracon hebetor* showed type II functional response in both insecticide treatments and in control. In a study Abedi et al. (2012) *H. hebetor* showed a type II functional response for all the insecticide treatments (NeemAzal, cypermethrin, methoxyfenozide, and pyridalyl) and control which is consistent with the results of current study. Faal-Mohammad-Ali et al. (2015) also reported type II functional response for adults of *H. hebetor* when they were exposed to sublethal concentrations of chlorpyrifos and fenpropathrin. However, our results are different from Mahdavi et al. (2013) who found type III functional response for *H. hebetor* exposed to chlorpyrifos, carbaryl, abamectin and spinosad. The studies have indicated that type II functional response is more frequent among parasitoids. Previous researchers believed that among all natural enemies, species that show type III functional response are more likely to be able to regulate their host density (Hassell, 1978). It has also been mentioned that parasitoids with type III functional response are able to determine their host density and adjust their searching efficiency (O’Neil, 1990). However, another study proved that the form of functional response curves, on its own, cannot be attributed to the success or failure of parasitoids in biological control (Fernandez-Arhex and Corely, 2003). Although the functional response is one of the features which is considered to be related to parasitoid success (Hassell, 1978; Berryman, 1999), some other factors such as time and rate of release, quality and quantity of parasitoids, climatic conditions, intrinsic rate of increase, host patchiness, predation and competition and agricultural
practices such as application of insecticide are considered as important factors for success of a parasitoid (Fernandez-Arhex and Corley, 2003; Pervez, 2005).

The searching efficiency and handling time values obtained in this experiment were not significantly different in control and Takumi treatment. This shows that Takumi had lower hazardous effects on the searching efficiency of *H. hebetor* than NeemAzal. The lower rate of searching efficiency in NeemAzal compared to Takumi treatment may be due to the changes made in parasitoid behavior by the insecticide (Abedi et al., 2012). In other studies the effect of insecticides on searching efficiency and handling time of *H. hebetor* compared to control has been reported (Rafiee-Dastgerdi et al., 2009; Abedi et al., 2012; Mahdavi and Saber, 2013; Mahdavi et al., 2013; Faal-Mohammad-Ali et al., 2015). According to Abedi et al. (2012) *H. hebetor* showed the highest searching efficiency when it was exposed to pyridalyl, compared to the values calculated for treatments of NeemAzal, cypermethrin, methoxyfenozide and control. Their results are similar to ours regarding to Takumi. According to Mahdavi et al. (2013) chlorpyrifos-and carbaryl-treated *H. hebetor* showed the highest and lowest searching efficiency, respectively. However, Mahdavi and Saber (2013) found that Diazinon and Malathion-treated wasps showed lower searching efficiency compared to control. *Habrobracon hebetor* also had the lowest and highest searching efficiency when exposed to LC25 of hexaflumuron and spinosad, respectively. In contrast, chlorpyrifos and fenpropatrin had no significant effect on the searching efficiency of *H. hebetor* compared with control (Faal-Mohammad-Ali, 2015).

In conclusion, our results demonstrated the effect of NeemAzal and Takumi on functional response of *H. hebetor*. According to the results, Takumi did not show adverse effects on searching efficiency of *H. hebetor* and it can be recommended as a compatible insecticide for simultaneous use with the parasitoid in integrated pest management systems. However, several other studies like field surveys, parasitoid ecology and other behavioral interactions under more natural conditions are needed for conclusive decision on the success of the concomitant use of parasitoids and insecticides in IPM programs.
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اثرات جانبی نیم آزال و تاکومی روی واکنش تابعی (Habrobracon hebetor (Hymenoptera: Braconidae))

چکیده: زنبور پارازیت‌ناپذیر Habrobracon hebetor Say (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) یکی از پارازیت‌ناپذیره‌های مهم است که علیه لارو حشرات افت راسته Lepidoptera در ایران مورد استفاده قرار می‌گیرد. به‌دلیل کاربرد گسترده حشره‌کش‌های شیمیایی در مزارع، مطالعه اثرات جانبی آنها روی دشمنان طبیعی ضروری است. در این مطالعه، اثرات جانبی دو حشره‌کش رایج نیم آزال و تاکومی (به- H. hebetor تریبون در دوزه‌های 2000 میلی گرم بر لیتر و 5/437 میلی گرم بر لیتر روی واکنش تابعی در شرایط آزمایشگاهی بررسی نشان داده شد. آزمایشات در 10 تکرار انجام شد. در نتیجه، واکنش تابعی به این دو حشره‌کش به‌طور میانگین در میزان ۱۶ درصد افزایش یافته بود. در نتیجه، نتایج نشان دهنده نزدیکی بین واکنش تابعی و تثبیت دو روش نیبیدو و تاکومی بود و نشان دهنده تأثیر قابل قضاوت برای انتخاب بهترین روش در کنترل حشرات می‌باشد.